# The Extensive Margin of Exporting Goods: A Firm-level Analysis<sup>\*</sup>

Costas Arkolakis<sup>‡</sup> Yale University and NBER Marc-Andreas Muendler<sup>¶</sup> UC San Diego, CESifo and NBER

January 5, 2009

<sup>\*</sup>Muendler acknowledges NSF support (SES-0550699) with gratitude.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ddagger} {\rm costas.arkolakis@yale.edu} \; (www.econ.yale.edu/~ka265)$ 

<sup>¶</sup>muendler@ucsd.edu (www.econ.ucsd.edu/muendler). Ph: +1 (858) 534-4799.

#### 1 NOTATION

### **1** Notational conventions

We observe Brazilian and Chilean merchandize exports for the year 2000 in two threedimensional panel data sets, indexed by firm  $\omega$  (tax ID for Brazil), destination country d, and good h (Harmonized System six-digit code). The panel data are unbalanced: firms ship different sets of goods by destination. We compare key statistics to similar data on French merchandize exports in 1986 at the firm-destination level (Eaton, Kortum and Kramarz 2004), and to U.S. data at the firm-destination-product level (Bernard, Jensen, Redding and Schott 2007). We adopt the following notational conventions.

- Destination country: d ∈ {1,...,N} (countable in data and model).
  Source country: s ∈ {1,...,N} in model, s ∈ {Brazil, Chile, France, USA} in data.
- Firms: ω ∈ Ω worldwide (countable in data, continuum in model). The set of firms (potential entrants) can be partitioned by source or destination country, or both: Ω = ∪<sup>N</sup><sub>d=1</sub>Ω<sub>d</sub> = ∪<sup>N</sup><sub>s=1</sub>Ω<sub>s</sub> = ∪<sup>N</sup><sub>d=1</sub> ∪<sup>N</sup><sub>s=1</sub>Ω<sub>sd</sub>. Every firm has a productivity scalar φ(ω).
- Commodity:  $h \in \{1, \ldots, H\}$  (at HS six-digit level in data, countable in model).
- Industry: i = 1, ..., I (SIC as in Eaton et al. (2004)). Every firm  $\omega$  belongs to a unique source-country industry is ( $\Omega_{is} \subset \Omega$ ).
- Variety:  $(\omega, h) \in \Omega \times \{1, \dots, H\}$  (homogeneous across destinations).
- A firm's product (good) sold to a destination:  $g_d(\omega) \in \{1, \ldots, G_d(\omega)\}$ . We call  $G_d(\omega)$  firm  $\omega$ 's *exporter scope* (# of products) at d. Every  $g_d(\omega)$  belongs to a unique h;  $g_d(\omega)$  is defined at the HS six-digit level. The number of observations in our Brazilian *SECEX* (Chilean customs) data 2000 is  $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega_s} \sum_{d=1}^D G_d(\omega)$ , where s = Brazil (s = Brazil) and  $\Omega_s \subset \Omega$ .
- A firm's exports per product:  $p_{dg_d(\omega)}(\omega) \cdot x_{dg_d(\omega)}(\omega)$  (price times quantity). We also call the firm's exports per product  $p_{dg_d(\omega)}(\omega) \cdot x_{dg_d(\omega)}(\omega)$  firm  $\omega$ 's product scale (Exports/prod.) for its product numbered  $g_d(\omega)$  and sold to d.

We call  $g_d(\omega)$  the product rank after adopting the convention that  $g_d(\omega)$  weakly decreases in product scale (every firm's top selling product at a destination has index 1, the second-to-top selling product has index 2, and so on, while the least selling product has index  $G_d(\omega)$ ).

We now simplify notation to  $g = g_d(\omega)$ .

- A firm  $\omega$ 's total exports to a destination:  $t_d(\omega) \equiv \sum_{g=1}^{G_d(\omega)} p_{dg}(\omega) x_{dg}(\omega)$ . Firm  $\omega$ 's total exports  $t_d(\omega)$  to d can be rewritten as:  $t_d(\omega) = G_d(\omega) z_d(\omega)$ , where  $z_d(\omega) \equiv \sum_{g=1}^{G_d(\omega)} p_{dg}(\omega) x_{dg}(\omega) / G_d(\omega)$  is the firm's average product scale.
- A firm  $\omega$ 's product mix:

$$\left[\sum_{g=1}^{G_d(\omega)} x_{dg}(\omega)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}}\right]^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the elasticity of substitution between a firm's products. The product mix is what monopolistic-competition models of trade (such as Krugman 1980) used to call a "variety."

- Total exports from source country s to destination d:  $T_{sd} \equiv \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{sd}} t_d(\omega)$ .
  - 1. Total exports  $T_{sd}$  can be decomposed into:  $T_{sd} = M_{sd} \bar{t}_{sd}$ .  $M_{sd}$  is the number of exporters in s with shipments to destination d, and  $\bar{t}_{sd} \equiv T_{sd}/M_{sd}$  are these exporters' mean sales to d (as in Eaton et al. 2004).
  - 2. Total exports  $T_{sd}$  can alternatively be decomposed into:  $T_{sd} = V_{sd} \bar{z}_{sd}$ .  $V_{sd} \equiv \sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{\Omega}_{sd}} G_d(\omega) = M_{sd} \bar{G}_{sd}$  is the number of varieties shipped to d and these varieties' mean product scale is  $\bar{z}_{sd} = [\sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{\Omega}_{sd}} t_d(\omega)] / [\sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{\Omega}_{sd}} G_d(\omega)] = \bar{t}_{sd} / \bar{G}_{sd}$  (similar to Broda and Weinstein 2006, identical under the convention that every source country is a single exporter  $M_{sd} = 1$ ).
  - 3. Total exports  $T_{sd}$  can also be decomposed into:  $T_{sd} = M_{sd} G_{sd} \bar{z}_{sd}$ .  $M_{sd}$  is the number of exporters in s with shipments to destination d,  $G_{sd} \equiv \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{sd}} G_d(\omega)$  is the total number of products exported from s to d, and  $\bar{z}_{sd} \equiv t_{sd}/G_{sd}$  is the "average value of exports per product per firm" (Bernard et al. 2007, p. 121). This decomposition generalizes decomposition 1 but does not naturally generalize decomposition 2 because  $\bar{z}_{sd} \equiv (\bar{G}_{sd}/G_{sd}) \bar{z}_{sd}$ .
  - 4. Total exports  $T_{sd}$  can finally be decomposed into:  $T_{sd} = M_{sd} \bar{G}_{sd} \bar{z}_{sd}$ .  $M_{sd}$  is the number of exporters in s with shipments to destination d,  $\bar{G}_{sd} \equiv \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{sd}} G_d(\omega)/M_{sd}$  is the exporters' mean exporter scope, and  $\bar{z}_{sd} \equiv \bar{t}_{sd}/\bar{G}_{sd}$  is their varieties' mean product scale. This is the preferred decomposition for our purposes because it generalizes both decomposition 1 and decomposition 2 and because a firm's exporter scope  $G_d(\omega)$  is a central variable in our theory (Arkolakis and Muendler 2007).

Note that  $\bar{z}_{sd}$  is the weighted arithmetic mean of  $z_d(\omega)$  over all  $\omega$ , with weights  $G_d(\omega)$ :  $\bar{z}_{sd} = \sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{\Omega}_{sd}} G_d(\omega) z_d(\omega) / (\sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{\Omega}_{sd}} G_d(\omega)) = \bar{t}_{sd} / \bar{G}_{sd}$ .

- A source-country industry's total exports to a destination:  $T_{isd} \equiv \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{isd}} t_d(\omega)$ .
  - 1. Total exports  $T_{isd}$  can be decomposed into:  $T_{isd} = \lambda_{sd} T_{sd} B_{isd}$ .  $T_{sd}$  is the market size of destination country d (manufacturing absorption),

 $\lambda_{sd} \equiv \sum_{i} T_{isd}/T_{sd}$  is the market share of source country s's total exports in destination d absorption, and industry bias  $B_{isd} \equiv T_{isd}/\sum_{i} T_{isd}$  is the share of source country s's industry i exports in the country's total exports to destination d (Eaton et al. 2004).

- 2. Total exports  $T_{isd}$  can alternatively be decomposed into:  $T_{isd} = M_{isd} \bar{t}_{isd}$ .  $M_{isd}$  is the number of source country s's exporters in industry i with shipments to destination d, and  $\bar{t}_{isd} \equiv T_{isd}/M_{isd}$  are these exporters' mean sales to d (Eaton et al. 2004).
- 3. Total exports  $T_{isd}$  can equivalently be decomposed into:  $T_{isd} = V_{isd} \bar{z}_{isd}$ .  $V_{isd} \equiv \sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{\Omega}_{isd}} G_{isd}(\omega) = M_{isd} \bar{G}_{isd}$  is the number of export varieties from source country s's industry i shipped to destination d and these varieties' mean product scale is  $\bar{z}_{isd} = [\sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{\Omega}_{isd}} t_d(\omega)] / [\sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{\Omega}_{isd}} G_d(\omega)] = \bar{t}_{isd} / \bar{G}_{isd}$ (similar to Broda and Weinstein 2006).
- 4. Total exports  $T_{isd}$  can also be decomposed into:  $T_{isd} = M_{isd} G_{isd} \bar{z}_{isd}$ .  $M_{isd}$  is the number of exporters in industry *i* of country *s* with shipments to destination *d*,  $G_{isd} \equiv \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{sd}} G_d(\omega)$  is the total number of industry *i* products exported from *s* to *d*, and  $\bar{z}_{isd} \equiv \bar{t}_{isd}/G_{isd}$  is the "average value of exports per product per firm" in industry *i* (similar to Bernard et al. 2007).
- 5. Total exports  $T_{isd}$  can finally be decomposed into:  $T_{isd} = M_{isd} G_{isd} \bar{z}_{isd}$ .  $M_{isd}$  is the number of source country s's exporters in industry i with shipments to destination d,  $\bar{G}_{isd} \equiv \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{isd}} G_d(\omega)/M_{isd}$  is these exporters' mean exporter scope, and  $\bar{z}_{isd} \equiv \bar{t}_{isd}/\bar{G}_{isd}$  is the varieties' mean product scale (Arkolakis and Muendler 2007).

Note that  $\bar{z}_{isd}$  is the weighted arithmetic mean of  $z_d(\omega)$  over all  $\omega$ , with weights  $G_d(\omega)$ :  $\bar{z}_{isd} = \sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{\Omega}_{isd}} G_d(\omega) z_d(\omega) / [\sum_{\omega \in \mathbf{\Omega}_{isd}} G_d(\omega)] = \bar{t}_{isd} / \bar{G}_{isd}$ .

## 2 Manufacturing Firms: Eaton et al. (2004) replication and extensions

version 14

Table 1: Number of Manufacturing Firms in Brazil, France and the U.S.

	Brazil 2000	France 1986	U.S. 1987
SIC industry	(1)	(2)	(3)
[20, 21] Food and tobacco products	$105,\!239$	$59,\!637$	11,887
[22, 23] Textiles and apparel	$112,\!817$	$24,\!952$	$17,\!456$
[24, 25] Lumber and furniture	80,038	29,196	22,518
[26] Paper and allied products	$11,\!654$	1,757	4,512
[27] Printing and publishing	$45,\!958$	$18,\!879$	$27,\!842$
[28] Chemicals, etc.	$35,\!287$	3,901	7,312
[30] Rubber and plastics	46,089	4,722	8,758
[31] Leather and leather products	$23,\!251$	4,491	1,052
[32] Stone, clay, glass, and concrete	49,765	9,952	10,292
[33] Primary metal industries	29,573	1,425	$4,\!626$
[34] Fabricated metal products	$44,\!524$	25,923	$21,\!940$
[35] Machinery and computer equipment	$71,\!600$	$17,\!164$	27,003
[36] Electronic and electrical equipment	$15,\!025$	9,382	9,525
[37] Transportation equipment	$10,\!192$	3,786	$5,\!439$
[38] Instruments, etc.	$7,\!370$	7,567	4,232
[39] Miscellaneous manufacturing	8,877	11,566	$7,\!254$
Manufacturing (ex. petroleum refining)	697,259	$234,\!300$	191,648

Sources: RAIS and SECEX 2000 manufacturing firms for Brazil. Eaton et al. (2004) for France 1986 and U.S. 1987.

*Note*: Manufacturing total excludes petroleum refining.

Table 2: Percentage of Exporter	rs in Brazil, France	and the U.S.
---------------------------------	----------------------	--------------

	Brazil 2000	France 1986	U.S. 1987
SIC industry	(1)	(2)	(3)
[20, 21] Food and tobacco products	.8	5.5	13.1
[22, 23] Textiles and apparel	.8	24.1	6.2
[24, 25] Lumber and furniture	1.7	12.1	6.7
[26] Paper and allied products	1.8	45.3	18.0
[27] Printing and publishing	.3	15.1	2.9
[28] Chemicals, etc.	2.7	55.4	30.3
[30] Rubber and plastics	2.0	44.3	22.2
[31] Leather and leather products	3.2	26.3	17.0
[32] Stone, clay, glass, and concrete	.9	16.3	9.0
[33] Primary metal industries	1.5	52.8	22.1
[34] Fabricated metal products	1.3	16.8	15.2
[35] Machinery and computer equipment	2.1	26.8	19.6
[36] Electronic and electrical equipment	3.0	30.2	34.6
[37] Transportation equipment	2.5	32.9	23.5
[38] Instruments, etc.	3.6	13.3	43.1
[39] Miscellaneous manufacturing	3.5	21.0	13.0
Manufacturing (ex. petroleum refining)	1.5	17.4	14.6

Sources: RAIS and SECEX 2000 manufacturing firms for Brazil. Eaton et al. (2004) for France 1986 and U.S. 1987.

 $\it Note:$  Percentages of manufacturing firms that export. Manufacturing total excludes petroleum refining.

			Brazil 2000			Fr	ance 1986	
	Single	mkt.	10+ mkts.	50 +  mkts.	Single mk	t. 1(	0+ mkts.	50 + mkts.
SIC industry	(1)	(2)	(3) (4)	(5) (6)	(7) (8)		(10)	(11) $(12)$
[20, 21] Food and tobacco products	32.9	(0.7)	19.9(88.4)	1.6(42.6)	36.2 (1.3)	8) 18	3.4(78.5)	1.6(35.9)
[22, 23] Textiles and apparel	46.0	(2.0)	7.5(66.7)	0.0(0.0)	26.8 (1.	(1) 24	1.9(83.8)	$0.4 \ (19.9)$
[24, 25] Lumber and furniture	35.1	(4.7)	$13.3 \ (52.3)$	$0.1 \ (2.6)$	50.6 (5.	4) 4	1.8(45.4)	0.0(0.0)
[26] Paper and allied products	41.6	(0.2)	15.3 (94.2)	$1.4 \ (15.0)$	25.4 (0.)	$2) 2_4$	1.6(89.9)	1.0(30.2)
[27] Printing and publishing	62.8	(6.5)	4.4 (53.2)	0.6 (0.7)	46.8 (2.8)	8)	0.1 (61.1)	0.6 (23.4)
[28] Chemicals, etc.	36.1	(0.0)	16.8(74.6)	$0.4 \ (6.5)$	19.6(0.	1) 38	3.4(96.9)	$6.2 \ (69.1)$
[30] Rubber and plastics	44.1	(1.4)	9.0(78.9)	$0.4 \ (23.8)$	30.9 (1.	1) 18	3.1(91.4)	$0.9 \ (54.9)$
[31] Leather and leather products	37.4	(1.9)	14.0(68.4)	0.2 $(7.3)$	29.5 (1.5)	2) 21	[.3 (83.5)	0.8(30.8)
[32] Stone, clay, glass, and concrete	40.5	(3.2)	20.0(59.8)	1.3(16.8)	47.4 (2.5	2) 12	2.6(89.3)	1.3 (57.1)
[33] Primary metal industries	45.1	(3.3)	10.1 (78.9)	0.4(14.0)	23.0 (0.	1) 25	5.1(81.1)	2.4(40.3)
[34] Fabricated metal products	42.8	(0.6)	11.9(70.2)	0.6(4.1)	41.9 (3.0	0) 15	3.1(71.7)	$0.5 \ (19.3)$
[35] Machinery and computer eqpmt.	41.5	(1.6)	10.7 (77.8)	0.6(22.2)	30.6 (0.1)	5) 26	$3.1 \ (93.5)$	2.5(58.8)
[36] Electronic and electrical eqpmt.	37.0	(0.5)	$14.7 \ (84.1)$	0.6(12.2)	29.7 (0.)	3) 23	3.3(94.1)	2.8(58.9)
[37] Transportation eqpmt.	32.5	(0.1)	$22.2 \ (95.6)$	2.7 (63.5)	28.9 (0.	$1) 2_4$	$1.2 \ (96.0)$	$2.3 \ (65.1)$
[38] Instruments, etc.	34.8	(3.0)	$16.2 \ (87.0)$	0.3 (3.0)	27.3 (1.	1) 3(	(0.00)	2.7 $(42.5)$
[39] Miscellaneous manufacturing	37.5	(1.5)	8.0(63.0)	0.6(20.8)	34.8 (1.9	9) 17	7.5(82.5)	0.8(24.2)
Manufacturing (ex. petroleum ref.)	39.6	(1.2)	$13.1 \ (82.2)$	0.6 (28.2)	34.5 (0.)	7) 19	0.7 (89.6)	$1.5 \ (51.6)$
<i>Sources: SECEX</i> 2000 manufacturing <i>Note:</i> Manufacturing total excludes p	; firms fc etroleum	or Brazil. 1 refining	Eaton et al. (20 Percentage of f	04) for France 1 irms shipping to	.986. exactly one	destinatic	on, to 10 or r	nore, and to 50

Table 3: Export Market Penetration by Brazilian and French Manufacturing Firms

or more destinations. Percentage of total exports that such exporters represent in brackets.

Table 4: Export Market Penetratic	on by l	3razil's	Manufacture	d Export Vari	eties and	Braziliaı	n Manufac	turing Firms
	$\operatorname{Braz}$	zilian Ma	nufactured Var	ieties $2000^a$	$\operatorname{Brazi}$	lian Manu	ıfacturing Fi	${ m rms} \ 2000^b$
•	Single	mkt.	10 + mkts.	50+  mkts.	Single m	st. 1(	0+ mkts.	50+  mkts.
SIC industry	(1)	(2)	(3) $(4)$	(5) (6)	(2) (2)	(3)	(10)	(11) $(12)$
[20, 21] Food and tobacco products	53.6	(3.8)	8.2~(68.0)	$0.2 \ (6.4)$	32.9 (0)	7) 19	9.9(88.4)	1.6(42.6)
[22, 23] Textiles and apparel	59.3	(8.3)	2.7(44.7)	0.0(0.0)	46.0 (2)	(0	7.5(66.7)	0.0(0.0)
[24, 25] Lumber and furniture	50.4	(8.6)	6.3 (38.1)	0.0(1.2)	35.1 (4)	7) 15	3.3(52.3)	$0.1 \ (2.6)$
[26] Paper and allied products	58.7	(2.0)	5.5(82.7)	0.2~(3.0)	41.6 (0)	2) 15	5.3(94.2)	$1.4\ (15.0)$
[27] Printing and publishing	70.7 (	(14.1)	2.3(38.4)	$0.3\ (0.7)$	62.8 (6	5) 4	1.4 (53.2)	0.6(0.7)
[28] Chemicals, etc.	57.8	(6.3)	4.3(44.6)	0.0(0.8)	36.1 (0)	9) 1(	3.8(74.6)	$0.4\ (6.5)$
[30] Rubber and plastics	60.5	(4.6)	4.2(54.5)	0.1 (17.2)	44.1 (1	4) 9	(0.87)	0.4 (23.8)
[31] Leather and leather products	51.4	(5.4)	7.5(52.1)	0.0(5.8)	37.4 (1)	$9$ ) $1_{4}$	1.0(68.4)	0.2(7.3)
[32] Stone, clay, glass, and concrete	56.8	(6.4)	9.0(50.3)	0.3~(9.7)	40.5 (3)	(2) 2(	0.0(59.8)	$1.3\ (16.8)$
[33] Primary metal industries	57.1	(5.8)	5.7(50.9)	0.0(2.4)	45.1 (3)	3) 1(	0.1(78.9)	0.4(14.0)
[34] Fabricated metal products	51.1	(2.2)	10.5(39.7)	0.3(2.7)	42.8 (0)	(6) 11	(1.9)	0.6(4.1)
[35] Machinery and computer eqpmt.	59.1	(7.2)	4.7~(55.0)	0.0(5.6)	41.5 (1)	6) 1(	).7 (77.8)	0.6(22.2)
[36] Electronic and electrical eqpmt.	59.8	(5.5)	3.9~(56.6)	$0.0\ (1.5)$	37.0 (0	$5) 1_{4}$	1.7 (84.1)	$0.6\ (12.2)$
[37] Transportation eqpmt.	38.9	(0.6)	$18.7\ (83.6)$	$0.1 \ (1.4)$	32.5 (0)	1) 22	2.2(95.6)	$2.7\ (63.5)$
[38] Instruments, etc.	56.1	(5.1)	7.6(71.1)	$0.0\ (1.1)$	34.8 (3)	0) 16	3.2 (87.0)	0.3 (3.0)
[39] Miscellaneous manufacturing	51.6	(3.6)	6.6(54.7)	$0.0\ (0.0)$	37.5 (1)	5) 8	3.0(63.0)	0.6(20.8)
Manufacturing (ex. petroleum refining)	55.0	(4.1)	$6.9\ (62.0)$	$0.1\ (3.9)$	39.6 (1)	2) 15	3.1(82.2)	0.6~(28.2)
$a^{a}$ A manufactured export variety is a n <sup>b</sup> As also reported in columns 1 throug	nanufac gh 6 in '	turing fii Table 3.	:m's export pro	duct.				
Source: SECEX 2000 manufactured expo Note: Each manufacturing firm's export	ort vari product	eties at t t is one v	he Harmonized ariety. Manufa	-System 6-digit l cturing total exc	evel. ludes petrol	eum refin	ing. Percent	age of varieties
shipped to exactly one destination, to 10 represent in brackets.	or mor	e, and tc	50 or more de	stinations. Perce	ntage of to	al exports	s that such $\epsilon$	xport varieties

2 Manufacturing Firms (Eaton et al. (2004) replication)

version 14



Sources: SECEX 2000 manufacturing firms for Brazil 2000; Eaton et al. (2004) for France 1986. Note: Each manufacturing firm's export product is one variety. Left graph under the assumption that every Brazilian manufacturer has sales of at least one Real in the domestic Brazilian market. The elasticity of the Brazilian number of firms with respect to the number of markets is -2.48 (standard error .065). The elasticity of the number of French exporters with respect to the number of markets is -2.5 Eaton et al. (2004).





*Source: SECEX* 2000 manufacturing firms and manufactured export varieties at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.

*Note*: Each manufacturing firm's export product is one variety. Left graph under the assumption that every Brazilian manufacturer has sales of at least one Real in the domestic Brazilian market. The elasticity of the number of firms with respect to the number of markets is -2.48 (standard error .065). In right graph, a manufactured export variety is a manufacturing firm's export product. The elasticity of the number of export varieties with respect to number of markets is -2.87 (standard error .061).

#### Figure 2: Export-market presence of Brazilian firms and varieties





Sources: SECEX 2000 manufacturing firms and manufactured export varieties at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, linked to WTF (Feenstra, Lipsey, Deng, Ma and Mo 2005) and Unido Industrial Statistics (UNIDO 2005).

*Note*: Each manufacturing firm's export product is one variety. For the left graph, the elasticity of the number of Brazilian firms with respect to destination market size (absorption) is .626, conditional on Brazilian exporters' market share in the destination economy (standard error .043, see Table 5). In the right graph, a manufactured export variety is a manufacturing firm's export product. The elasticity of the number of Brazilian firms with respect to destination market size (absorption) is .876, conditional on Brazilian exporters' market share in the destination economy (standard error .079, see Table 7).

Figure 3: Export-market presence and market size

I I I			0			
	Br	cazil $2000^a$		$\mathbf{Fr}$	ance $1986^b$	
$\text{Log } \# \text{ Firms } (M_{sd}, M_{isd})$	Dest. data	Dest. &	Ind. data	Dest. data	Dest. & I	Ind. data
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Log Market share $(\lambda_{sd})$	.719 $(.065)^{***}$	.640 (.017)***	.652 (.017)***	.875 (.030)***	.826 (.023)***	(not rep.)
Log Market size $(T_d)$	.626 $(.043)^{***}$	.494 (.022)***	.502 (.012)***	$.617$ $(.021)^{***}$	$.585$ $(.019)^{***}$	(not rep.)
Log Industry bias $(B_{isd})$		$.356$ $(.028)^{***}$	$.385$ $(.013)^{***}$		$.418$ $(.051)^{***}$	(not rep.)
Const.	-5.710 (1.108)***	-4.348 (.371)***	-4.374 (.288)***	$9.088 \\ (.150)^{***}$	$7.442$ $(.258)^{***}$	(not rep.)
Industry FE			yes			yes
Obs.	67	857	857	113	1,808	1,808
$R^2$	.833	.766	.815	.903	.837	.894

Table 5: Export-Market Presence Regressions for Brazil and France

<sup>a</sup>Data exclude shipments to the Brazilian domestic market.

<sup>b</sup>Data include shipments to the French domestic market.

Sources: SECEX 2000 manufacturing firms, linked to WTF (Feenstra et al. 2005) and Unido Industrial Statistics (UNIDO 2005). Eaton et al. (2004) for France 1986.

Note: 16 SIC industries, 67 destinations in Brazilian data (excluding domestic Brazilian market) and 113 destinations in French data (including domestic French market). Unbalanced destination-industry information in Brazilian data. Total exports  $T_{isd}$  from industry *i* in source country *s* (Brazil, France) to destination market *d* can be decomposed into:  $M_{isd}\bar{t}_{isd}$ , where  $M_{isd}$  is the number of source country *s*'s exporters in industry *i* with shipments to destination country *d*, and  $\bar{t}_{isd}$  are these exporters' average sales in destination country *d*. The same total exports  $T_{isd}$  can also be decomposed into:  $\lambda_{sd}T_dB_{isd}$ , where  $\lambda_{sd}$  is the market share of source country *s*'s exports in destination *d*,  $T_d$  is the market size of destination country *d* (manufacturing absorption), and industry bias  $B_{isd}$  is the share of source country *s*'s industry *i* exports in the country's total exports to destination *d*. By definition,  $M_{isd}\bar{t}_{isd} = \lambda_{sd}T_dB_{isd}$ . Regressions in columns (2), (3), (5), and (6) show what fraction of  $\lambda_{sd}T_dB_{isd}$ is associated with the market presence of additional firms  $M_{isd}$  (as opposed to additional sales per firm  $\bar{t}_{isd}$ ). For regressions in columns (1) and (4), set  $B_{isd} \equiv 1$  and  $M_{sd} \equiv \sum_i M_{isd}$ . Standard errors in parentheses (clustered at the industry level in columns 2, 3, 5 and 6): \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	Un	conditional	1	Conditional	on Export	er Scope
$\text{Log } \# \text{ Firms } (M_{sd}, M_{isd})$	Dest. data	Dest. &	Ind. data	Dest. data	Dest. &	Ind. data
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Log Market share $(\lambda_{sd})$	.719 $(.065)^{***}$	$.640$ $(.017)^{***}$	$.652$ $(.017)^{***}$	$.661$ $(.075)^{***}$	$.643$ $(.024)^{***}$	$.628$ $(.018)^{***}$
Log Market size $(T_d)$	.626 $(.043)^{***}$	.494 (.022)***	.502 (.012)***	$.625$ $(.043)^{***}$	.494 (.022)***	.498 (.012)***
Log Industry bias $(B_{isd})$		$.356$ $(.028)^{***}$	$.385$ $(.013)^{***}$		$.357$ $(.029)^{***}$	$.367$ $(.014)^{***}$
$\text{Log }\# \text{ Prod. } (G_{sd}, G_{isd})$				.366 $(.239)$	019 (.157)	.188 (.047)***
Const.	-5.710 (1.108)***	$-4.348$ $(.371)^{***}$	-4.374 (.288)***	$-6.355$ $(1.175)^{***}$	-4.326 (.412)***	-4.625 (.292)***
Industry FE			yes			yes
Obs. $R^2$	67 .833	857 .766	857 .815	67 .839	857 .766	857 .818

Table 6: Export-Market Presence Regressions and Exporter Scope

Sources: SECEX 2000 manufactured export varieties at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, linked to WTF (Feenstra et al. 2005) and Unido Industrial Statistics (UNIDO 2005).

Note: Each manufacturing firm's export product is one variety. 16 SIC industries, 67 destinations (excluding domestic Brazilian market), with unbalanced destination-industry information. Total exports  $T_{isd}$  from industry *i* in source country *s* (Brazil, France) to destination market *d* can be decomposed into:  $M_{isd}\bar{G}_{isd}\bar{z}_{isd}$ , where  $M_{isd}$  is the number of source country *s*'s exporters in industry *i* with shipments to destination country *d*,  $\bar{G}_{isd}$  is these exporters' average number of products shipped to destination *d* (the average scale of these exporters), and  $\bar{z}_{isd}$  are their export products' average sales in destination *d* (the average scale of the export varieties). The same total exports  $T_{isd}$  can also be decomposed into:  $\lambda_{sd}T_dB_{isd}$  (similar to the decomposition in Table 5 before), where  $\lambda_{sd}$  is the market share of source country *s*'s export varieties in destination country *d*,  $T_d$  is the market size of destination country's total exports to destination *d*. By definition,  $M_{isd}\bar{G}_{isd}\bar{z}_{isd} = \lambda_{sd}T_dB_{isd}$ . Regressions in columns (2), (3), (5), and (6) show what fraction of  $\lambda_{sd}T_dB_{isd}$  is associated with the market presence of additional firms  $M_{isd}$  (as opposed to additional sales per firm  $\bar{z}_{isd}$ ), unconditional or conditional on the log exporter scope  $\bar{G}_{isd}$ . For regressions in columns (1) and (4), set  $B_{isd} \equiv 1$  and  $M_{sd} \equiv \sum_i M_{isd}$ , and set  $\bar{g}_{sd} \equiv \sum_i \bar{G}_{isd}$ . Standard errors in parentheses (clustered at the industry level in columns 2, 3, 5 and 6): \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	Log # Exp.	Varieties (	$(V_{sd}, V_{isd})$	Log # Pro	oducts $(\bar{G}_{\varepsilon})$	$(\bar{G}_{isd})$
	Dest. data	Dest. &	Ind. data	Dest. data	Dest. &	Ind. data
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Log Market share $(\lambda_{sd})$	$.876 \\ (.079)^{***}$	$.777$ $(.035)^{***}$	$.779$ $(.023)^{***}$	.087 (.057)	.146 $(.102)$	$.061$ $(.021)^{***}$
Log Market size $(T_d)$	$.630 \\ (.052)^{***}$	.522 $(.029)^{***}$	.526 $(.015)^{***}$	058 (.046)	$.036 \\ (.062)$	$027$ $(.015)^{*}$
Log Industry bias $(B_{isd})$		$.424$ $(.043)^{***}$	.482 (.018)***		$.073 \\ (.071)$	$.058$ $(.014)^{***}$
$Log \# Firms (M_{isd})$					015 (.126)	.101 $(.025)^{***}$
Const.	-3.947 (1.341)***	-3.202 (.724)***	$-3.039$ $(.379)^{***}$	$2.324$ $(.676)^{***}$	$1.082 \\ (.470)^{**}$	1.776 (.236)***
Industry FE			yes			yes
Obs. $R^2$	67 .802	857 .712	857 .769	67 .281	$857 \\ .107$	$857 \\ .212$

Table II Bilpere (allee) I resence and Enpereer scope requestions	Table 7:	Export	Variety	Presence and	Exporter	Scope	Regressions
-------------------------------------------------------------------	----------	--------	---------	--------------	----------	-------	-------------

Sources: SECEX 2000 manufactured export varieties at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, linked to WTF (Feenstra et al. 2005) and Unido Industrial Statistics (UNIDO 2005).

Note: Each manufacturing firm's export product is one variety. 6 SIC industries, 67 destinations (excluding domestic Brazilian market), with unbalanced destination-industry information. Total exports  $T_{isd}$  from industry i in source country s (Brazil, France) to destination market d can be decomposed into (Broda and Weinstein 2006):  $V_{isd}\bar{z}_{isd}$ , where  $V_{isd}$  is the number of export varieties from source country s's industry i shipped to destination country d and  $\bar{z}_{isd}$  is average sales of these export varieties in destination country d (the average scale of the export varieties). As in Tables 5 and 6 before,  $V_{isd}$ can be further decomposed into  $M_{isd}\bar{G}_{isd}$ , where  $M_{isd}$  is the number of source country s's exporters in industry i with shipments to destination country d and  $\bar{G}_{isd}$  is these exporters' average number of products shipped to destination d (the average scope of these exporters). As in Tables 5 and 6 before, total exports  $T_{isd}$  can also be decomposed into:  $\lambda_{sd}T_dB_{isd}$ , where  $\lambda_{sd}$  is the market share of source country s's export varieties in destination country d,  $T_d$  is the market size of destination country d(manufacturing absorption), and  $B_{isd}$  is the share of source country s's industry i exports in the country's total exports to destination d. By definition,  $V_{isd}z_{sd}^g = M_{isd}\bar{G}_{isd}z_{sd}^g = \lambda_{sd}T_dB_{isd}$ . Regressions in columns (1) through (3) show what fraction of  $\lambda_{sd}T_dB_{isd}$  is associated with the market presence of additional export varieties  $V_{sd}$  or  $V_{isd}$  (as opposed to additional sales per variety  $\bar{z}_{isd}$ ). Regressions in columns (4) through (6) show what fraction of  $\lambda_{sd}T_dB_{isd}$  is associated with exporter scope  $\bar{g}_{sd}$  or  $\bar{G}_{isd}$ . For regressions in columns (1) and (4), set  $B_{isd} \equiv 1$ ,  $V_{sd} \equiv \sum_i V_{isd}$ , and  $\bar{g}_{sd} \equiv \sum_i \bar{G}_{isd}$ . These regressions are cross-section analogs to the time series regression in Broda and Weinstein (2006). Standard errors in parentheses (clustered at the industry level in columns 2, 3, 5 and 6): \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

# 3 Manufacturing Firms: Bernard et al. (2007) replication

	U.:	S. 2002	Bra	zil 2000
	% Firms	% Exporters	% Firms	% Exporters
NAICS industry	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
311 Food Manufacturing	6	12	15	.7
312 Beverage and Tobacco Product	0	23	1	1.4
313 Textile Mills	1	25	2	2.5
314 Textile Product Mills	1	12	2	1.5
315 Apparel Manufacturing	3	8	15	.6
316 Leather and Allied Product	0	24	3	3.7
321 Wood Product Manufacturing	5	8	7	2.0
322 Paper Manufacturing	1	24	2	1.7
323 Printing and Related Support	11	5	0	.0
324 Petroleum and Coal Products	0	18	0	4.5
325 Chemical Manufacturing	3	36	5	2.7
326 Plastics and Rubber Products	4	28	6	1.8
327 Nonmetallic Mineral Product	4	9	8	.9
331 Primary Metal Manufacturing	1	30	3	1.9
332 Fabricated Metal Product	19	14	10	1.1
333 Machinery Manufacturing	9	33	6	2.9
334 Computer and Electronic Product	4	38	1	3.3
335 Electrical Equipment, Appliance	1	38	2	3.0
336 Transportation Equipment	3	28	3	2.6
337 Furniture and Related Product	6	7	7	1.3
339 Miscellaneous Manufacturing	9	2	3	2.7
Aggregate Manufacturing	100	18	100	1.6

Table 8: Exporting Activity By Manufacturing Firms in Brazil and the U.S.

Sources: RAIS and SECEX 2000 manufacturing firms for Brazil. Bernard et al. (2007) for the U.S. 2002.

*Note*: Columns 1 and 3 report the percentage of manufacturing firms across three-digit NAICS manufacturing industries. Columns 2 and 4 report the share of firms in each industry that export.

			# Destinations	3		
# Products	1	2	3	4	5+	All
			<b>U.S. 2000</b> (H	S 10-digit lev	el)	
1	40.4	1.2	.3	.1	.2	42.2
2	10.4	4.7	.8	.3	.4	16.4
3	4.7	2.3	1.3	.4	.5	9.3
4	2.5	1.3	1.0	.6	.7	6.2
5+	6.0	3.0	2.7	2.3	11.9	25.9
All	64.0	12.6	6.1	3.6	13.7	100.0
			Brazil 2000 (	HS 6-digit lev	el)	
1	26.2	5.8	2.2	1.1	2.6	37.9
2	6.6	5.0	2.1	1.4	3.4	18.6
3	3.0	2.6	1.6	1.0	3.4	11.5
4	1.2	1.2	1.0	.7	2.6	6.8
5+	2.6	2.7	2.3	2.3	15.2	25.2
All	39.7	17.3	9.2	6.5	27.3	100.0
		E	Brazil 2000 (N	ICN 8-digit le	evel)	
1	26.0	5.5	2.0	.9	2.4	36.8
2	6.6	4.9	2.1	1.4	3.0	18.1
3	3.1	2.7	1.7	1.0	3.2	11.7
4	1.3	1.3	.9	.6	2.5	6.6
5+	2.8	2.9	2.5	2.5	16.2	26.9
All	39.7	17.3	9.2	6.5	27.3	100.0

Table 9: Exporter Distribution by Exporter Scope and Destinations, 2000

Sources: RAIS and SECEX 2000 manufacturing firms for Brazil. Bernard et al. (2007) for the U.S. 2000.

*Note*: Joint distribution of manufacturing firms that export, according to the number of their export products (rows) and their number of destinations (columns). Products at the Harmonized-System 10-digit level for the U.S., and for Brazil at the Harmonized-System 6-digit and the Mercosur-Common-Nomenclature 8-digit level (an 8-digit refinement of HS-6).

			# Destination	ıs		
# Products	1	2	3	4	5+	All
			<b>U.S. 2000</b> (]	HS 10-digit lev	vel)	
1	.20	.06	.02	.02	.07	.40
2	.19	.12	.04	.03	.15	.50
3	.19	.07	.05	.03	.19	.50
4	.12	.08	.08	.04	.27	.60
5+	2.63	1.23	1.02	.89	92.20	98.00
All	3.30	1.50	1.20	1.00	92.90	100.00
			Brazil 2000	(HS 6-digit le	vel)	
1	.78	.73	.70	.48	4.70	7.39
2	.20	.37	.47	.54	3.57	5.15
3	.16	.22	.26	.23	5.04	5.90
4	.08	.09	.12	.13	4.69	5.11
5+	.09	.30	.52	.74	74.80	76.44
All	1.30	1.71	2.07	2.12	92.80	100.00
			<b>Brazil 2000</b> (	MCN 8-digit l	evel)	
1	.78	.71	.68	.42	4.48	7.07
2	.20	.35	.46	.55	3.35	4.91
3	.16	.21	.23	.25	4.38	5.23
4	.06	.10	.12	.13	4.37	4.78
5+	.11	.33	.57	.78	76.22	78.01
All	1.30	1.71	2.07	2.12	92.80	100.00

Table 10: Total Exports Distribution by Exporter Scope and Destinations, 2000

Sources: RAIS and SECEX 2000 manufacturing firms for Brazil. Bernard et al. (2007) for the U.S. 2000.

*Note*: Joint distribution of manufacturing firms' total exports, according to the number of their export products (rows) and their number of destinations (columns). Products at the Harmonized-System 10-digit level for the U.S., and for Brazil at the Harmonized-System 6-digit and the Mercosur-Common-Nomenclature 8-digit level (an 8-digit refinement of HS-6).

			# Destination	S		
# Products	1	2	3	4	5+	All
			<b>U.S. 2000</b> (H	IS 10-digit lev	rel)	
1	7.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	7.1
2	1.9	2.6	.1	.0	.0	4.6
3	1.3	1.0	.8	.0	.2	3.3
4	.5	.4	.3	.2	.2	1.6
5+	3.5	2.6	4.3	4.1	68.8	83.3
All	14.2	6.7	5.5	4.3	69.2	100.0
			Brazil 2000	(HS 6-digit lev	vel)	
1	8.0	2.5	1.5	.6	2.2	14.8
2	2.0	2.6	1.2	1.0	2.9	9.8
3	1.1	1.2	1.1	.8	4.2	8.3
4	.5	.6	.6	.9	4.1	6.7
5+	.9	1.4	1.8	2.7	53.6	60.3
All	12.6	8.3	6.1	6.0	67.0	100.0
		E	Brazil 2000 (1	MCN 8-digit l	evel)	
1	8.0	2.3	1.4	.5	2.0	14.2
2	2.0	2.6	1.2	1.0	2.4	9.2
3	1.1	1.3	1.1	.8	3.7	8.1
4	.5	.6	.5	.8	3.8	6.3
5+	1.0	1.5	1.9	2.8	55.0	62.2
All	12.6	8.3	6.1	6.0	67.0	100.0

Table 11: Employment Distribution by Exporter Scope and Destinations, 2000

Sources: RAIS and SECEX 2000 manufacturing firms for Brazil. Bernard et al. (2007) for the U.S. 2000.

*Note*: Joint distribution of manufacturing firm employment, according to the number of their export products (rows) and their number of destinations (columns). Products at the Harmonized-System 10-digit level for the U.S., and for Brazil at the Harmonized-System 6-digit and the Mercosur-Common-Nomenclature 8-digit level (an 8-digit refinement of HS-6).

	Log	$\log \#$	$\log \#$	Log Sales/
	Total Exports	Firms	Total Products	prod. & firm
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	U.S. Exports 20	<b>00</b> (HS 10-digit	level)	
Log GDP	$.98 \\ (.04)^{***}$	$.71$ $(.04)^{***}$	.52 (.03)***	$25$ $(.04)^{***}$
Log Distance	$^{-1.36}_{(.17)^{***}}$	$-1.14$ $(.16)^{***}$	$-1.06$ $(.15)^{***}$	.84 (.19)***
Obs.	175	175	175	175
$R^2$	.82	.74	.64	.25
	Brazilian Expor	ts 2000 (MCN 3	8-digit level)	
Log GDP	$.98$ $(.05)^{***}$	$.57$ $(.04)^{***}$	.60 (.04)***	$19$ $(.04)^{***}$
Log Distance	$-2.01$ $(.26)^{***}$	$^{-1.93}_{(.18)^{***}}$	-2.39 (.20)***	$2.31 \\ (.21)^{***}$
Obs.	175	175	175	175
$R^2$	.67	.63	.65	.43
	Chilean Exports	<b>2000</b> (HS 8-dig	git level)	
Log GDP	$.86$ $(.08)^{***}$	$.52$ $(.05)^{***}$	.55 (.05)***	21 (.05)***
Log Distance	$-1.02$ $(.41)^{**}$	$-1.21$ $(.22)^{***}$	$-1.59$ $(.26)^{***}$	$1.78 \\ (.26)^{***}$
Obs.	161	161	161	161
$R^2$	.40	.47	.45	.27

Table 12: Gravity and Exports Decomposition for U.S., Brazil and Chile 2000

Sources: Bernard et al. (2007) for U.S. 2000 manufacturing firms; Brazilian SECEX 2000 and Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez, Faruq and López 2007), manufacturing firms.

Note: Products at the Harmonized-System 10-digit level for the U.S.; at the Mercosur-Common-Nomenclature 8-digit level (an 8-digit refinement of HS-6) for Brazil; at the Harmonized-System 8-digit level for Chile. Total exports  $T_{sd}$  are decomposed into  $T_{sd} = M_{sd} G_{sd} \bar{z}_{sd}$ , where  $M_{sd}$  is the number of exporters in s with shipments to destination d,  $G_{sd} \equiv \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{sd}} G_d(\omega)$  is the total number of products exported from s to d, and  $\bar{z}_{sd} \equiv \bar{t}_{sd}/G_{sd}$  is the average value of exports per product per firm (Bernard et al. 2007). Results from country-level ordinary least squares regressions of the dependent variable noted at the top of each column on the covariates listed in the first column. Estimates for the constant suppressed. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

Obs.

 $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

Log GDP

Log Distance

.86

 $(.08)^{***}$ 

-1.02

 $(.41)^{**}$ 

161

.40

	Log Total Exp.	Log #  Firms	Log #  Products/firm	Log Sales/ # prod./firm
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Brazilian Exp	orts 2000 (HS 6	-digit level)	
Log GDP	$.98$ $(.05)^{***}$	$.57$ $(.04)^{***}$	$.03$ $(.01)^{**}$	$.38$ $(.03)^{***}$
Log Distance	$-2.01$ $(.26)^{***}$	$-1.93$ $(.18)^{***}$	42 (.07)***	$.34$ $(.16)^{**}$
Obs. $R^2$	175 .67	$175 \\ .63$	$\begin{array}{c} 175 \\ .19 \end{array}$	$175 \\ .48$
	Brazilian Exp	orts 2000 (MCN	8-digit level)	
Log GDP	.98 (.05)***	$.57$ $(.04)^{***}$	.04 (.01)**	$.38$ $(.03)^{***}$
Log Distance	$-2.01$ $(.26)^{***}$	$-1.93$ $(.18)^{***}$	46 (.07)***	$.38$ $(.16)^{**}$
Obs. $R^2$	$\begin{array}{c} 175 \\ .67 \end{array}$	$175 \\ .63$	175 .21	$175 \\ .48$
	Chilean Expo	rts 2000 (HS 6-c	ligit level)	
Log GDP	.86 (.08)***	.52 (.05)***	.03 (.01)**	$.31$ $(.05)^{***}$
Log Distance	$-1.02$ $(.41)^{**}$	$-1.21$ $(.22)^{***}$	37 (.06)***	$.56$ $(.25)^{**}$
Obs. $R^2$	161 .40	$\begin{array}{c} 161 \\ .47 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 161 \\ .19 \end{array}$	161 .22
	Chilean Expo	rts 2000 (HS 8-c	ligit level)	

Table 13:	Gravity and	Alternative Export	ts Decomposition for	or Brazil and	Chile 2000
	•	1	1		

Sources: Brazilian SECEX 2000 and Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms.

.52

 $(.05)^{***}$ 

-1.21

161

.47

 $(.22)^{**}$ 

.03

 $(.01)^{**}$ 

-.38

 $(.06)^{***}$ 

161

.19

Note: Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit and the Mercosur-Common-Nomenclature 8-digit level (an 8-digit refinement of HS-6) for Brazil; at the Harmonized-System 6-digit and 8-digit levels for Chile. Total exports  $T_{sd}$  are decomposed into  $T_{sd} = M_{sd} \bar{G}_{sd} \bar{z}_{sd}$ , where  $M_{sd}$  is the number of exporters in s with shipments to destination d,  $\bar{G}_{sd} \equiv \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{sd}} G_d(\omega)/M_{sd}$  is the exporters' mean exporter scope, and  $\bar{z}_{sd} \equiv \bar{t}_{sd}/\bar{G}_{sd}$  is their varieties' mean product scale. Results from country-level ordinary least squares regressions of the dependent variable noted at the top of each column on the covariates noted in the first column. Estimates for the constant suppressed. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

.31

 $(.05)^{***}$ 

.57

 $(.25)^{**}$ 

161

.22

### 4 Manufacturing Firms and Products

Table 14:	Sample	Characteristics	by	Destination
-----------	--------	-----------------	----	-------------

	World	Mercosur	Oecd	non-Oecd	USA	Argentina
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
# of Observations (MNH)	$162,\!570$	45,429	$36,\!359$	124,811	10,775	21,623
# of Destinations $(N)$	170	3	23	141	1	1
Regional share in Tot. exports	1.000	.172	.559	.441	.257	.144
		Firms				
# of Firms $(M)$	10,215	$6,\!428$	$5,\!041$	$8,\!590$	3,083	$4,\!590$
Median Total exports $(T_{md})$	.089	.051	.137	.064	.120	.068
Median Exporter scope $(G_{md})$	2	2	2	2	1	2
Median Avg. prod. scale $(z_{md})$	.037	.022	.070	.027	.068	.031
Mean Total exports $(\bar{t}_d)$	3.720	1.017	4.217	1.928	3.170	1.192
Mean Exporter scope $(\bar{G}_d)$	5.278	4.908	3.933	5.190	3.495	4.711
Mean Avg. prod. scale $(z_d)$	.705	.207	1.072	.372	.907	.253
Shares in Total exports						
Single-prod. firms	.090	.078	.142	.069	.123	.086
Multi-prod. firms' top product	.597	.555	.625	.572	.662	.555
Multi-prod. firms' other prod.	.313	.367	.233	.359	.215	.359
		Varieties				
# of Varieties $(MH)$	$53,\!910$	$31,\!548$	$19,\!826$	$44,\!579$	10,775	$21,\!623$
Median Variety sales	.006	.005	.009	.005	.009	.006
Mean Variety sales	.705	.207	1.072	.372	.907	.253

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Exports in US\$ million fob. Mean Average product scale  $(z_d)$  is the scope-weighted arithmetic mean of exporters' average product scales.

Rank	Product	Exports (US\$ mill.)	Share in tot. exports (%)	# of Dest.
1.	Airplane & $a/c$ unladen wght > 2t, nov 15t	2,619	6.9	16
2.	Chem woodpulp, soda etc, n dis s bl & bl nonconif	1,523	4.0	29
3.	Soybean oilcake & oth solid residue, wh/not ground	1,246	3.3	36
4.	Pass veh spk-ig int com rcpr p eng $>1500$ nov 3m cc	$1,\!197$	3.2	32
5.	Transmission appr incorporating reception apparats	927	2.4	31
6.	Footwear, outer sole rub etc & leather upper nesoi	855	2.3	91
7.	Smfd irn/nal stl lt .25 pct crb rect cs wid 2x thk	803	2.1	17
8.	Unwrought aluminum, not alloyed	765	2.0	13
9.	Orange juice, frozen, sweetened or not	561	1.5	40
10.	Cane sugar, raw, solid form, w/o added flav/color	521	1.4	31
11.	Chicken cuts and edible offal (inc livers), frozen	419	1.1	61
12.	Compressors used in refrigerating equipment	415	1.1	63
13.	Parts and accessories of motor vehicles, nesoi	413	1.1	104
14.	Nonalloy pig iron 0.5 prcnt or less phosphorus	368	1.0	16
15.	Spark-ignition int combustion piston eng pts nesoi	362	1.0	95
16.	Meat & offal of chickens, not cut in pieces, frozen	358	0.9	61
17.	Spark-ignition reciprocating int com pistn eng pts	353	0.9	93
18.	Trucks, nesoi, diesel eng, gvw 5 metric tons & und	331	0.9	31
19.	Semifinished products of alloy steel not stainless	316	0.8	18
20.	Cane/beet sug chem pure sucrose refind nesoi	316	0.8	45
21.	Food preparations nesoi	308	0.8	52
22.	Pass veh com-ig int com eng $> 1500$ nov 2500 cc	296	0.8	19
23.	Bovine leather without hair on otherwise pretanned	276	0.7	43
24.	Meat of bovine animals, boneless, frozen	265	0.7	52
25.	Bovine & equine leather nesoi, par-dr full grn etc	253	0.7	55

### Table 15: Top 25 Export Products

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

Note: Export values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.

Donk	Destination	Exports	Share in tot. $(\%)$	# of Products
nalik	Destination	(055 mm.)	exports (70)	Floducts
1.	USA	9,773	25.7	$2,\!146$
2.	Argentina	$5,\!472$	14.4	2,814
3.	Mexico	1,554	4.1	$1,\!443$
4.	Netherlands	$1,\!488$	3.9	628
5.	Italy	$1,\!442$	3.8	949
6.	Germany	1,365	3.6	$1,\!174$
7.	Belgium-Luxembourg	$1,\!184$	3.1	584
8.	Japan	$1,\!176$	3.1	663
9.	Chile	$1,\!145$	3.0	2,117
10.	UK	1,141	3.0	805
11.	France Monaco	1,095	2.9	892
12.	Venezuela	658	1.7	1,599
13.	Paraguay	561	1.5	2,144
14.	Uruguay	505	1.3	2,318
15.	Spain	470	1.2	761
16.	Colombia	466	1.2	1,350
17.	Switzerland, Liechtenstein	426	1.1	361
18.	China Hong Kong SAR	418	1.1	408
19.	Canada	414	1.1	742
20.	China	346	0.9	610
21.	Peru	330	0.9	1,556
22.	Korea Rep.	328	0.9	283
23.	Saudi Arabia	300	0.8	398
24.	Australia	296	0.8	653
25.	Russian Federation	285	0.7	224

Table 16: Top 25 Export Destinations

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

Note: Export values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.



Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members of the OECD in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.





Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.





Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.



version 14



Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Large circles depict the mean number of products by percentile, small dots above and below indicate a onestandard-error deviation. Fitted line from an ordinary least squares regression of the mean number of products on the percentile, up to the 98th percentile, with a 95-percent confidence band around.

Figure 7: Exporter Scope and Total Exports Distribution



Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. Note: Selection of the six countries at the fiftieth through hundredth percentiles among Brazil's top 100 export destinations (Panama, US outlying territories, Singapore, Peru, France/Monaco, USA). Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Large circles depict the mean number of products by percentile, small dots above and below indicate a one-standard-error deviation. Fitted line from an ordinary least squares regression of the mean number of products on the percentile, up to the 98th percentile, with a 95-percent confidence band around.

Figure 8: Exporter Scope and Total Exports Distribution by Country

Local and	Corr.	Spearman's rank corr.	Local regress	on world sion coeff.	Local, firm FE corr. coeff.
World pctl.	coeff.	coeff.	OLS	Dest. FE	Dest. & firm FE
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Coefficient	.558	.577	.695	.809	.655
p value <sup><math>a</math></sup>	0	0	0	0	0
Obs.	71,567	71,567	71,567	$71,\!567$	$71,\!567$
# Dest.				170	170
Panels					10,215

Table 17: Correlations between Local and Worldwide Total Exports Percentiles

<sup>a</sup>Null hypothesis: Coefficient is zero.

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Percentiles in discrete numbers. Unconditional and Spearman's rank correlation coefficients in columns 1 and 2. Regression coefficients of local total-exports percentiles on a firm's worldwide total-exports percentile in columns 3 (OLS with constant) and 4 (destination FE regression). In column 5, correlation coefficient between local totalexports percentiles and the firm-fixed effect from a local total-exports percentile regression on firm and destination fixed effects.

				Dest. &
Log # Products	OLS	Firm FE	Dest. FE	$\mathbf{Firm} \ \mathbf{FE}$
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Log Local total-exp. percentile	$.393$ $(.006)^{***}$	-3.95e-25 (8.60e-15)	$.394$ $(.006)^{***}$	5.11e-25 (9.00e-15)
Constant	$2.572$ $(.008)^{***}$	2.236 (9.34e-15)***	$2.201 \\ (.021)^{***}$	2.236 (2.68e-14)***
Observations	68,055	68,055	68,055	68,055
Panels		10,209		10,209
$R^2 (R^2 \text{ within})^a$	.054	0	.118	0

### Table 18: Exporter Scope and Local Total-Exports Percentile Correlations

 ${}^{a}R^{2}$  is within fit for firm FE regressions in columns 2 and 4.

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

		1	1
		OLS	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Mean Log Market size			082 (.036)**
Log Population		.0009 (.031)	.020 (.054)
Log GDP per cap.		136 (.033)***	099 (.055)*
Log GDP	062 (.027)**		
Log Distance	.014 (.147)	.004 (.141)	124 (.150)
Common borders	147 (.295)	145 (.284)	423 (.281)
Common language	839 (.304)***	838 (.292)***	464 (.282)*
Obs. $R^2$	$153 \\ .079$	$153 \\ .151$	$104 \\ .296$

### Table 19: Correlates of Destination Effects on Exporter Scope

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions of destination fixed effects on destination-level predictors, where destination fixed effects on exporter scope are from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for the firm's local total-exports percentile (column 3 in Table 18). Mean log market size is average sectoral absorption over *ISIC rev. 2* industries at destination level. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	World	Mercosur	OECD	non-OECD	USA	Argentina
Percentile	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
00	1	1	1	1	1	1
05	1	1	1	1	1	1
10	1	1	1	1	1	1
25	1	1	1	1	1	1
50	2	2	2	2	1	2
75	4	4	3	4	3	4
80	5	5	4	5	3	5
85	7	7	5	7	4	7
90	10	10	7	10	6	9
95	18	16	12	18	10	16
99	54	43	40	51	39	42
100	372	305	329	369	282	296

Table 20: Exporter Scope Distribution by Destination

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.



*Source: SECEX* 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. *Note:* Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Leftmost observations are all exporters; at the next percentile are exporter observations with shipments in the top 99 percentiles; up to the right-most observations with exporters whose shipments are in the top percentile.

Figure 9: Average Scope, Total Exports and the Total Exports Distribution



*Source: SECEX* 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. *Note:* Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Leftmost observations are all exporters; at the next percentile are exporter observations with shipments in the top 99 percentiles; up to the right-most observations with exporters whose shipments are in the top percentile.

Figure 10: Average Scope, Average Scale and the Total Exports Distribution



*Source: SECEX* 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. *Note:* Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

### Figure 11: Average Scope, Total Exports and the Exporter Scope Distribution


*Source: SECEX* 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. *Note:* Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

## Figure 12: Average Scope, Total Exports and the Exporter Scope Distribution



Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. Note: Selection of the eleven countries at the first and every tenth percentile among Brazil's top 100 export destinations (Other African countries, Somalia, Iraq, Finland, Romania, Panama, US outlying territories, Singapore, Peru, France/Monaco, USA). Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

# Figure 13: Average Scope, Scale and Exporter Distributions Across Countries



*Sources: RAIS* and *SECEX* 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. *Note:* Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left panel: firms by tercile of worldwide number of destinations; right panel: firms by tercile of domestic employment. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

Figure 14: Average Scope and the Exporter Distribution by Firm Type



*Sources: RAIS* and *SECEX* 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. *Note:* Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left panel: firms by tercile of worldwide number of destinations; right panel: firms by tercile of domestic employment. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

Figure 15: Average Scale and the Exporter Distribution by Firm Type

version 14



*Source: SECEX* 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. *Note:* Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. World average from pooling destinations to which firms in a given exporter-scope group ship.



					Prod	uct rank				
Exporter scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	$8 \mathrm{th}$	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th
Single product	.862 (.228)									
2 products	.999 $(.139)$	.157 (.034)								
3 products	1.220 (.200)	.206 $(.024)$	.056 $(.011)$							
4 products	2.389 (.420)	.509 (.094)	$.170 \\ (.042)$	.040 (.010)						
5 products	$\begin{array}{c} 1.836 \\ (.361) \end{array}$	.429 (.079)	.151 (.027)	.047 (.010)	.019 (.006)					
6 products	$\begin{array}{c} 3.639 \\ (1.982) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.318 \\ (.880) \end{array}$	$.150 \\ (.026)$	.073 (.015)	.021 (.004)	.004 (.0009)				
7 products	2.302 (.379)	.637 $(.136)$	$.199 \\ (.034)$	.078 (.015)	.038 $(.009)$	.019 (.008)	.003 $(.0006)$			
8 products	2.421 (.568)	.619 $(.154)$	.255 (.083)	.100 (.042)	.061 (.032)	.043 (.027)	.008 (.002)	.003 (.0007)		
9 products	3.827 (.942)	1.426 (.337)	.530 (.121)	.207 (.053)	.123 (.036)	.062 (.020)	.034 $(.012)$	.019 (.008)	.002 (.0005)	
10 products	$\begin{array}{c} 3.938 \\ (1.510) \end{array}$	$1.088 \\ (.460)$	.669 (.310)	.259 (.123)	.163 $(.077)$	.098 $(.046)$	.063 (.033)	.036 (.019)	.014 (.008)	.004 (.002)
Avg. varieties <sup><math>a</math></sup>	922	585	418	316	255	207	177	158	136	108

Table 21: Worldwide Exports by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

<sup>a</sup>Average number of exporter products across rows.

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products and firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products. Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

		Product rank								
Exporter scope	1st	2nd	3rd	$4 \mathrm{th}$	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	8th	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th
Single product	.203 (.035)									
2 products	.327 (.048)	.035 $(.004)$								
3 products	.576 (.157)	.121 (.035)	.023 (.005)							
4 products	.626 (.127)	.146 (.023)	.047 (.009)	.013 (.005)						
5 products	.757 (.249)	.151 (.030)	.042 (.008)	.019 (.004)	.003 (.0005)					
6 products	.560 (.120)	.164 (.032)	.081 (.023)	$\begin{array}{c} .033 \\ (.010) \end{array}$	.011 (.002)	.004 (.0008)				
7 products	.737 (.113)	.202 (.034)	.094 (.020)	.046 (.013)	.019 (.004)	.006 (.001)	.002 (.0005)			
8 products	.713 (.148)	$.205 \\ (.052)$	.117 (.038)	.076 (.033)	.040 (.018)	.026 (.013)	$.009 \\ (.005)$	.004 (.003)		
9 products	3.824 (2.625)	.537 (.193)	.219 (.078)	$.123 \\ (.046)$	.052 (.018)	.035 (.012)	.014 (.004)	$.007 \\ (.003)$	.004 (.002)	
10 products	.750 (.184)	.270 (.068)	.137 (.049)	.089 (.034)	.040 (.014)	.025 (.010)	.015 (.007)	.009 (.006)	.006 (.003)	.003 $(.002)$
Avg. varieties <sup><math>a</math></sup>	584	369	261	202	165	134	117	103	96	90

Table 22: Exports to Mercosur by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

 $^{a}\mathrm{Average}$  number of exporter products across rows.

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products and firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products.

*Note*: Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

		Product rank								
Exporter scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	$8 \mathrm{th}$	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th
Single product	1.332 (.372)									
2 products	$1.436 \\ (.223)$	.210 (.036)								
3 products	$2.134 \\ (.401)$	$.395 \\ (.060)$	.100 (.030)							
4 products	$2.226 \\ (.270)$	.516 (.074)	.143 (.027)	.045 (.013)						
5 products	$2.340 \\ (.452)$	.828 (.309)	.244 $(.055)$	.072 (.014)	.023 (.008)					
6 products	$9.460 \\ (4.298)$	3.414 (2.348)	.449 (.128)	$.199 \\ (.058)$	.054 $(.014)$	.012 (.003)				
7 products	7.452 (1.982)	$2.207 \\ (.676)$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.009 \\ (.431) \end{array}$	.283 $(.104)$	$.108 \\ (.045)$	.034 $(.015)$	.017 $(.011)$			
8 products	$9.067 \\ (3.440)$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.931 \\ (.530) \end{array}$	.923 (.302)	.407 $(.133)$	.209 (.089)	.074 $(.031)$	$\begin{array}{c} .031 \\ (.010) \end{array}$	.009 (.003)		
9 products	$6.100 \\ (1.821)$	$1.674 \\ (.446)$	.731 (.264)	.182 (.063)	.113 (.034)	.044 (.015)	.019 (.005)	.008 $(.003)$	.002 (.0009)	
10 products	$16.749 \\ (10.267)$	1.644 $(.750)$	.432 (.152)	.159 (.058)	.087 (.033)	$\begin{array}{c} .035 \\ (.013) \end{array}$	.017 (.007)	.008 $(.003)$	$.003 \\ (.001)$	.001 (.0004)
Avg. varieties <sup><math>a</math></sup>	475	276	180	125	95	73	62	50	43	41

Table 23: Exports to OECD by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

<sup>*a*</sup>Average number of exporter products across rows.

*Source: SECEX* 2000, manufacturing products and firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products.

*Note*: Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. OECD includes all OECD members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

		Product rank								
Exporter scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	$8 \mathrm{th}$	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th
Single product	.773 (.146)									
2 products	1.295 (.182)	.239 (.052)								
3 products	1.608 (.252)	.301 (.046)	.092 (.026)							
4 products	2.212 (.358)	.653 $(.204)$	.216 (.088)	.067 $(.026)$						
5 products	$2.098 \\ (.391)$	.425 (.103)	.118 (.025)	.041 (.010)	.014 (.004)					
6 products	$9.494 \\ (4.802)$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.913 \\ (2.426) \end{array}$	.710 (.248)	.443 $(.169)$	.097 (.043)	.006 $(.002)$				
7 products	$5.856 \\ (1.826)$	$1.667 \\ (.848)$	$1.058 \\ (.621)$	.181 (.067)	$.092 \\ (.041)$	.041 (.024)	.012 (.008)			
8 products	$13.289 \\ (5.118)$	1.772 (.602)	.471 (.116)	.166 $(.052)$	.081 (.029)	.043 (.014)	.017 (.005)	.005 $(.002)$		
9 products	7.073 (2.353)	$1.762 \\ (.531)$	$.855 \\ (.383)$	.134 (.039)	.067 (.026)	.036 (.019)	.015 (.005)	.007 (.003)	.001 (.0007)	
10 products	$\begin{array}{c} 10.610 \\ (4.376) \end{array}$	5.955 (3.333)	$2.256 \\ (1.396)$	.548 $(.272)$	.415 (.250)	.214 (.153)	.164 (.135)	.037 (.026)	$.008 \\ (.005)$	.002 (.001)
Avg. varieties <sup><math>a</math></sup>	294	153	94	63	47	36	30	24	19	15

Table 24: Exports to U.S. by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

 $^{a}$ Average number of exporter products across rows.

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products and firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products.

*Note*: Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

	Product rank									
Exporter scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	$8 \mathrm{th}$	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th
Single product	.251 (.047)									
2 products	.410 (.063)	.041 (.005)								
3 products	.686 $(.195)$	$.157 \\ (.045)$	.028 $(.006)$							
4 products	.997 $(.264)$	.162 (.027)	$.055 \\ (.011)$	.018 $(.006)$						
5 products	.653 $(.104)$	.199 (.037)	.062 (.012)	.030 (.009)	.007 $(.002)$					
6 products	.695 $(.184)$	.244 $(.051)$	.116 $(.040)$	.043 (.016)	.016 $(.004)$	.006 $(.001)$				
7 products	$1.010 \\ (.228)$	.376 $(.142)$	.151 (.041)	.074 $(.025)$	$\begin{array}{c} .038 \\ (.013) \end{array}$	.020 (.009)	.005 $(.002)$			
8 products	.864 (.207)	.360 $(.103)$	.181 (.063)	$.125 \\ (.053)$	.056 $(.019)$	.029 (.011)	.015 (.007)	.007 $(.004)$		
9 products	$5.831 \\ (4.936)$	$.178 \\ (.040)$	.081 (.020)	.041 (.012)	.026 (.007)	.018 $(.006)$	.009 (.003)	.004 (.002)	.001 (.0005)	
10 products	$1.318 \\ (.396)$	.463 $(.140)$	.212 (.091)	.129 (.061)	.059 (.026)	.036 (.019)	.025 (.014)	.015 (.011)	.009 (.007)	.005 $(.004)$
Avg. exp. varieties <sup><math>a</math></sup>	422	260	184	140	109	87	80	65	50	46

Table 25: Exports to Argentina by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

 $^{a}$ Average number of exporter products across rows.

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products and firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products.

*Note*: Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

	Log # Log Exports/product						
OLS	Products	$\# \geq 1$	$\# \geq 2$	$\# \ge 3$	$\# \ge 10$	$\# \ge 25$	$\# \ge 100$
				World			
Log Total exports	$.199$ $(.003)^{***}$	.801 (.003)***	.849 (.004)***	.867 (.005)***	.887 (.008)***	.880 (.012)***	$.875$ $(.026)^{***}$
Const.	$1.368 \\ (.011)^{***}$	$-1.368$ $(.011)^{***}$	-1.718 (.011)***	-1.996 (.012)***	-2.964 (.019)***	$-3.653$ $(.035)^{***}$	$-4.678$ $(.111)^{***}$
Obs. $R^2$	$10,215 \\ .267$	$10,215 \\ .855$	6,262 .877	$4,340 \\ .889$	$1,108 \\ .925$	$331 \\ .938$	$\frac{38}{.970}$
				Mercosur			
Log Total exports	$.237$ $(.005)^{***}$	$.763$ $(.005)^{***}$	$.817$ $(.006)^{***}$	.842 (.006)***	$.872$ $(.011)^{***}$	$.875$ $(.018)^{***}$	.902 (.050)***
Const.	1.572 (.016)***	$-1.572$ $(.016)^{***}$	$-1.869$ $(.016)^{***}$	$-2.113$ $(.017)^{***}$	$-2.990$ $(.023)^{***}$	$-3.687$ $(.040)^{***}$	$-4.795$ $(.173)^{***}$
Obs. $R^2$	6,428 .294	6,428 .811	$3,902 \\ .849$	2,672 .866	$675 \\ .908$	$185 \\ .929$	$21 \\ .945$
				OECD			
Log Total exports	.140 (.004)***	$.860$ $(.004)^{***}$	$.903$ $(.005)^{***}$	.921 (.007)***	$.924$ $(.013)^{***}$	$.916$ $(.021)^{***}$	.879 $(.034)^{***}$
Const.	1.009 $(.014)^{***}$	-1.009 (.014)***	$-1.438$ $(.015)^{***}$	$-1.775$ $(.018)^{***}$	$-2.958$ $(.037)^{***}$	$-3.798$ $(.063)^{***}$	$-4.565$ $(.148)^{***}$
Obs. $R^2$	$5,041 \\ .187$	$5,041 \\ .897$	2,776 .908	$1,728 \\ .914$	333 .937	$95 \\ .955$	$13 \\ .984$
			n	on-OECD	)		
Log Total exports	$.224$ $(.004)^{***}$	$.776$ $(.004)^{***}$	.820 (.005)***	.842 (.005)***	.879 $(.009)^{***}$	.861 (.014)***	.880 (.032)***
Const.	1.476 (.013)***	$-1.476$ $(.013)^{***}$	$-1.827$ $(.013)^{***}$	$-2.094$ $(.014)^{***}$	-2.977 (.020)***	$-3.673$ $(.035)^{***}$	-4.758 (.132)***
Obs. $R^2$	$8,590 \\ .289$	$8,590 \\ .831$	5,132 .857	3,505 .874	$944 \\ .912$	$273 \\ .931$	$\begin{array}{c} 30\\.964 \end{array}$

Table 20, Total Exports Decompositions at the Firm Deve	Table 26:	Total Exports	Decompositions	at the	Firm Le	vel
---------------------------------------------------------	-----------	---------------	----------------	--------	---------	-----

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Firm  $\omega$ 's total exports  $t_d(\omega)$  to destination market d can be decomposed into:  $G_d(\omega) z_d(\omega)$ , where  $G_d(\omega)$  is the exporters' average number of products shipped to destination d (the average scope of the exporter at the destination), and  $z_d(\omega)$  are the exporter's average sales per product in destination country d(the scale of the exporter's average product). Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	Firm $data^a$	Firm-	destination	$data^b$	Firm-destination-product data <sup><math>c</math></sup>		
Log Exp./prod.	OLS	OLS	Dest. FE	Firm & dest. FE	Firm & dest. FE	Prod. & dest. FE	Firm, prod. & dest. FE
=	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
			Wo	rld			
Log # Products	.341 (.022)***	160 (.011)***	068 (.011)***	.260 (.013)***	$1.180 \\ (.014)^{***}$	.336 (.014)***	$.977$ $(.014)^{***}$
Obs.	10,215	46,208	46,208	46,208	76,964	76,964	76,964
$R^2$	.023	.004	.091	.131	.133	.237	.229
Corr. Firm FE, $X'$	3			155	202		187
			Merc	cosur			
Log # Products	$.239 \\ (.024)^{***}$	.082 $(.019)^{***}$	$.075$ $(.019)^{***}$	$.171$ $(.032)^{***}$	$1.384$ $(.026)^{***}$	$.541$ $(.026)^{***}$	1.241 (.027)***
Obs.	6,428	10,160	10,160	10,160	19,863	19,863	19,863
$R^2$	.015	.002	.066	.316	.208	.180	.288
Corr. Firm FE, $X'$	3			099	244		222
			OE	CD			
Log # Products	$.337$ $(.039)^{***}$	.128 $(.026)^{***}$	.102 (.026)***	.523 $(.031)^{***}$	1.297 $(.034)^{***}$	.426 (.034)***	1.074 (.033)***
Obs.	5,041	13,982	13,982	13,982	19,836	19,836	19,836
$R^2$	.014	.002	.036	.149	.114	.228	.240
Corr. Firm FE, $X'$	3			202	261		230
			non-O	ECD			
Log # Products	.293 $(.022)^{***}$	179 (.012)***	115 (.012)***	.175 $(.015)^{***}$	$1.196 \\ (.015)^{***}$	.312 $(.016)^{***}$	$.993$ $(.015)^{***}$
Obs.	8,590	31,562	$31,\!562$	$31,\!562$	56,281	56,281	56,281
$R^2$	.020	.007	.084	.135	.148	.217	.243
Corr. Firm FE, $X'$	3			204	249		218

Table 27: P	roduct Scale	and Exporter	Scope	Correlations
-------------	--------------	--------------	-------	--------------

<sup>a</sup>Aggregation: worldwide exports by firm.

<sup>b</sup>Aggregation: exports by firm and destination.

<sup>c</sup>Aggregation: exports by firm, destination, product group (Harmonized System 2-digit level).

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level; product-group fixed effects at the Harmonized-System 2-digit level. Constant not reported.  $R^2$  is within fit for firm FE regressions. Correlation coefficient between firm fixed effects and all other predictors (including destination and product fixed effects). Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	Firm	Eff. on Pr	oduct Scale	Firm Eff. on Exporter Scope			
	from Log	Exports/p	rod. regressions	from Log	# Produc	ts regressions	
	Firm FE only	Firm FE & scope	Firm & dest. FE, & scope	Firm FE only	Firm FE & scale	Firm & dest. FE, & scale	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Log ww. $\#$ Products	.187 (.008)***	072 (.009)***	010 (.010)	$.658$ $(.004)^{***}$	$.646$ $(.004)^{***}$	$.647$ $(.005)^{***}$	
Log ww. Exp./prod.	$.887$ $(.005)^{***}$	.880 (.005)***	$.835 \\ (.006)^{***}$	$.018$ $(.002)^{***}$	040 (.003)***	012 (.003)***	
Log ww. $\#$ Dest.	970 (.009)***	867 (.010)***	600 (.012)***	263 (.005)***	200 (.005)***	111 (.006)***	
No OECD exp.	.023 (.019)	018 (.021)	$.504 \\ (.025)^{***}$	.106 $(.010)^{***}$	$.105 \\ (.011)^{***}$	0004 (.012)	
$\log OECD Exp.^{a}$	$.0006 \\ (.004)$	.003 $(.004)$	.002 (.005)	006 (.002)***	006 (.002)***	008 (.002)***	
No Mercosur exp.	071 (.020)***	085 (.022)***	$.093 \\ (.026)^{***}$	$.036 \ (.011)^{***}$	$.040$ $(.011)^{***}$	$.375$ $(.012)^{***}$	
$\log$ Mercosur Exp. <sup><i>a</i></sup>	$.022$ $(.004)^{***}$	$.022$ $(.005)^{***}$	$.028$ $(.005)^{***}$	0001 (.002)	002 (.002)	010 (.003)***	
Log # dom. Plants	013 (.009)	015 (.010)	001 (.012)	.004 $(.005)$	$.005 \\ (.005)$	$.009 \\ (.005)^*$	
Log # dom. Loc.	$.028$ $(.009)^{***}$	$.039$ $(.010)^{***}$	$.029$ $(.012)^{**}$	026 (.005)***	028 (.005)***	026 (.006)***	
Log Employment	007 (.004)*	004 (.004)	$.009 \\ (.005)^*$	008 (.002)***	008 (.002)***	010 (.002)***	
High sch. educ. wf.	115 (.024)***	104 (.026)***	144 (.031)***	027 (.013)**	019 (.014)	020 (.014)	
College educ. wf.	037 (.041)	.032 (.045)	068 (.053)	$177$ $(.022)^{***}$	174 (.023)***	179 (.025)***	
Obs. $R^2$	$10,215 \\ .913$	$10,215 \\ .903$	$10,215 \\ .860$	10,215 .793	10,215 .794	10,215 .772	

Table 28: Correlates of Firm Effects on Product Scale and Exporter Scope

<sup>a</sup>Log of nonzero exports, times indicator of nonzero exports (one less *no*-exports indicator).

Sources: RAIS and SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

Note: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions of firm fixed effects on firmlevel predictors, where firm fixed effects on product scale in column 1 are from a firm fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 2 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scope (log # products) and in column 3 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scope and destination fixed effects (see column 3 in Table 27). Firm fixed effects on exporter scope in column 4 are from a firm fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 5 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scale (log exports/product) and in column 6 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scale and destination fixed effects. Worldwide number of products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Domestic Brazilian locations counted at the municipality level. Workforce characteristics in shares of total employment. White-collar, blue-collar employment (insignificant at ten-percent level) and constant not reported. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	Destinati	ion Eff. on I	Product Scale	Destination Eff. on Exp. Scope				
	from Log	Exports/pro	od. regressions	from Log	# Produc	ts regressions		
	Dest. FE only	Dest. FE & scope	Firm & dest. FE, & scope	Dest. FE only	Dest. FE & scale	Firm & dest. FE, & scale		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
Mean Log Market size	002 (.041)	002 (.041)	042 (.031)	007 (.012)	005 (.012)	002 (.010)		
Log Population	$.243$ $(.063)^{***}$	$.243$ $(.062)^{***}$	$.348$ $(.048)^{***}$	.0002 (.016)	.002 (.016)	$.032$ $(.014)^{**}$		
Log GDP per cap.	.154 (.058)***	$.153$ $(.058)^{***}$	$.287$ $(.044)^{***}$	024 (.017)	023 (.017)	$.028$ $(.014)^{**}$		
Log Distance	.067 $(.181)$	.055 (.180)	331 (.138)**	$199$ $(.053)^{***}$	198 (.052)***	236 (.044)***		
Common borders	531 (.362)	525 (.359)	171 (.276)	.051 (.096)	$.045 \\ (.095)$	$.227$ $(.081)^{***}$		
Common language	561 (.393)	558 $(.390)$	078 (.300)	.026 (.110)	.019 (.107)	.048 (.092)		
Const.	-9.245 (1.706)***	-9.088 $(1.695)^{***}$	-8.354 (1.302)***	2.622 (.517)***	2.515 $(.508)^{***}$	1.907 $(.434)^{***}$		
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Obs.} \\ R^2 \end{array}$	$106 \\ .359$	$\begin{array}{c} 106 \\ .358 \end{array}$	$106 \\ .560$	$102 \\ .346$	$102 \\ .341$	$\begin{array}{c} 102 \\ .574 \end{array}$		

Table 29: Correlates of Destination Effects on Product Scale and Exporter Scope

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions of destination fixed effects on destination-level predictors, where destination fixed effects on product scale in column 1 are from a destination fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 2 from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scope (log # products, see column 2 in Table 27) and in column 3 from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scope and firm fixed effects (see column 3 in Table 27). Destination fixed effects on exporter scope in column 4 are from a destination fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 5 from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scale (log exports/product) and in column 6 from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scale and firm fixed effects. Mean log market size is average sectoral absorption over *ISIC rev.* 2 industries at destination level. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	Produc	et Eff. on F	roduct Scale	Product Eff. on Exporter Scope				
	from Log	Exports/p	rod. regressions	from Lo	g # Produ	cts regressions		
	Prod. FE only	Prod. FE & scope	Firm, dst. & prd. FE, & scope	Prod. FE only	Prod. FE & scale	Firm, dst. & prd. FE, & scale		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
Comparative adv.	$.451$ $(.143)^{***}$	.461 (.142)***	.186 (.119)	021 (.017)	034 (.017)**	.010 (.020)		
Reference priced	-1.348 (1.058)	-1.306 (1.052)	-2.964 (.881)***	089 (.124)	051 (.124)	$.062 \\ (.151)$		
Differentiated	$-1.750$ $(.977)^*$	-1.753 (.972)*	-2.031 (.813)**	.006 $(.114)$	.056 $(.114)$	$.125 \\ (.139)$		
Log ww. # Dest.	-1.218 (1.029)	-1.254 (1.023)	$-1.765$ $(.856)^{**}$	.076 (.120)	.110 (.120)	.253 (.147)*		
No OECD imp.	-7.049 (56.702)	-9.547 (56.396)	$21.525 \\ (47.204)$	5.327 (6.639)	$5.526 \\ (6.631)$	3.034 (8.093)		
Log OECD Imp. <sup><i>a</i></sup>	$\begin{array}{c} .312 \\ (.306) \end{array}$	.281 (.304)	.544 (.254)**	$.065 \\ (.036)^*$	.057 (.036)	.012 (.044)		
<i>No</i> Mercosur imp.	-1.650 (2.510)	-1.658 (2.497)	-1.661 (2.090)	.016 (.294)	.063 $(.294)$	109 (.358)		
Log Mercos. Imp. <sup><math>a</math></sup>	001 (.256)	003 $(.255)$	.083 (.213)	.004 (.030)	.004 (.030)	.013 (.037)		
Const.	$1.824 \\ (5.460)$	$1.854 \\ (5.431)$	$5.304 \\ (4.546)$	064 (.639)	116 (.639)	644 (.779)		
Obs. $R^2$	91 .256	91 .273	91 .202	91 .298	91 .360	91 .250		

Table 30: Correlates of Product Effects on Product Scale and Exporter Scope

<sup>a</sup>Log of nonzero imports, times indicator of nonzero imports (one less *no*-imports indicator).

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

Note: Aggregation to exports by firm, destination, product group (Harmonized System 2-digit level). Regressions of product fixed effects at the Harmonized-System 2-digit level on product-level predictors, where product fixed effects on product scale in column 1 are from a product fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 2 from a product fixed effects regression controlling for scope (log # products) and in column 3 from a product fixed effects regression controlling for scope as well as destination and firm fixed effects (see column 6 in Table 27). Product fixed effects on exporter scope in column 4 are from a product fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 5 from a product effects regression controlling for scale (log exports/product) and in column 6 from a product fixed effects regression controlling for scale as well as destination and firm fixed effects. Balassa (1965) comparative-advantage for Brazil from UN Comtrade trade data for 2000 at the *ISIC Rev. 2* level: product h's comparative advantage is  $BADV_h \equiv [T_h^{Brazil}/\sum_k T_k^{Brazil}]/[T_h^{World}/\sum_k T_k^{World}]$ , where  $T_h$  are worldwide exports. Goods classification by degree of differentiation from Rauch (1999), conservative definition, revision 2 (2007): share of Harmonized-System 6-digit goods at the Harmonized-System 2-digit level; omitted benchmark category is homogeneous goods (traded on an organized exchange). Worldwide product-group imports exclude Brazil as importer and exporter. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

Log Exp /prod	World			Mercosur	Oecd	non-Oecd
Log Exp./ prod.	(1)	(2)	(3)	. (4)	(5)	(6)
Log # Products	.433 $(.124)^{***}$	.444 (.073)***	.120 (.085)	470 (.199)**	.352 (.192)*	190 (.098)*
Squared Log $\#$ Products	.099 $(.225)$	$.076 \\ (.090)$	.050 (.090)	.132 (.188)	.217 $(.212)$	.140 (.099)
Cubic Log $\#$ Products	126 (.138)	111 (.034)***	108 (.034)***	093 $(.069)$	193 (.083)**	097 (.037)***
Quartic Log $\#$ Products	.022 (.034)	$.018$ $(.004)^{***}$	$.018$ $(.004)^{***}$	$.013$ $(.008)^{*}$	$.026$ $(.010)^{***}$	$.014$ $(.004)^{***}$
Pentic Log $\#$ Products	0003 $(.003)$					
$Log # Prd. \times Log ww. # Dest.$			$.060$ $(.021)^{***}$	$.227$ $(.053)^{***}$	031 (.053)	.092 (.023)***
$Log # Prd. \times Log Empl.$			$.036$ $(.010)^{***}$	.006 $(.026)$	$.094$ $(.023)^{***}$	.012 (.011)
$Log # Prd. \times Coll. ed. wf.$			115 $(.085)$	.854 (.209)***	700 (.209)***	$.179 \\ (.096)^*$
Obs.	46,208	46,208	46,208	10,160	13,982	31,562
$R^2$	.135	.135	.137	.326	.159	.139
Corr. Firm FE, $X'\beta$	151	151	097	059	154	141
F statistic: Zero Firm FE	$3.993^{***}$	$3.993^{***}$	$3.619^{***}$	$2.742^{***}$	$2.931^{***}$	$3.498^{***}$

Table 31:	Conditional	Product S	Scale and	Exporter S	Scope (	Correlations
10010 01.	Contaitionai	LIOUUCUK	Jouro ana	L'uportor ,		20110101010110

Sources: RAIS and SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. Note: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions controlling for firm and destination fixed effects (expanding regression (4) in Table 27). Worldwide number of products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

			Dest. &	Dest. &
Log Sales	OLS	Dest. FE	Ind. FE	Firm FE
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Log # Products	$1.168 \\ (.007)^{***}$	$1.204 \\ (.007)^{***}$	$1.319 \\ (.007)^{***}$	$1.557$ $(.008)^{***}$
Log Product Rank	-2.508 (.007)***	-2.525 (.007)***	$-2.574$ $(.007)^{***}$	-2.624 (.008)***
Obs. Panels	162,570	$162,\!570$	$\begin{array}{r}162,\!570\\259\end{array}$	$162,570 \\ 10,215$
$R^2 (R^2 \text{ within})^a$	.493	.538	.510	.582

#### Table 32: Individual Product Sales Regressions

 ${}^{a}R^{2}$  is within fit for industry and firm FE regressions in columns 3 and 4.

Sources: SECEX 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Individual export sales by product, firm and destination. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Industry fixed effects at the CNAE two-digit level. Constant and destination fixed effects not reported. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

# 5 Manufacturing Products

Table 33: Sample Characteristics by Destination						
	World	Mercosur	Oecd	non-Oecd	USA	Argentina
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
# of Observations (MNH)	$215,\!346$	$64,\!570$	48,762	164,905	$15,\!600$	26,052
# of Destinations $(N)$	172	3	23	142	1	1
Regional share in Tot. exports	1.000	.165	.577	.423	.273	.133
		Firms				
# of Firms $(M)$	$14,\!678$	$8,\!293$	$7,\!257$	$11,\!648$	4,232	$5,\!629$
Median Total exports $(T_{md})$	.067	.044	.089	.054	.084	.056
Median Exporter scope $(G_{md})$	2	2	2	2	1	2
Median Avg. prod scale $(z_{md})$	.026	.018	.045	.022	.046	.026
Mean Total exports $(\bar{t}_d)$	3.051	.891	3.560	1.606	2.887	1.061
Mean Exporter scope $(\bar{G}_d)$	6.168	5.960	3.970	6.344	3.686	4.628
Mean Avg. prod. scale $(z_d)$	.495	.149	.897	.253	.783	.229
Shares in Total exports						
Single-prod. firms	.092	.078	.150	.070	.140	.087
Multi-prod. firms' top product	.604	.550	.627	.569	.652	.555
Multi-prod. firms' other prod.	.304	.372	.222	.361	.207	.358
		Varieties				
# of Varieties $(MH)$	90,541	$49,\!424$	$28,\!809$	$73,\!891$	$15,\!600$	$26,\!052$
Median Variety sales	.004	.003	.006	.003	.006	.005
Mean Variety sales	.495	.149	.897	.253	.783	.229

a a

Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Exports in US\$ million fob. Mean Average product scale  $(z_d)$  is the scope-weighted arithmetic mean of exporters' average product scales.

		Exports	Share in tot. $(07)$	# of
Rank	Product	(US\$ mill.)	exports (%)	Dest.
1.	Airplane & a/c unladen wght $> 2t$ , nov 15t	2,785	6.2	18
2.	Soybean oilcake & oth solid residue, wh/not ground	$1,\!651$	3.7	39
3.	Chem woodpulp, soda etc, n dis s bl & bl nonconif	1,526	3.4	29
4.	Pass veh spk-ig int com rcpr p eng $>1500$ nov 3m cc	$1,\!198$	2.7	34
5.	Footwear, outer sole rub etc & leather upper nesoi	1,020	2.3	94
6.	Orange juice, frozen, sweetened or not	1,019	2.3	47
7.	Unwrought aluminum, not alloyed	946	2.1	15
8.	Transmission appr incorporating reception apparats	940	2.1	32
9.	Smfd irn/nal stl lt .25 pct crb rect cs wid 2x thk	808	1.8	18
10.	Cane sugar, raw, solid form, w/o added flav/color	761	1.7	33
11.	Oil (not crude) from petrol & bitum mineral etc.	702	1.6	52
12.	Airplane & ot a/c, unladen weight $> 15t$	636	1.4	3
13.	Nonalloy pig iron 0.5 prent or less phosphorus	446	1.0	18
14.	Chicken cuts and edible offal (inc livers), frozen	445	1.0	62
15.	Parts and accessories of motor vehicles, nesoi	445	1.0	108
16.	Cane/beet sug chem pure sucrose refind nesoi	438	1.0	57
17.	Compressors used in refrigerating equipment	416	0.9	64
18.	Spark-ignition int combustion piston eng pts nesoi	396	0.9	102
19.	Gold, nonmonetary, semimanufactured forms nesoi	375	0.8	4
20.	Spark-ignition reciprocating int com pistn eng pts	361	0.8	95
21.	Meat & offal of chickens, not cut in pieces, frozen	359	0.8	63
22.	Meat of bovine animals, boneless, frozen	333	0.7	52
23.	Trucks, nesoi, diesel eng, gvw 5 metric tons & und	332	0.7	31
24.	Semifinished products of alloy steel not stainless	316	0.7	20
25.	Food preparations nesoi	312	0.7	53

 Table 34: Top 25 Export Products

Note: Export values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.

		20 Emport Dosti	ideieiis	
		Exports	Share in tot.	# of
Rank	Destination	(US\$ mill.)	exports $(\%)$	Products
1.	USA	12,220	27.3	$2,\!484$
2.	Argentina	$5,\!973$	13.3	2,971
3.	Netherlands	1,882	4.2	724
4.	Mexico	$1,\!607$	3.6	1,522
5.	Japan	$1,\!602$	3.6	934
6.	Italy	1,581	3.5	1,071
7.	Germany	$1,\!458$	3.3	1,326
8.	Belgium-Luxembourg	$1,\!444$	3.2	640
9.	France, Monaco	1,413	3.2	1,007
10.	UK	1,281	2.9	947
11.	Chile	1,221	2.7	2,257
12.	Paraguay	800	1.8	2,513
13.	Venezuela	714	1.6	1,711
14.	Uruguay	616	1.4	2,535
15.	Spain	536	1.2	905
16.	Colombia	499	1.1	1,427
17.	Switzerland, Liechtenstein	481	1.1	484
18.	Canada	471	1.1	809
19.	China Hong Kong SAR	466	1.0	459
20.	Russian Federation	399	0.9	238
21.	Korea Rep.	376	0.8	312
22.	China	373	0.8	650
23.	Peru	350	0.8	1,707
24.	Bolivia	330	0.7	2,259
25	Australia	318	0.7	711

Table 35: Top 25 Export Destinations

Note: Export values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.



Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms. Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members of the OECD in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.





Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms. Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.





Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms. Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.





*Source: SECEX* 2000, manufacturing products, all firms. *Note:* Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Large circles depict the mean number of products by percentile, small dots above and below indicate a onestandard-error deviation. Fitted line from an ordinary least squares regression of the mean number of products on the percentile, up to the 98th percentile, with a 95-percent confidence band around.





Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms.

*Note*: Selection of the six countries at the fiftieth through hundredth percentiles among Brazil's top 100 export destinations (Finland, Egypt, Singapore, Korea Republic, Chile, USA). Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Large circles depict the mean number of products by percentile, small dots above and below indicate a one-standard-error deviation. Fitted line from an ordinary least squares regression of the mean number of products on the percentile, up to the 98th percentile, with a 95-percent confidence band around.

Figure 21: Exporter Scope and Total Exports Distribution by Country

Local and	Corr.	Spearman's rank corr.	Local regress	on world sion coeff.	Local, firm FE corr. coeff.
World pctl.	coeff.	coeff.	OLS	Dest. FE	Dest. & firm $FE$
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Coefficient	.563	.567	.679	.786	.688
p value	0	0	0	0	0
Obs.	$91,\!575$	$91,\!575$	$91,\!575$	$91,\!575$	$91,\!575$
# Dest.				172	172
Panels					$14,\!678$

Table 36: Correlations between Local and Worldwide Total Exports Percentiles

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Percentiles in discrete numbers. Unconditional and Spearman's rank correlation coefficients in columns 1 and 2. Regression coefficients of local total-exports percentiles on a firm's worldwide total-exports percentile in columns 3 (OLS with constant) and 4 (destination FE regression). In column 5, correlation coefficient between local totalexports percentiles and the firm-fixed effect from a local total-exports percentile regression on firm and destination fixed effects.

				Dest. &
Log # Products	OLS	Firm FE	Dest. FE	Firm FE
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Local total-exp. percentile	$1.690 \\ (.017)^{***}$	-3.43e-23 (8.64e-14)	1.671 (.016)***	-4.08e-23 (9.23e-14)
Const.	$1.352 \\ (.010)^{***}$	2.264 (4.99e-14)***	$.971$ $(.019)^{***}$	2.264 (9.79e-14)***
Obs.	87,271	87,271	87,271	87,271
Panels		$14,\!643$		$14,\!643$
$R^2$	.105	0	.170	0

Table 37: Exporter Scope and Local Total-Exports Percentile Correlations

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms.

Note: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.  $R^2$  is within fit for firm FE regressions. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

		OLS	ľ
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Mean Log Market size			065 (.044)
Log Population		.006(.030)	$.005 \\ (.058)$
Log GDP per cap.		145 (.033)***	$118$ $(.063)^{*}$
Log GDP	060 (.026)**		
Log Distance	089 (.147)	094 (.141)	$270$ $(.154)^{*}$
Common borders	219 (.297)	208 (.284)	$593$ $(.293)^{**}$
Common language	749 (.306)**	752 (.292)**	702 (.299)**
Obs.	153	153	107
$R^2$	.075	.162	.296

#### Table 38: Correlates of Destination Effects on Exporter Scope

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms.

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions of destination fixed effects on destination-level predictors, where destination fixed effects on exporter scope are from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for the firm's local total-exports percentile (column 3 in Table 37). Mean log market size is average sectoral absorption over *ISIC rev. 2* industries at destination level. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	World	Mercosur	OECD	non-OECD	USA	Argentina
Percentile	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
00	1	1	1	1	1	1
05	1	1	1	1	1	1
10	1	1	1	1	1	1
25	1	1	1	1	1	1
50	2	2	2	2	1	2
75	5	5	3	5	3	4
80	6	6	4	6	3	5
85	8	8	5	8	4	6
90	12	12	7	12	6	9
95	23	22	12	23	11	16
99	70	67	44	71	45	42
100	1,511	325	329	1,511	282	296

Table 39: Exporter Scope Distribution by Destination

*Note*: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.



Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms. Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Leftmost observations are all exporters; at the next percentile are exporter observations with shipments in the top 99 percentiles; up to the right-most observations with exporters whose shipments are in the top percentile.

Figure 22: Average Scope, Total Exports and the Total Exports Distribution



Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms. Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Leftmost observations are all exporters; at the next percentile are exporter observations with shipments in the top 99 percentiles; up to the right-most observations with exporters whose shipments are in the top percentile.

#### Figure 23: Average Scope, Average Scale and the Total Exports Distribution



Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms. Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

## Figure 24: Average Scope, Total Exports and the Exporter Scope Distribution



Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms. Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

## Figure 25: Average Scope, Average Scale and the Exporter Scope Distribution



Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms.

*Note*: Selection of the eleven countries at the first and every tenth percentile among Brazil's top 100 export destinations (Cameroon, Jordan, Somalia, Jamaica, Greece, Finland, Egypt, Singapore, Korea Republic, Chile, USA). Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

# Figure 26: Average Scope, Scale and Exporter Distributions Across Countries



*Sources: RAIS* and *SECEX* 2000, manufacturing products, all firms. *Note:* Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left panel: firms by tercile of worldwide number of destinations; right panel: firms by tercile of domestic employment. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.





*Sources: RAIS* and *SECEX* 2000, manufacturing products, all firms. *Note:* Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left panel: firms by tercile of worldwide number of destinations; right panel: firms by tercile of domestic employment. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

Figure 28: Average Scale and the Exporter Distribution by Firm Type



*Source: SECEX* 2000, manufacturing products, all firms. *Note:* Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. World average from pooling destinations to which firms in a given exporter-scope group ship.


Table	e 40: Wo	orldwid	e Expo	orts by	Export	er Scop	e and P	roduct	Rank		
		Product rank									
Exporter scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	$8 \mathrm{th}$	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th	
Single product	.704 (.155)										
2 products	1.081 (.181)	.141 (.026)									
3 products	1.097 (.162)	$.193 \\ (.021)$	.052 $(.009)$								
4 products	2.066 $(.367)$	.470 (.088)	.144 (.032)	.032 $(.008)$							
5 products	$\begin{array}{c} 1.903 \\ (.398) \end{array}$	.422 (.071)	.147 $(.024)$	.047 $(.009)$	$.016 \\ (.005)$						
6 products	$3.358 \\ (1.499)$	1.027 (.643)	.118 (.019)	.057 $(.011)$	.018 $(.003)$	.004 (.0007)					
7 products	2.068 (.323)	.633 $(.137)$	.204 (.039)	.098 $(.024)$	.037 $(.009)$	.018 $(.006)$	.003 $(.0005)$				
8 products	1.949	.536	.225	.090	.059	.043	.012	.007			

(.026)

.101

.127

343

(.055)

(.028)

(.023)

.052

.078

283

(.033)

(.016)

(.005)

.029

.051

241

(.024)

(.009)

(.004)

.015

(.006)

.028

(.014)

215

.002

.011

(.005)

186

.003

154

(.002)

(.0004)

 $^a\!\mathrm{Average}$  number of exporter products across rows.

(.120)

1.165

(.265)

1.029

(.374)

796

(.067)

.476

.573

565

(.234)

(.112)

(.033)

(.068)

.218

.212

425

(.088)

(.434)

3.477

(.821)

3.217

(1.097)

1,303

9 products

10 products

Avg. varieties<sup>a</sup>

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products.

Note: Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

8 products

9 products

10 products

Avg. varieties<sup>a</sup>

Table	41: Exp	ports to	o Merco	osur by	Export	er Scop	e and P	coduct	Rank			
	Product rank											
Exporter scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	$8 \mathrm{th}$	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th		
Single product	.178 (.028)											
2 products	$.302 \\ (.041)$	.035 $(.004)$										
3 products	.497 (.130)	.104 (.029)	.020 (.004)									
4 products	$.565 \\ (.104)$	.127 (.019)	.042 (.008)	.012 (.004)								
5 products	.724 (.207)	.142 (.026)	.042 (.007)	.017 $(.004)$	.003 $(.0004)$							
6 products	.447 $(.091)$	$.135 \\ (.024)$	.066 $(.017)$	.027 (.008)	.009 $(.002)$	.003 $(.0006)$						
7 products	.625 (.094)	.178 $(.030)$	.087 (.019)	.048 $(.014)$	.022 (.007)	.009 (.003)	.003 $(.0006)$					

.038

(.015)

.043

(.013)

.033

(.011)

210

.023

.028

.020

171

(.008)

(.009)

(.011)

.008

(.004)

.011

(.003)

.013

147

(.006)

.004

.006

(.002)

.008

130

(.005)

.003

(.001)

.005

(.003)

124

.002

(.001)

112

(.002)

Та

<sup>a</sup>Average number of exporter products across rows.

.284

(.107)

.470

.228

458

(.055)

(.156)

.160

(.067)

.187

(.061)

.113

(.039)

325

.728

(.163)

3.252

(2.001)

.648

(.151)

736

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products.

.068

.102

.073

254

(.028)

(.035)

(.028)

Note: Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

		-		v	1	-								
		Product rank												
Exporter scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	8th	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th				
Single product	$1.125 \\ (.248)$													
2 products	1.721 (.352)	.214 (.041)												
3 products	1.714 (.295)	.334 (.045)	.082 (.022)											
4 products	1.868 (.212)	.446 (.061)	.120 (.020)	.040 (.010)										
5 products	$\begin{array}{c} 2.133 \\ (.375) \end{array}$	.752 (.250)	.230 (.046)	.067 (.012)	.022 (.007)									
6 products	9.004 (3.440)	2.687 (1.783)	.351 (.098)	.157 (.044)	.044 $(.011)$	.009 (.002)								
7 products	$\begin{array}{c} 6.205 \\ (1.576) \end{array}$	$1.711 \\ (.505)$	.769 (.320)	.226 $(.078)$	.088 $(.033)$	.031 (.012)	.014 $(.008)$							
8 products	$\begin{array}{c} 6.795 \\ (2.459) \end{array}$	$1.675 \\ (.453)$	.782 (.249)	$.304 \\ (.096)$	$.160 \\ (.064)$	.055 $(.022)$	$\begin{array}{c} .023 \\ (.007) \end{array}$	.007 $(.002)$						
9 products	4.627 (1.290)	1.286 (.317)	.534 (.178)	.148 (.044)	.085 $(.024)$	$\begin{array}{c} .037 \\ (.011) \end{array}$	.014 $(.003)$	.006 (.002)	.002 (.0007)					
10 products	13.071 (7.778)	1.434 (.587)	.362 (.118)	.146 (.047)	.072 (.026)	$\begin{array}{c} .031 \\ (.010) \end{array}$	.016 (.005)	.006 $(.002)$	.002 (.0008)	.0008 $(.0003)$				

Table 42: Exports to OECD by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

 $^a\mathrm{Average}$  number of exporter products across rows.

Avg. varieties<sup>a</sup>

*Source: SECEX* 2000, manufacturing products, all firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products.

*Note*: Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. OECD includes all OECD members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

Exporter scope Single product

2 products

3 products

4 products

5 products

6 products

7 products

8 products

9 products

10 products

Avg. varieties<sup>a</sup>

43: E	xports †	to U.S.	by Ex	porter \$	Scope a	and Pr	oduct F	Rank	
				Produc	t rank				
1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	$8 \mathrm{th}$	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th
.779 (.115)									
$1.456 \\ (.306)$	.220 (.043)								
$1.346 \\ (.196)$	.253 $(.036)$	.076 $(.020)$							
$1.956 \\ (.303)$	.533 $(.161)$	$.177 \\ (.069)$	.056 $(.021)$						
1.862 (.335)	.372 (.084)	.103 (.020)	.037 $(.008)$	.013 $(.003)$					

.005

(.001)

.038

.042

.028

(.015)

.127

(.090)

48

(.011)

(.019)

.009

.014

.012

.096

41

(.004)

(.079)

(.004)

.005

(.001)

.005

.022

34

(.015)

(.002)

.001

.005

27

(.003)

.001

(.0007)26

(.0005)

(.006)

.075

.077

(.032)

.074

(.022)

.053

(.021)

.245

63

(.148)

(.033)

Table

 $^a\!\mathrm{Average}$  number of exporter products across rows.

2.960

(1.817)

1.296

(.654)

1.617

(.463)

1.434

(.433)

3.757

(1.984)

201

.542

.824

.395

.678

(.307)

1.360

(.822)

124

(.090)

(.478)

(.188)

.336

.148

(.053)

.155

.107

.362

83

(.165)

(.032)

(.041)

(.128)

9.151

(4.068)

4.537(1.431)

10.692

(3.829)

5.970

(1.917)

6.766

(2.698)

401

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products.

Note: Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

	1		0		1	1				
					Produ	ct rank				
Exporter scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	$8 \mathrm{th}$	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th
Single product	.219 (.037)									
2 products	.363 $(.052)$	.041 $(.005)$								
3 products	.614 (.169)	.141 (.039)	.025 (.005)							
4 products	.938 (.231)	.147 $(.024)$	.050 (.009)	.016 $(.005)$						
5 products	.656 $(.098)$	$.199 \\ (.036)$	.060 (.011)	.029 (.008)	.007 $(.001)$					
6 products	.838 (.292)	.264 $(.067)$	$.115 \\ (.034)$	.044 $(.014)$	.018 $(.005)$	.006 (.001)				
7 products	.991 (.223)	.426 $(.155)$	.181 (.061)	.068 $(.022)$	.035 $(.012)$	.018 (.008)	.004 (.002)			
8 products	.809 (.190)	.341 (.094)	.171 (.058)	$.118 \\ (.049)$	.052 (.017)	.027 (.010)	.014 $(.006)$	.007 $(.004)$		
9 products	4.578 (3.866)	.142 (.032)	$.065 \\ (.016)$	$\begin{array}{c} .033 \\ (.010) \end{array}$	.021 (.006)	.014 $(.005)$	.007 (.003)	.003 $(.001)$	.001 (.0004)	
10 products	$1.171 \\ (.355)$	.410 (.125)	.188 $(.081)$	.114 $(.054)$	.052 (.023)	.032 (.017)	.022 (.013)	.014 (.010)	.008 (.006)	.004 $(.003)$
Avg. exp. varieties <sup><math>a</math></sup>	517	310	215	164	128	104	93	75	61	52

Table 44: Exports to Argentina by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

 $^{a}$ Average number of exporter products across rows.

*Source: SECEX* 2000, manufacturing products, all firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products.

*Note*: Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

	$\log \#$	-	-	Log Expor	ts/product		
OLS	Products	$\# \geq 1$	$\# \geq 2$	$\# \geq 3$	$\# \geq 10$	$\# \ge 25$	$\# \ge 100$
				World			
Log Total exports	$.195$ $(.003)^{***}$	$.805$ $(.003)^{***}$	.858 (.004)***	.878 (.004)***	.907 (.007)***	.915 $(.009)^{***}$	$.930$ $(.019)^{***}$
Const.	$1.435 \\ (.011)^{***}$	$-1.435$ $(.011)^{***}$	$-1.817$ $(.011)^{***}$	-2.118 (.012)***	-3.149 (.016)***	-3.851 (.022)***	-4.956 (.060)***
Obs. $R^2$	$14,\!678$ .221	$14,\!678$ .829	$8,815 \\ .850$	$6,167 \\ .865$	1,801 .911	$678 \\ .937$	85 .966
				Mercosur			
Log Total exports	.245 (.004)***	.755 (.004)***	.814 (.005)***	.842 (.006)***	.886 (.010)***	.913 $(.013)^{***}$	.949 (.025)***
Const.	1.687 (.017)***	$-1.687$ $(.017)^{***}$	$-1.996$ $(.017)^{***}$	$-2.254$ $(.018)^{***}$	$-3.170$ $(.022)^{***}$	$-3.855$ $(.027)^{***}$	-4.931 (.065)***
Obs. $R^2$	8,293 .266	8,293 .775	5,056 .813	3,533 .834	$1,047 \\ .886$	$377 \\ .925$	44 .972
				OECD			
Log Total exports	$.127$ $(.004)^{***}$	$.873$ $(.004)^{***}$	$.918$ $(.005)^{***}$	$.936$ $(.006)^{***}$	.940 (.010)***	$.922$ $(.014)^{***}$	$.935$ $(.025)^{***}$
Const.	1.005 $(.013)^{***}$	$-1.005$ $(.013)^{***}$	$-1.477$ $(.014)^{***}$	-1.829 (.017)***	$-3.053$ $(.030)^{***}$	-3.823 (.039)***	-4.814 (.095)***
Obs. $R^2$	7,257 .148	7,257 .891	$3,806 \\ .903$	2,375 .912	$495 \\ .943$	$164 \\ .963$	$\begin{array}{c} 19 \\ .988 \end{array}$
			n	on-OECD	)		
Log Total exports	$.227$ $(.004)^{***}$	.773 (.004)***	.823 $(.005)^{***}$	.849 (.005)***	.894 (.008)***	.897 $(.011)^{***}$	.927 $(.023)^{***}$
Const.	1.576 (.013)***	$-1.576$ $(.013)^{***}$	$-1.945$ $(.014)^{***}$	$-2.228$ $(.015)^{***}$	$-3.168$ $(.018)^{***}$	$-3.880$ $(.024)^{***}$	-4.993 (.067)***
Obs. $R^2$	$11,\!648$ .253	$11,\!648 \\ .798$	6,949 .823	$4,830 \\ .840$	$1,496 \\ .891$	$553 \\ .923$	$70 \\ .959$

Table 45: Total Exports Decompositions at the Firm Level

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms.

Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Firm  $\omega$ 's total exports  $t_d(\omega)$  to destination market d can be decomposed into:  $G_d(\omega) z_d(\omega)$ , where  $G_d(\omega)$  is the exporters' average number of products shipped to destination d (the average scope of the exporter at the destination), and  $z_d(\omega)$  are the exporter's average sales per product in destination country d(the scale of the exporter's average product). Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	Firm data <sup><math>a</math></sup>	Firm-o	destination	$\operatorname{h} \operatorname{data}^{b}$ Firm-destination-product $\operatorname{data}^{c}$				
Log Exp./prod.	OLS	OLS	Dest. FE	Firm & dest. FE	Firm & dest. FE	Prod. & dest. FE	Firm, prod. & dest. FE	
-	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
			Wo	rld				
Log # Products	$.135$ $(.018)^{***}$	225 (.010)***	126 (.010)***	.228 (.012)***	$1.096 \\ (.011)^{***}$	$.305$ $(.012)^{***}$	.920 (.012)***	
Obs. $B^2$	$14,\!678$	56,883	56,883 083	56,883 121	99,637 126	99,637 227	99,637 212	
Corr. Firm FE, $X'_{\mu}$	β	.000	.000	168	192		144	
			Merc	osur				
Log # Products	$.087$ $(.020)^{***}$	$007$ $(.017)^{***}$	.009 $(.016)^{***}$	$.175$ $(.029)^{***}$	$1.230 \\ (.020)^{***}$	.491 (.021)***	$1.134 \\ (.021)^{***}$	
Obs. $R^2$ Corr. Firm FE, $X'$ /	8,293 .002 3	12,449 1.00e-05	$12,449 \\ .051$	12,449 .305 126	27,005 .191 217	27,005 .164	27,005 .261 177	
			OE	CD				
Log # Products	$.164$ $(.033)^{***}$	015 (.023)***	028 (.023)***	$.478$ $(.028)^{***}$	$1.233 \\ (.028)^{***}$	$.363$ $(.029)^{***}$	1.027 (.028)***	
Obs. $R^2$ Corr. Firm FE, $X'$	7,257 .003 3	18,136 .00002	$18,136 \\ .029$	18,136 .140 232	26,543 .112 268	26,543 .225	26,543 .225 214	
			non-O	ECD				
Log # Products	$.116 \\ (.018)^{***}$	231 (.011)***	154 (.011)***	$.153$ $(.013)^{***}$	1.107 (.013)***	.290 (.014)***	$.937$ $(.013)^{***}$	
Obs. $R^2$ Corr. Firm FE, $X'$	11,648 .004 3	$37,960 \\ .012$	$37,960 \\ .084$	37,960 .127 206	72,080 .140 231	72,080 .210	72,080 .226 169	

<sup>a</sup>Aggregation: worldwide exports by firm.

<sup>b</sup>Aggregation: exports by firm and destination.

<sup>c</sup>Aggregation: exports by firm, destination, product group (Harmonized System 2-digit level).

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms.

Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level; product-group fixed effects at the Harmonized-System 2-digit level. Constant not reported.  $R^2$  is within fit for firm FE regressions. Correlation coefficient between firm fixed effects and all other predictors (including destination and product fixed effects). Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	Firm from Log	n Eff. on Pr ; Exports/p	oduct Scale rod. regressions	Firm E from Log	aff. on Expension $\#$ Produc	orter Scope ts regressions
	Firm FE only	Firm FE & scope	Firm & dest. FE, & scope	Firm FE only	Firm FE & scale	Firm & dest. FE, & scale
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Log ww. $\#$ Products	$.145 \\ (.006)^{***}$	115 (.006)***	006 (.007)	$.743$ $(.004)^{***}$	$.734$ $(.004)^{***}$	$.737$ $(.004)^{***}$
Log ww. Exp./prod.	.906 (.004)***	.897 $(.004)^{***}$	$.873 \\ (.005)^{***}$	$.025$ $(.002)^{***}$	029 (.002)***	002 (.002)
Log ww. $\#$ Dest.	947 (.008)***	823 (.008)***	600 (.010)***	354 (.005)***	298 (.005)***	212 (.005)***
No OECD exp.	$.036 \\ (.017)^{**}$	.004 (.018)	$.562$ $(.021)^{***}$	$.092$ $(.010)^{***}$	$.090 \\ (.010)^{***}$	0009 (.011)
$\log OECD Exp.^{a}$	004 (.003)	003 (.004)	008 (.004)*	004 (.002)*	004 (.002)*	007 (.002)***
<i>No</i> Mercosur exp.	037 (.017)**	043 (.019)**	$.133$ $(.022)^{***}$	.017 (.010)	$.019 \\ (.011)^*$	$.342$ $(.011)^{***}$
$\log$ Mercosur Exp. <sup><i>a</i></sup>	.012 $(.004)^{***}$	$.011 \\ (.004)^{***}$	$.018 \\ (.005)^{***}$	.003 $(.002)$	.002 (.002)	004 (.002)*
Log # dom. Plants	013 (.008)	$017$ $(.009)^{*}$	002 (.011)	$.010 \\ (.005)^{**}$	$.011 \\ (.005)^{**}$	$.015$ $(.006)^{***}$
Log # dom. Loc.	$.031$ $(.009)^{***}$	$.042$ $(.009)^{***}$	$.032$ $(.011)^{***}$	$031$ $(.005)^{***}$	032 (.005)***	031 (.006)***
Log Employment	007 (.003)**	003 (.003)	004 (.004)	013 (.002)***	013 (.002)***	016 (.002)***
High sch. educ. wf.	074 (.018)***	067 (.020)***	104 (.024)***	021 (.011)*	017 (.012)	023 (.012)*
College educ. wf.	$.050 \\ (.026)^*$	.120 $(.029)^{***}$	023 (.034)	200 (.016)***	203 (.016)***	212 (.017)***
Obs. $R^2$	$13,\!687$ .924	$13,\!687$ .915	$13,\!687$ .878	$13,\!687$ .840	$13,\!687$ . $838$	$13,\!687$ . $813$

Table 47: Correlates of Firm Effects on Product Scale and Exporter Scope

<sup>a</sup>Log of nonzero exports, times indicator of nonzero exports (one less *no*-exports indicator).

Sources: RAIS and SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms.

Note: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions of firm fixed effects on firmlevel predictors, where firm fixed effects on product scale in column 1 are from a firm fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 2 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scope (log # products) and in column 3 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scope and destination fixed effects (see column 3 in Table 46). Firm fixed effects on exporter scope in column 4 are from a firm fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 5 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scale (log exports/product) and in column 6 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scale and destination fixed effects. Worldwide number of products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Domestic Brazilian locations counted at the municipality level. Workforce characteristics in shares of total employment. White-collar, blue-collar employment (insignificant at ten-percent level) and constant not reported. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

Table 46: Correla	tes of Des	unation E	nects on Frou	uct Scale a	ша Ехро	tter scope			
	Destinati from Log	ion Eff. on H Exports/pro	Product Scale od. regressions	Destinat from Log	Destination Eff. on Exp. Scope from Log $\#$ Products regression				
	Dest. FE only	Dest. FE & scope	Firm & dest. FE, & scope	Dest. FE only	Dest. FE & scale	Firm & dest. FE, & scale			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			
Mean Log Market size	$.116 \\ (.050)^{**}$	$.114 \\ (.049)^{**}$	.045 (.038)	003 (.012)	0001 (.011)	.010 (.010)			
Log Population	.131 $(.072)^*$	.132 $(.072)^*$	.252 $(.055)^{***}$	.001 (.018)	.004 (.018)	.018 (.015)			
Log GDP per cap.	$.053 \\ (.074)$	.052 (.073)	$.215$ $(.056)^{***}$	027 (.017)	026 (.016)	$.023$ $(.014)^*$			
Log Distance	$.068 \\ (.198)$	.039 (.196)	314 (.151)**	$242$ $(.051)^{***}$	239 (.050)***	200 (.042)***			
Common borders	336 $(.392)$	335 (.388)	044 (.299)	.031 (.097)	.021 (.094)	$.263$ $(.079)^{***}$			
Common language	416 (.372)	418 (.367)	.315 (.283)	.007 $(.096)$	006 (.093)	$.150 \\ (.079)^*$			
Const.	-9.043 (1.937)***	-8.683 $(1.915)^{***}$	-8.179 (1.477)***	$2.952$ $(.488)^{***}$	2.734 $(.474)^{***}$	1.592 (.399)***			
Obs.	101	101	101	107	107	107			

Table 48: Correlates of Destination Effects on Product Scale and Exporter Scope

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms.

.398

.395

 $R^2$ 

Note: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions of destination fixed effects on destination-level predictors, where destination fixed effects on product scale in column 1 are from a destination fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 2 from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scope (log # products, see column 2 in Table 46) and in column 3 from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scope and firm fixed effects (see column 3 in Table 46). Destination fixed effects on exporter scope in column 4 are from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scale (log exports/product) and in column 5 from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scale and firm fixed effects. Mean log market size is average sectoral absorption over *ISIC rev. 2* industries at destination level. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

.539

.348

.340

version 14

.519

	Produ	ct Eff. on P	roduct Scale	Product	Product Eff. on Exporter Scope					
	from Log	Exports/pr	rod. regressions	from Lo	g # Produ	cts regressions				
	Prod. FE only	Prod. FE & scope	Firm, dst. & prd. FE, & scope	Prod. FE only	Prod. FE & scale	Firm, dst. & prd. FE, & scale				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)				
Comparative adv.	.393 (.133)***	.396 (.133)***	$.202 \\ (.103)^{**}$	009 (.016)	019 (.017)	.013 $(.022)$				
Reference priced	-1.016 (.987)	993 (.986)	-1.737 (.762)**	062 (.122)	037 (.123)	.110 (.162)				
Differentiated	-1.432 (.915)	-1.439 (.914)	-1.158 (.706)	.018 (.113)	.053 $(.114)$	$.199 \\ (.150)$				
Log ww. $\#$ Dest.	$-1.803$ $(.942)^*$	$-1.876$ $(.941)^{**}$	-1.366 (.727)*	$.196 \\ (.117)^*$	.240 (.118)**	.182 (.155)				
No OECD imp.	-25.978 (12.806)**	-25.628 (12.786)**	-5.335 (9.880)	940 (1.586)	307 (1.598)	-1.775 (2.104)				
Log OECD imp. <sup><math>a</math></sup>	.391 (.273)	.374 $(.272)$	$.472$ $(.210)^{**}$	.044 $(.034)$	$.035 \\ (.034)$	$.033 \\ (.045)$				
No Mercos. imp.	-2.388 (2.359)	-2.375 (2.355)	990 (1.820)	036 (.292)	.022 (.294)	273 (.388)				
Log Mercs. Imp. <sup><math>a</math></sup>	.049 (.241)	.048 $(.240)$	061 (.186)	.003 $(.030)$	.002 (.030)	.034 (.040)				
Const.	4.271 (5.035)	4.501 (5.027)	$1.311 \\ (3.884)$	616 (.623)	720 (.628)	.005 (.827)				
Obs. $R^2$	92 .266	92 .277	$92 \\ .167$	92 .348	92 .382	92 .320				

Table 49: Correlates of Product Effects on Product Scale and Exporter Scope

<sup>a</sup>Log of nonzero imports, times indicator of nonzero imports (one less *no*-imports indicator).

Source: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms.

Note: Aggregation to exports by firm, destination, product group (Harmonized System 2-digit level). Regressions of product fixed effects at the Harmonized-System 2-digit level on product-level predictors, where product fixed effects on product scale in column 1 are from a product fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 2 from a product fixed effects regression controlling for scope (log # products) and in column 3 from a product fixed effects regression controlling for scope as well as destination and firm fixed effects (see column 6 in Table 27). Product fixed effects on exporter scope in column 4 are from a product fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 5 from a product effects regression controlling for scale (log exports/product) and in column 6 from a product fixed effects regression controlling for scale as well as destination and firm fixed effects. Balassa (1965) comparative-advantage for Brazil from UN Comtrade trade data for 2000 at the *ISIC Rev. 2* level: product h's comparative advantage is  $BADV_h \equiv [T_h^{\text{Brazil}}/\sum_k T_k^{\text{Brazil}}]/[T_h^{\text{World}}/\sum_k T_k^{\text{World}}]$ , where  $T_h$  are worldwide exports. Goods classification by degree of differentiation from Rauch (1999), conservative definition, revision 2 (2007): share of Harmonized-System 6-digit goods at the Harmonized-System 2-digit level; omitted benchmark category is homogeneous goods (traded on an organized exchange). Worldwide product-group imports exclude Brazil as importer and exporter. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

Log Exp./prod.		World		Mercosur	Oecd	non-Oecd
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Log # Products	.293 $(.091)^{***}$	$.633$ $(.059)^{***}$	.320 (.070)***	146 (.178)	$.349$ $(.171)^{**}$	.028 (.080)
Squared Log $\#$ Products	$.384$ $(.145)^{***}$	240 (.067)***	243 (.068)***	.060 (.180)	$.154 \\ (.196)$	147 (.073)**
Cubic Log $\#$ Products	340 (.077)***	.018 $(.024)$	.014 $(.024)$	058 $(.066)$	169 (.076)**	.019 (.025)
Quartic Log $\#$ Products	$.082$ $(.016)^{***}$	$.003 \\ (.003)$	.003 $(.003)$	.010 (.007)	$.023$ $(.009)^{***}$	.001 (.003)
Pentic Log $\#$ Products	006 (.001)***					
$Log # Prd. \times Log ww. # Dest.$			$.072$ $(.017)^{***}$	.179 $(.047)^{***}$	.018 $(.043)$	.076 (.020)***
$Log # Prd. \times Log Empl.$			$.030$ $(.007)^{***}$	017 (.018)	$.067$ $(.016)^{***}$	.021 (.007)***
$Log # Prd. \times Coll. ed. wf.$			123 (.063)*	$.271 \\ (.161)^*$	$279$ $(.154)^{*}$	.007 (.073)
Obs.	56,883	56,883	55,037	12,026	17,411	36,861
$R^2$	.125	.124	.128	.315	.151	.132
Corr. Firm FE, $X'\beta$	161	161	096	096	157	136
Residual degrees of freedom	42029	42030	41172	4089	10581	25734
${\cal F}$ statistic: Zero Firm FE	$3.835^{***}$	$3.833^{***}$	$3.665^{***}$	$2.918^{***}$	$2.985^{***}$	$3.596^{***}$

Table 50: Conditional Product Scale and Exporter Scope Correlations

Sources: RAIS and SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms.

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions controlling for firm and destination fixed effects (expanding regression (4) in Table 46). Worldwide number of products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

			Dest. &	Dest. &
Log Sales	OLS	Dest. FE	Ind. FE	$\mathbf{Firm} \ \mathbf{FE}$
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Log # Products	$1.142 \\ (.006)^{***}$	$1.169 \\ (.006)^{***}$	$1.313 \\ (.006)^{***}$	1.502 (.007)***
Log Product Rank	-2.321 (.006)***	-2.349 (.006)***	-2.428 (.006)***	-2.477 (.007)***
Obs.	$215,\!346$	$215,\!346$	207,919	$215,\!346$
Panels			465	$14,\!678$
$R^2 (R^2 \text{ within})^a$	.465	.507	.493	.583

#### Table 51: Individual Product Sales Regressions

 ${}^{a}R^{2}$  is within fit for industry and firm FE regressions in columns 3 and 4.

Sources: SECEX 2000, manufacturing products, all firms.

*Note*: Individual export sales by product, firm and destination. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Industry fixed effects at the CNAE two-digit level. Constant and destination fixed effects not reported. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

# 6 All Products and Firms

Table 52: Sample Characteristics by Destination											
	World	Mercosur	Oecd	non-Oecd	USA	Argentina					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)					
# of Observations (MNH)	$224,\!952$	66,133	54,069	$169,\!174$	$16,\!510$	26,865					
# of Destinations $(N)$	173	3	23	143	1	1					
Regional share in Tot. exports	1.000	.143	.599	.401	.243	.115					
Firms											
# of Firms $(M)$	$15,\!907$	$8,\!691$	8,204	$12,\!326$	4,702	$5,\!890$					
Median Total exports $(T_{md})$	.073	.045	.098	.055	.088	.056					
Median Exporter scope $(G_{md})$	2	2	2	2	1	2					
Median Avg. prod scale $(z_{md})$	.029	.018	.052	.022	.049	.026					
Mean Total exports $(\bar{t}_d)$	3.402	.890	3.949	1.743	2.802	1.058					
Mean Exporter scope $(\bar{G}_d)$	5.936	5.850	3.774	6.179	3.511	4.561					
Mean Avg. prod. scale $(z_d)$	.573	.152	1.046	.282	.798	.232					
Shares in Total exports											
Single-prod. firms	.112	.093	.163	.083	.157	.107					
Multi-prod. firms' top product	.586	.542	.605	.564	.635	.543					
Multi-prod. firms' other prod.	.302	.365	.232	.353	.208	.351					
		Varieties									
# of Varieties $(MH)$	$94,\!419$	$50,\!842$	30,965	76,162	$16,\!510$	26,865					
Median Variety sales	.004	.003	.007	.003	.007	.006					
Mean Variety sales	.573	.152	1.046	.282	.798	.232					

a

Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Exports in US\$ million fob. Mean Average product scale  $(z_d)$  is the scope-weighted arithmetic mean of exporters' average product scales.

Rank	Product	Exports (US\$ mill.)	Share in tot. exports (%)	# of Dest.
1.	Airplane & $a/c$ unladen wght > 2t, nov 15t	2,785	5.1	18
2.	Soybeans, whether or not broken	2,187	4.0	36
3.	Iron ore concen nesoi & non-agglomerated iron ores	1,853	3.4	38
4.	Soybean oilcake & oth solid residue, wh/not ground	$1,\!651$	3.0	39
5.	Coffee, not roasted, not decaffeinated	1,558	2.9	63
6.	Chem woodpulp, soda etc, n dis s bl & bl nonconif	1,526	2.8	29
7.	Pass veh spk-ig int com rcpr p eng >1500 nov 3m cc	$1,\!198$	2.2	34
8.	Agglomerated iron ores	$1,\!195$	2.2	23
9.	Footwear, outer sole rub etc & leather upper nesoi	1,020	1.9	94
10.	Orange juice, frozen, sweetened or not	1,019	1.9	47
11.	Unwrought aluminum, not alloyed	946	1.7	15
12.	Transmission appr incorporating reception apparats	940	1.7	32
13.	Smfd irn/nal stl lt .25 pct crb rect cs wid 2x thk	808	1.5	18
14.	Cane sugar, raw, solid form, w/o added flav/color	761	1.4	33
15.	Tobacco, partly or wholly stemmed/stripped	725	1.3	88
16.	Oil (not crude) from petrol & bitum mineral etc.	702	1.3	52
17.	Airplane & ot $a/c$ , unladen weight > 15t	636	1.2	3
18.	Nonalloy pig iron 0.5 prent or less phosphorus	446	0.8	18
19.	Chicken cuts and edible offal (inc livers), frozen	445	0.8	62
20.	Parts and accessories of motor vehicles, nesoi	445	0.8	108
21.	Cane/beet sug chem pure sucrose refind nesoi	438	0.8	57
22.	Compressors used in refrigerating equipment	416	0.8	64
23.	Spark-ignition int combustion piston eng pts nesoi	396	0.7	102
24.	Gold, nonmonetary, semimanufactured forms nesoi	375	0.7	4
25.	Spark-ignition reciprocating int com pistn eng pts	361	0.7	95

Table 53: Top 25 Export Products

Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

Note: Export values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.

Table 54: Top 25 Export Destinations								
		Exports	Share in tot.	# of				
Rank	Destination	(US\$ mill.)	exports $(\%)$	Products				
1.	USA	$13,\!173$	24.3	2,661				
2.	Argentina	6,232	11.5	3,121				
3.	Netherlands	2,792	5.2	798				
4.	Germany	2,523	4.7	1,441				
5.	Japan	$2,\!472$	4.6	1,027				
6.	Italy	$2,\!145$	4.0	$1,\!152$				
7.	Belgium-Luxembourg	1,867	3.4	696				
8.	France, Monaco	1,731	3.2	1,088				
9.	Mexico	1,711	3.2	1,563				
10.	UK	$1,\!498$	2.8	1,020				
11.	Chile	$1,\!246$	2.3	2,325				
12.	China	1,085	2.0	683				
13.	Spain	1,008	1.9	1,001				
14.	Paraguay	832	1.5	$2,\!616$				
15.	Venezuela	749	1.4	1,756				
16.	Uruguay	668	1.2	$2,\!669$				
17.	Korea Rep.	581	1.1	340				
18.	Canada	565	1.0	854				
19.	Colombia	514	1.0	1,466				
20.	Switzerland, Liechtenstein	510	0.9	525				
21.	China Hong Kong SAR	475	0.9	487				
22.	Russian Federation	423	0.8	251				
23.	Saudi Arabia	413	0.8	425				

379

364

0.7

0.7

1,104

2,336

m 11 F 1 T р .... . .

Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

Portugal

Bolivia

24.

25.

Note: Export values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.



Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms. Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.





Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms. Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.





Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms. Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.







non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Large circles depict the mean number of products by percentile, small dots above and below indicate a one-standard-error deviation. Fitted line from an ordinary least squares regression of the mean number of products on the percentile, up to the 98th percentile, with a 95-percent confidence band around.







*Note*: Selection of the six countries at the fiftieth through hundredth percentiles among Brazil's top 100 export destinations (Costa Rica, Poland, Turkey, Hong Kong, Chile, USA). Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Large circles depict the mean number of products by percentile, small dots above and below indicate a one-standard-error deviation. Fitted line from an ordinary least squares regression of the mean number of products on the percentile, up to the 98th percentile, with a 95-percent confidence band around.

Figure 34: Exporter Scope and Total Exports Distribution by Country

Local and Corr.		Spearman's rank corr.	Local regress	on world sion coeff.	Local, firm FE corr. coeff.		
World pctl.	coeff.	coeff.	OLS	Dest. FE	Dest. & firm $FE$		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
Coefficient	.568	.573	.679	.786	.695		
p value	0	0	0	0	0		
Obs.	$98,\!543$	$98,\!543$	$98,\!543$	$98,\!543$	$98,\!543$		
# Dest.				173	173		
Panels					15,907		

Table 55: Correlations between Local and Worldwide Total Exports Percentiles

Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Percentiles in discrete numbers. Unconditional and Spearman's rank correlation coefficients in columns 1 and 2. Regression coefficients of local total-exports percentiles on a firm's worldwide total-exports percentile in columns 3 (OLS with constant) and 4 (destination FE regression). In column 5, correlation coefficient between local totalexports percentiles and the firm-fixed effect from a local total-exports percentile regression on firm and destination fixed effects.

				Dest. &
Log # Products	OLS	Firm FE	Dest. FE	Firm FE
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Local total-exp. percentile	$1.660 \\ (.016)^{***}$	2.00e-23 (7.10e-14)	1.623 (.016)***	2.26e-23 (7.58e-14)
Const.	$1.299 \\ (.010)^{***}$	2.190 (4.08e-14)***	$.926$ $(.019)^{***}$	$2.190 \ (7.93e-14)^{***}$
Obs.	94,098	94,098	94,098	94,098
Panels		$15,\!869$		15,869
$\frac{R^2}{}$	.099	0	.168	0

Table	e 56:	Exporter	Scope and	Local	Total	-Exports	Percentile	Corre	lations
-------	-------	----------	-----------	-------	-------	----------	------------	-------	---------

Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

Note: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.  $R^2$  is within fit for firm FE regressions. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

		OLS	1
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Mean Log Market size			026 (.035)
Log Population		.010 (.031)	037 (.055)
Log GDP per cap.		187 (.033)***	188 (.050)***
Log GDP	081 (.028)***		
Log Distance	$.036 \\ (.151)$	.021 (.140)	208 (.152)
Common borders	.0007 (.303)	.004 (.280)	404 (.299)
Common language	767 (.313)**	767 (.289)***	304 (.317)
Obs.	153	153	94
$R^2$	.086	.226	.303

### Table 57: Correlates of Destination Effects on Exporter Scope

Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions of destination fixed effects on destination-level predictors, where destination fixed effects on exporter scope are from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for the firm's local total-exports percentile (column 3 in Table 56). Mean log market size is average sectoral absorption over *ISIC rev. 2* industries at destination level. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	World	Mercosur	OECD	non-OECD	USA	Argentina
Percentile	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
00	1	1	1	1	1	1
05	1	1	1	1	1	1
10	1	1	1	1	1	1
25	1	1	1	1	1	1
50	2	2	2	2	1	2
75	4	5	3	5	3	4
80	5	6	4	6	3	5
85	7	8	5	8	4	6
90	11	12	7	12	6	9
95	22	22	11	22	10	16
99	67	65	42	70	42	42
100	$1,\!608$	329	329	$1,\!608$	282	296

Table 58: Exporter Scope Distribution by Destination

Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

*Note*: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.





*Note:* Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

Figure 35: Average Scope, Total Exports and the Total Exports Distribution





*Note:* Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

Figure 36: Average Scope, Average Scale and the Total Exports Distribution



Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

*Note:* Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

#### Figure 37: Average Scope, Total Exports and the Exporter Scope Distribution





*Note:* Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

#### Figure 38: Average Scope, Average Scale and the Exporter Scope Distribution





*Note*: Selection of the eleven countries at the first and every tenth percentile among Brazil's top 100 export destinations (Lithuania, Jordan, Bulgaria, Bangladesh, Netherlands Antilles, Costa Rica, Poland, Turkey, Hong Kong, Chile, USA). Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Leftmost observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

# Figure 39: Average Scope, Scale and Exporter Distributions Across Countries



Sources: RAIS and SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

*Note*: Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left panel: firms by tercile of worldwide number of destinations; right panel: firms by tercile of domestic employment. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

Figure 40: Average Scope and the Exporter Distribution by Firm Type



Sources: RAIS and SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

*Note*: Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left panel: firms by tercile of worldwide number of destinations; right panel: firms by tercile of domestic employment. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

#### Figure 41: Average Scale and the Exporter Distribution by Firm Type



*Source: SECEX* 2000, all products and firms. *Note:* Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. World average from pooling destinations to which firms in a given exporter-scope group ship.

## Figure 42: Within-firm Sales Distribution

			1		1	1						
	Product rank											
Exporter scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	$8 \mathrm{th}$	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th		
Single product	.934 (.136)											
2 products	1.284 (.224)	.192 (.054)										
3 products	1.409 (.368)	.219 (.034)	.062 (.015)									
4 products	2.143 (.344)	.422 (.072)	.147 $(.031)$	$\begin{array}{c} .034 \\ (.007) \end{array}$								
5 products	$2.398 \\ (.399)$	.636 $(.122)$	.247 $(.054)$	.072 (.016)	.027 (.008)							
6 products	$\begin{array}{c} 3.610 \\ (1.510) \end{array}$	$1.410 \\ (.713)$	$.195 \\ (.053)$	$\begin{array}{c} .073 \\ (.014) \end{array}$	.026 $(.007)$	.005 $(.0009)$						
7 products	$\begin{array}{c} 2.213 \\ (.350) \end{array}$	.657 (.117)	.232 (.048)	$.102 \\ (.026)$	.040 (.011)	.022 (.008)	$.008 \\ (.005)$					
8 products	2.062 (.388)	.567 (.117)	$.190 \\ (.037)$	$.069 \\ (.014)$	.042 (.012)	.026 (.010)	.013 (.005)	.007 $(.004)$				
9 products	$\begin{array}{c} 9.116 \\ (4.729) \end{array}$	$3.173 \\ (1.739)$	1.234 (.708)	.439 (.205)	.131 (.038)	.071 (.025)	$\begin{array}{c} .037 \\ (.013) \end{array}$	.017 (.007)	.002 (.0004)			

Table 59: Worldwide Exports by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

1.134

(.396)

856

.599

603

(.237)

3.484

(1.058)

1,422

10 products

Avg. varieties<sup>a</sup>

*Source: SECEX* 2000, all products and firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products. *Note:* Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

.181

(.058)

454

.111

(.038)

365

.073

(.027)

299

.034

(.013)

253

.018

(.008)

228

.010

196

(.005)

.003

(.002)

168

		Product rank										
Exporter scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	$7 \mathrm{th}$	$8 \mathrm{th}$	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th		
Single product	.209 (.030)											
2 products	.307 (.040)	$.035 \\ (.004)$										
3 products	.565 (.131)	$.115 \\ (.029)$	.021 (.004)									
4 products	.555 (.101)	.133 $(.020)$	.042 (.008)	.012 (.004)								
5 products	.701 (.202)	$.139 \\ (.025)$	.040 (.007)	.017 $(.004)$	.004 (.0005)							
6 products	.475 (.091)	.132 (.023)	.063 $(.016)$	.026 $(.007)$	.009 (.002)	.003 $(.0006)$						
7 products	.589 (.090)	$.163 \\ (.028)$	.082 (.018)	.046 $(.013)$	.022 (.006)	.009 (.003)	.003 $(.0006)$					
8 products	.712 (.159)	.274 $(.103)$	$.149 \\ (.064)$	.065 $(.027)$	.036 (.014)	.022 (.010)	.008 $(.004)$	.004 (.002)				
9 products	2.789 (1.929)	$.395 \\ (.141)$	$.154 \\ (.056)$	.085 $(.032)$	.038 (.013)	.025 (.009)	.010 (.003)	.005 $(.002)$	.003 $(.001)$			
10 products	.718 (.164)	.254 $(.060)$	$.136 \\ (.044)$	.088 $(.030)$	.037 (.012)	.023 (.008)	.013 $(.006)$	$.008 \\ (.005)$	$.005 \\ (.003)$	.002 (.001)		
Avg. varieties <sup><math>a</math></sup>	773	475	335	262	216	177	150	133	125	111		

Table 60: Exports to Mercosur by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

*Source: SECEX* 2000, all products and firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products. *Note:* Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

Table	e 61: Ex	ports to	OECD	) by Ex	porter	Scope	and P	roduct	Rank	
	Product rank									
Exporter scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	$8 \mathrm{th}$	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th
Single product	1.322 (.200)									
2 products	2.171 (.448)	.324 (.084)								
3 products	2.121 (.325)	.610 (.195)	$.105 \\ (.023)$							
4 products	$3.342 \\ (.628)$	.716 (.145)	.237 $(.065)$	.056 $(.015)$						
5 products	$2.149 \\ (.319)$	.532 (.087)	.204 $(.040)$	.063 $(.011)$	.020 (.006)					
6 products	$\begin{array}{c} 6.312 \\ (3.025) \end{array}$	2.377 (1.660)	.327 $(.077)$	.143 $(.041)$	.040 (.010)	.010 (.002)				
7 products	$5.490 \\ (1.419)$	$1.746 \\ (.490)$	$.753 \\ (.309)$	.217 $(.074)$	.085 $(.032)$	$\begin{array}{c} .030 \\ (.011) \end{array}$	.012 (.008)			
8 products	$8.396 \\ (1.793)$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.735 \\ (.797) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.366 \\ (.401) \end{array}$	.510 (.145)	.258 $(.075)$	$\begin{array}{c} .095 \\ (.030) \end{array}$	.040 (.014)	.010 (.003)		

4.601

(2.425)

1.219

(.591)

417

3.347

(2.050)

.237

265

(.081)

18.919

(10.019)

5.408

(2.451)

775

9 products

10 products

Avg. varieties<sup>a</sup>

Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products. Note: Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. OECD includes all OECD members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

1.317

(.778)

.093

181

(.031)

.158

.038

136

(.011)

(.052)

.045

.022

107

(.008)

(.012)

.016

(.004)

.011

(.004)

92

.007

.004

77

(.002)

(.002)

.002

.002

63

.0007

(.0003)

54

(.0006)

(.0008)

Exporter scope	Product rank										
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	$8 \mathrm{th}$	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th	
Single product	.822 (.103)										
2 products	1.561 (.287)	.229 $(.040)$									
3 products	1.344 (.177)	.226 (.028)	.058 $(.011)$								
4 products	2.209 (.356)	.546 (.147)	.196 (.067)	.050 (.018)							
5 products	$1.808 \\ (.315)$	.443 $(.104)$	.141 (.035)	$.036 \\ (.008)$	.012 (.003)						
6 products	7.244 (3.520)	2.898 (1.773)	.536 (.183)	$.333 \\ (.125)$	.075 $(.032)$	$.005 \\ (.001)$					
7 products	4.290 (1.290)	$1.310 \\ (.599)$	.760 (.429)	$.148 \\ (.049)$	.072 (.029)	.035 (.017)	$.009 \\ (.006)$				
8 products	$10.710 \\ (3.828)$	$1.618 \\ (.463)$	.397 $(.089)$	.157 (.041)	.076 $(.022)$	.042 $(.011)$	$.015 \\ (.004)$	$.005 \\ (.001)$			
9 products	$10.121 \\ (5.005)$	3.527 (2.248)	.985 $(.456)$	.136 (.044)	.068 $(.025)$	.027 (.013)	.011 $(.004)$	.005 $(.002)$	.001 (.0005)		
10 products	7.326 (2.897)	4.070 (2.140)	1.473 $(.888)$	.392 (.178)	.265 $(.160)$	.138 (.097)	.104 (.085)	.024 (.017)	.005 $(.003)$	.001 (.0007)	
Avg. varieties <sup><math>a</math></sup>	448	217	133	88	65	51	43	35	28	24	

Table 62: Exports to U.S. by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

*Source: SECEX* 2000, all products and firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products. *Note:* Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

	-		0	v	-	-						
Exporter scope	Product rank											
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	8th	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th		
Single product	.263 (.040)											
2 products	.366 $(.050)$	.042 (.005)										
3 products	.652 (.167)	.152 (.040)	.025 $(.005)$									
4 products	.921 (.228)	.146 (.023)	.049 (.009)	.015 $(.005)$								
5 products	.644 $(.094)$	.190 (.034)	.058 $(.011)$	.029 (.008)	.007 (.001)							
6 products	.576 (.143)	.210 (.041)	.101 (.032)	.042 (.014)	.017 $(.005)$	$.005 \\ (.001)$						
7 products	.921 (.212)	.397 $(.147)$	.163 $(.057)$	.062 (.021)	$\begin{array}{c} .031 \\ (.011) \end{array}$	.015 $(.007)$	.003 $(.0006)$					
8 products	$1.151 \\ (.401)$	.411 (.120)	.244 $(.093)$	.141 (.052)	.073 (.024)	.032 (.010)	.016 (.006)	.007 $(.004)$				
9 products	4.583 (3.866)	.148 (.033)	.069 (.017)	$\begin{array}{c} .033 \\ (.010) \end{array}$	.021 (.006)	.014 $(.005)$	.007 (.003)	$\begin{array}{c} .003 \\ (.001) \end{array}$	.001 (.0004)			
10 products	1.157 (.348)	.405 (.123)	.189 (.079)	$.115 \\ (.053)$	.053 $(.023)$	.032 (.017)	.022 (.013)	.014 (.009)	.008 (.006)	.004 (.003)		

Table 63: Exports to Argentina by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

 $^a\!\mathrm{Average}$  number of exporter products across rows.

Avg. exp. varieties<sup>a</sup>

*Source: SECEX* 2000, all products and firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products. *Note:* Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.
	$\log \#$			Log Expor	ts/product		
OLS	Products	$\# \geq 1$	$\# \geq 2$	$\# \geq 3$	$\# \ge 10$	$\# \ge 25$	$\# \ge 100$
				World			
Log Total exports	.182 (.003)***	.818 (.003)***	.863 (.004)***	.884 (.004)***	.908 (.007)***	.915 $(.009)^{***}$	$.930$ $(.019)^{***}$
Const.	$1.362 \\ (.010)^{***}$	-1.362 (.010)***	$-1.783$ $(.011)^{***}$	-2.090 (.012)***	-3.141 (.016)***	$-3.855$ $(.022)^{***}$	-4.961 (.061)***
Obs. $R^2$	$15,907 \\ .199$	15,907 .834	$9,396 \\ .853$	$6,512 \\ .867$	1,856 .911	$688 \\ .937$	$85 \\ .965$
				Mercosur			
Log Total exports	.239 $(.004)^{***}$	.761 (.004)***	.815 (.005)***	.843 (.006)***	.888 (.010)***	.913 $(.013)^{***}$	$.950$ $(.025)^{***}$
Const.	1.651 (.016)***	$-1.651$ $(.016)^{***}$	$-1.987$ $(.017)^{***}$	-2.248 (.017)***	$-3.165$ $(.021)^{***}$	-3.852 (.027)***	-4.934 (.065)***
Obs. $R^2$	8,691 .256	$8,691 \\ .777$	5,236 .812	$3,\!645$ .833	1,075 .887	$384 \\ .925$	$44 \\ .972$
				OECD			
Log Total exports	.118 (.003)***	.882 (.003)***	$.923$ $(.005)^{***}$	.941 (.006)***	$.939$ $(.010)^{***}$	$.931$ $(.014)^{***}$	$.936$ $(.025)^{***}$
Const.	.945 (.012)***	945 (.012)***	$-1.439$ $(.013)^{***}$	-1.801 (.016)***	-3.050 (.030)***	-3.830 (.040)***	$-4.819$ $(.095)^{***}$
Obs. $R^2$	$^{8,204}_{.136}$	8,204 .897	4,208 .907	2,574 .914	$509 \\ .942$	$167 \\ .963$	$19 \\ .988$
			n	on-OECD	1		
Log Total exports	$.218$ $(.004)^{***}$	.782 (.004)***	$.827$ $(.004)^{***}$	$.852$ $(.005)^{***}$	$.896$ $(.008)^{***}$	$.899$ $(.011)^{***}$	$.928$ $(.023)^{***}$
Const.	$1.525 \\ (.013)^{***}$	$-1.525$ $(.013)^{***}$	$-1.920$ $(.013)^{***}$	$-2.210$ $(.014)^{***}$	$-3.164$ $(.018)^{***}$	$-3.875$ $(.024)^{***}$	-4.998 (.068)***
Obs. $R^2$	$12,326 \\ .238$	$12,326 \\ .801$	7,265 .825	5,009 .842	1,527 .892	$565 \\ .923$	$70 \\ .958$

	Table 64:	Total	Exports	Decom	positions	at	the	Firm	Level	1
--	-----------	-------	---------	-------	-----------	----	-----	------	-------	---

Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Firm  $\omega$ 's total exports  $t_d(\omega)$  to destination market d can be decomposed into:  $G_d(\omega) z_d(\omega)$ , where  $G_d(\omega)$  is the exporters' average number of products shipped to destination d (the average scope of the exporter at the destination), and  $z_d(\omega)$  are the exporter's average sales per product in destination country d(the scale of the exporter's average product). Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	Firm $data^a$	Firm-	destination	$data^b$	Firm-des	tination-pr	oduct data <sup><math>c</math></sup>
Log Exp./prod.	OLS	OLS	Dest. FE	Firm & dest. FE	Firm & dest. FE	Prod. & dest. FE	Firm, prod. & dest. FE
-	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
			Wo	rld			
Log # Products	$.097$ $(.017)^{***}$	248 (.010)***	130 (.010)***	.244 (.012)***	$1.088 \\ (.011)^{***}$	.301 (.012)***	$.916$ $(.012)^{***}$
Obs.	$15,\!907$	62,842	62,842	62,842	$107,\!296$	107,296	$107,\!296$
$\mathbb{R}^2$	.002	.010	.086	.120	.122	.254	.208
Corr. Firm FE, $X'\beta$	3			158	183		124
			Merc	cosur			
Log # Products	.069 (.020)***	018 (.016)***	004 (.016)***	$.185$ $(.029)^{***}$	$1.229 \\ (.020)^{***}$	.488 (.021)***	$1.133 \\ (.021)^{***}$
Obs.	8,691	12,956	12,956	12,956	27,917	27,917	27,917
$R^2$	.001	.0001	.047	.295	.189	.170	.258
Corr. Firm FE, $X'\beta$	3			131	222		174
			OE	CD			
Log # Products	.146 (.032)***	041 (.023)***	053 (.023)***	.491 (.026)***	$1.195 \\ (.027)^{***}$	$.347$ $(.028)^{***}$	$1.005 \\ (.027)^{***}$
Obs.	8,204	21,674	$21,\!674$	$21,\!674$	30,926	30,926	30,926
$R^2$	.003	.0002	.023	.138	.103	.253	.216
Corr. Firm FE, $X'\beta$	3			239	283		206
			non-C	ECD			
Log # Products	$.093$ $(.018)^{***}$	$239$ $(.011)^{***}$	$153$ $(.011)^{***}$	.163 $(.013)^{***}$	1.107 (.012)***	.289 $(.013)^{***}$	$.936$ $(.013)^{***}$
Obs.	12.326	40,354	40,354	40.354	75.329	75.329	75.329
$R^2$	.002	.012	.085	.122	.138	.226	.224
Corr. Firm FE, $X'\beta$	3			195	228		166

Table 00. I found beare and Emporter people contractoris
----------------------------------------------------------

<sup>a</sup>Aggregation: worldwide exports by firm.

<sup>b</sup>Aggregation: exports by firm and destination.

<sup>c</sup>Aggregation: exports by firm, destination, product group (Harmonized System 2-digit level).

Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level; product-group fixed effects at the Harmonized-System 2-digit level. Constant not reported.  $R^2$  is within fit for firm FE regressions. Correlation coefficient between firm fixed effects and all other predictors (including destination and product fixed effects). Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	Firm	ı Eff. on Pr	oduct Scale	Firm E	ff. on Exp	orter Scope	
	from Log	; Exports/p	rod. regressions	from Log	from Log $\#$ Products regressions		
	Firm FE only	Firm FE & scope	Firm & dest. FE, & scope	Firm FE only	Firm FE & scale	Firm & dest. FE, & scale	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Log ww. # Products	.150 (.006)***	117 (.006)***	010 (.007)	.729 (.003)***	.720 (.004)***	.721 (.004)***	
Log ww. Exp./prod.	.904 (.004)***	$.895$ $(.004)^{***}$	$.873$ $(.005)^{***}$	.026 (.002)***	027 (.002)***	003 (.002)	
Log ww. $\#$ Dest.	969 (.007)***	847 (.008)***	625 (.009)***	333 (.004)***	276 (.004)***	195 (.005)***	
No OECD exp.	004 (.016)	040 (.018)**	$.553$ $(.021)^{***}$	$.099$ $(.010)^{***}$	$.099$ $(.010)^{***}$	.004 (.011)	
$\log OECD Exp.^{a}$	.004 (.003)	$.005 \\ (.004)$	002 (.004)	003 (.002)	003 (.002)	005 (.002)**	
<i>No</i> Mercosur exp.	052 (.016)***	062 (.018)***	$.089$ $(.021)^{***}$	$.027$ $(.010)^{***}$	.030 (.010)***	$.331$ $(.011)^{***}$	
$\log$ Mercosur Exp. <sup><i>a</i></sup>	$.014$ $(.003)^{***}$	$.014$ $(.004)^{***}$	.022 (.004)***	.002 (.002)	.001 (.002)	004 (.002)	
Log # dom. Plants	014 (.008)*	018 (.009)**	0003 (.010)	$.010 \\ (.005)^{**}$	$.011$ $(.005)^{**}$	$.015 \\ (.005)^{***}$	
Log # dom. Loc.	$.035$ $(.008)^{***}$	$.045 \\ (.009)^{***}$	$.032$ $(.011)^{***}$	028 (.005)***	030 (.005)***	029 (.006)***	
Log Employment	006 (.003)**	00003 (.003)	0005 (.004)	016 (.002)***	016 (.002)***	019 (.002)***	
High sch. educ. wf.	075 (.018)***	066 (.019)***	101 (.023)***	$025$ $(.011)^{**}$	021 (.011)*	024 (.012)**	
College educ. wf.	$.051$ $(.025)^{**}$	.126 $(.028)^{***}$	005 (.032)	204 (.015)***	207 (.016)***	216 (.017)***	
Obs. $R^2$	$14,691 \\ .927$	$14,\!691$ .917	$14,691 \\ .883$	$14,\!691$ .833	$14,\!691$ .832	$14,691\\.806$	

 Table 66: Correlates of Firm Effects on Product Scale and Exporter Scope

<sup>a</sup>Log of nonzero exports, times indicator of nonzero exports (one less *no*-exports indicator). Sources: RAIS and SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

Note: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions of firm fixed effects on firmlevel predictors, where firm fixed effects on export scale in column 1 are from a firm fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 2 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scope (log # products) and in column 3 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scope and destination fixed effects (see column 3 in Table 65). Firm fixed effects on exporter scope in column 4 are from a firm fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 5 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scale (log exports/product) and in column 6 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scale and destination fixed effects. Worldwide number of products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Domestic Brazilian locations counted at the municipality level. Workforce characteristics in shares of total employment. White-collar, blue-collar employment (insignificant at ten-percent level) and constant not reported. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

rable off. Contoin			neets on 110a	det seale t	ing Enpo	teer seepe			
	Destinati	ion Eff. on I	Product Scale	Destinat	Destination Eff. on Exp. Scope				
	from Log	Exports/pro	d. regressions	from Log	# Produc	ts regressions			
	Dest. FE only	Dest. FE & scope	Firm & dest. FE, & scope	Dest. FE only	Dest. FE & scale	Firm & dest. FE, & scale			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			
Mean Log Market size	$.085 \\ (.050)^*$	$.088 \\ (.050)^*$	.022 (.033)	024 (.015)*	021 (.014)	.008 (.012)			
Log Population	$.217$ $(.072)^{***}$	$.213$ $(.071)^{***}$	$.329$ $(.047)^{***}$	.018 (.018)	.020 (.018)	.019 (.015)			
Log GDP per cap.	.146 (.073)**	$.138$ $(.072)^{*}$	$.295$ $(.048)^{***}$	013 $(.019)$	012 (.019)	.026 (.016)			
Log Distance	.003 $(.206)$	031 (.203)	$537$ $(.134)^{***}$	$181$ $(.053)^{***}$	182 (.052)***	231 (.045)***			
Common borders	548 (.389)	547 (.385)	328 (.253)	.073 $(.100)$	.065 (.098)	$.213$ $(.084)^{**}$			
Common language	603 $(.367)$	602 (.363)*	.240 (.238)	.111 (.107)	$.096 \\ (.105)$	.092 (.090)			
Const.	-10.002 (1.899)***	$-9.556$ $(1.877)^{***}$	-7.570 (1.232)***	2.429 (.507)***	2.237 (.495)***	$1.870 \\ (.427)^{***}$			
Obs. $R^2$	$90 \\ .466$	$\begin{array}{c} 90 \\ .464 \end{array}$	90 .692	92 .400	$92 \\ .383$	$92 \\ .515$			

Table 67: Correlates of Destination Effects on Product Scale and Exporter Scope

Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

Note: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions of destination fixed effects on destination-level predictors, where destination fixed effects on product scale in column 1 are from a destination fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 2 from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scope (log # products, see column 2 in Table 65) and in column 3 from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scope and firm fixed effects (see column 3 in Table 65). Destination fixed effects on exporter scope in column 4 are from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scale (log exports/product) and in column 5 from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scale and firm fixed effects. Mean log market size is average sectoral absorption over *ISIC rev. 2* industries at destination level. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	Produc	ct Eff. on F	roduct Scale	Product	t Eff. on Ez	xporter Scope
	from Log	Exports/p	rod. regressions	from Lo	g # Produ	cts regressions
	Prod. FE only	Prod. FE & scope	Firm, dst. & prd. FE, & scope	Prod. FE only	Prod. FE & scale	Firm, dst. & prd. FE, & scale
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Comparative adv.	.519 $(.124)^{***}$	$.520$ $(.124)^{***}$	.118 (.116)	0008 (.015)	013 (.015)	.042 (.019)**
Reference priced	-1.115 (.909)	-1.076(.906)	-2.494 (.848)***	108 (.110)	082 (.111)	059 (.139)
Differentiated	-1.743 (.842)**	-1.724 (.840)**	-1.963 (.786)**	053 (.102)	013 (.102)	.012 (.129)
Log ww. # Dest.	-2.050 (.902)**	-2.108 (.899)**	-1.472 (.841)*	.162 (.110)	.208 (.110)*	.113 (.138)
No OECD imp.	-16.785 (12.314)	-17.153 (12.280)	-1.746(11.488)	$1.015 \\ (1.496)$	$1.396 \\ (1.498)$	668 (1.882)
Log OECD Imp. <sup><i>a</i></sup>	$.370 \\ (.251)$	.348 $(.250)$	$.392 \\ (.234)^*$	.062 (.030)**	$.053 \\ (.031)^*$	.072 $(.038)^*$
<i>No</i> Mercosur imp.	-2.358 (2.254)	-2.329 (2.247)	-1.439 (2.102)	079 (.274)	025 (.274)	374 (.344)
Log Mercos. Imp. <sup><math>a</math></sup>	.109 (.228)	.107 (.227)	005 (.212)	.005 (.028)	.003 (.028)	.028 (.035)
Const.	5.816 (4.822)	$5.966 \\ (4.809)$	.317 (4.498)	411 (.586)	544 (.587)	097 (.737)
Obs. $R^2$	96 .386	96 .397	$96 \\ .164$	96 .341	96 .389	96 .323

Table 68: Correlates of Product Effects on Product Scale and Exporter Scope

<sup>a</sup>Log of nonzero imports, times indicator of nonzero imports (one less *no*-imports indicator).

Source: SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

Note: Aggregation to exports by firm, destination, product group (Harmonized System 2-digit level). Regressions of product fixed effects at the Harmonized-System 2-digit level on product-level predictors, where product fixed effects on product scale in column 1 are from a product fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 2 from a product fixed effects regression controlling for scope (log # products) and in column 3 from a product fixed effects regression controlling for scope as well as destination and firm fixed effects (see column 6 in Table 27). Product fixed effects on exporter scope in column 4 are from a product fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 5 from a product effects regression controlling for scale (log exports/product) and in column 6 from a product fixed effects regression controlling for scale as well as destination and firm fixed effects. Balassa (1965) comparative-advantage for Brazil from UN Comtrade trade data for 2000 at the *ISIC Rev.* 2 level: product h's comparative advantage is  $BADV_h \equiv [T_h^{\text{Brazil}}/\sum_k T_k^{\text{Brazil}}]/[T_h^{\text{World}}/\sum_k T_k^{\text{World}}]$ , where  $T_h$  are worldwide exports. Goods classification by degree of differentiation from Rauch (1999), conservative definition, revision 2 (2007): share of Harmonized-System 6-digit goods at the Harmonized-System 2-digit level; omitted benchmark category is homogeneous goods (traded on an organized exchange). Worldwide product-group imports exclude Brazil as importer and exporter. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

Log Evp /prod		World		Mercosur	Oecd	non-Oecd
Log Exp./prod.	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Log # Products	.439 (.088)***	.741 (.057)***	.412 (.068)***	007 (.177)	.486 (.156)***	.092 (.079)
Squared Log $\#$ Products	.224 $(.139)$	332 (.065)***	332 (.065)***	009 (.180)	.054 $(.182)$	219 (.071)***
Cubic Log $\#$ Products	274 (.074)***	$.044$ $(.023)^{*}$	.038 $(.023)$	038 (.066)	148 (.071)**	.039 (.024)
Quartic Log $\#$ Products	$.070$ $(.016)^{***}$	.0004 $(.002)$	.001 (.002)	.009 (.007)	$.022$ $(.008)^{***}$	0008 (.003)
Pentic Log $\#$ Products	005 (.001)***					
$Log # Prd. \times Log ww. # Dest.$			$.086$ $(.017)^{***}$	.156 (.046)***	$.035 \\ (.040)$	.085 (.020)***
$Log # Prd. \times Log Empl.$			$.025$ $(.006)^{***}$	017 (.018)	$.054$ $(.015)^{***}$	.020 (.007)***
$Log # Prd. \times Coll. ed. wf.$			097 $(.062)$	.219 (.161)	211 (.140)	.015 (.072)
Obs.	62,842	62,842	60,529	12,469	$20,\!650$	39,090
$R^2$	.124	.124	.128	.304	.149	.127
Corr. Firm FE, $X'\beta$	151	151	088	097	175	124
F statistic: Zero Firm FE	$4.318^{***}$	$4.316^{***}$	$4.143^{***}$	$2.916^{***}$	$3.633^{***}$	$3.811^{***}$

Table 69:	Conditional	Product S	Scale and	Exporter	Scope (	Correlations

Sources: RAIS and SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions controlling for firm and destination fixed effects (expanding regression (4) in Table 65). Worldwide number of products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

			Dest. &	Dest. &
Log Sales	OLS	Dest. FE	Ind. FE	Firm FE
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Log # Products	$1.100 \\ (.006)^{***}$	$1.142 \\ (.006)^{***}$	$1.295 \\ (.006)^{***}$	$1.486$ $(.007)^{***}$
Log Product Rank	$-2.309$ $(.006)^{***}$	$-2.342$ $(.006)^{***}$	$-2.429$ $(.006)^{***}$	-2.478 (.007)***
Obs.	224,952	224,952	$216,\!628$	224,952
Panels			478	$15,\!907$
$R^2 (R^2 \text{ within})^a$	.461	.505	.488	.577

Table 70: Individual Product Sales Regressions

 $^{a}R^{2}$  is within fit for industry and firm FE regressions in columns 3 and 4.

Sources: SECEX 2000, all products and firms.

*Note*: Individual export sales by product, firm and destination. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Industry fixed effects at the CNAE two-digit level. Constant and destination fixed effects not reported. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

### 7 Chilean Manufacturing Firms and Products

	World	Mercosur	Oecd	non-Oecd	USA	Argentina
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
# of Observations (MNH)	$37,\!183$	$7,\!491$	8,998	$28,\!178$	$3,\!488$	4,999
# of Destinations $(N)$	140	3	23	115	1	1
Regional share in Tot. exports	1.000	.057	.560	.440	.156	.048
		Firms				
# of Firms $(M)$	$4,\!099$	$1,\!642$	1,862	3,226	$1,\!137$	$1,\!353$
Median Total exports $(T_{md})$	.038	.030	.040	.035	.039	.031
Median Exporter scope $(G_{md})$	2	2	1	2	1	2
Median Avg. prod. scale $(z_{md})$	.014	.013	.022	.013	.022	.015
Mean Total exports $(\bar{t}_d)$	2.779	.393	3.428	1.552	1.559	.404
Mean Exporter scope $(\bar{G}_d)$	5.454	3.941	3.288	5.471	3.068	3.695
Mean Avg. prod. scale $(z_d)$	.510	.100	1.043	.284	.508	.109
Shares in Total exports						
Single-prod. firms	.041	.169	.102	.098	.096	.180
Multi-prod. firms' top product	.715	.598	.692	.639	.673	.600
Multi-prod. firms' other prod.	.243	.233	.205	.262	.231	.220
		Varieties				
# of Varieties $(MH)$	$22,\!356$	$6,\!471$	6,122	$17,\!650$	$3,\!488$	4,999
Median Variety sales	.002	.003	.004	.002	.004	.003
Mean Variety sales	.510	.100	1.043	.284	.508	.109

Table 71: Sample Characteristics by Destination

Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Exports in US\$ million fob. Mean Average product scale  $(z_d)$  is the scope-weighted arithmetic mean of exporters' average product scales.

		Exports	Share in tot.	# of
$\operatorname{Rank}$	Product	(US\$ mill.)	exports $(\%)$	Dest.
1.	Refined copper cathodes and sections of cathodes	$3,\!688$	32.4	33
2.	Chemical woodpulp, soda etc. n dis s bl & bl conif	685	6.0	32
3.	Wine, fr grape nesoi & gr must w alc, nov 2 liters	497	4.4	83
4.	Copper ores and concentrates	347	3.0	13
5.	Methanol (methyl alcohol)	297	2.6	12
6.	Unrefnd cppr; cppr anods f elctroltc refining	293	2.6	9
7.	Coniferous wood sawn, sliced etc, over 6 mm thick	291	2.6	45
8.	Gold, nonmonetary, unwrought nesoi	266	2.3	5
9.	Unwrought refined copper nesoi	254	2.2	13
10.	Oil (not crude) from petrol & bitum mineral etc.	173	1.5	18
11.	Chem woodpulp, soda etc, n dis s bl & bl nonconif	171	1.5	21
12.	Flour meal & pellet of fish crustaceans etc inedib	148	1.3	52
13.	Iodine	146	1.3	23
14.	Chem wdpulp sulfate ex disslvng gr conif, unbleach	129	1.1	24
15.	Wood, tongued, grooved, molded etc, coniferous	115	1.0	17
16.	Molybdenum ores and concentrates roasted	115	1.0	17
17.	Newsprint, in rolls or sheets	102	.9	15
18.	Silver, unwrought nesoi	90	.8	9
19.	Potassium nitrate	87	.8	26
20.	Ash and residues nesoi, containing metals nesoi	83	.7	8
21.	Mtr veh trans gds spk ig in c p eng, gvw nov 5 mtn	83	.7	8
22.	Food preparations nesoi	81	.7	38
23.	Wine, fr grape nesoi & gr must with alc, nesoi	74	.6	40
24.	Doors and their frames and thresholds, of wood	64	.6	15
25.	Fish, prepared or preserved, whole or pieces nesoi	61	.5	52

### Table 72: Top 25 Export Products

Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

Note: Export values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.

		Exports	Share in tot.	# of
Rank	Destination	(US\$ mill.)	exports $(\%)$	Products
1.	USA	1,772	15.6	1,211
2.	UK	947	8.3	201
3.	Japan	770	6.8	205
4.	Italy	711	6.2	281
5.	China	710	6.2	94
6.	Brazil	706	6.2	740
7.	Mexico	669	5.9	741
8.	Argentina	547	4.8	$1,\!677$
9.	Korea Rep.	536	4.7	81
10.	France Monaco	524	4.6	269
11.	Belgium-Luxembourg	369	3.2	172
12.	Peru	365	3.2	1,718
13.	Netherlands	310	2.7	150
14.	Germany	258	2.3	382
15.	Colombia	190	1.7	606
16.	Venezuela	180	1.6	601
17.	Spain	169	1.5	387
18.	Bolivia	159	1.4	1,714
19.	Ecuador	139	1.2	658
20.	Canada	121	1.1	288
21.	Saudi Arabia	115	1.0	19
22.	Switzerland, Liechtenstein	105	.9	112
23.	Turkey	75	.7	35
24.	Indonesia	69	.6	27
25.	Uruguay	54	.5	715

Table 73: Top 25 Export Destinations

Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

Note: Export values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.



Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note:* Mercosur includes Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members of the OECD in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.

Figure 43: Exporter Scope Distribution for Up to 25 Products



Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note:* Mercosur includes Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.

Figure 44: Total Saless Distribution



0 .05 .1

0 .05 .1

1000

Log Number of Products (HS 6-digit) 10 100 .25 .5 .75 .8 .85 .9 .95 1 Firm Percentile in Number of Products Shipped

non-OECD

.25 .5 .75 .8 Firm Percentile in Number of Products Shipped

.85 .9 .95 1

0 .05 .1

0 .05 .1

1000

Log Number of Products (HS 6-digit) 10 100 .25 .5 .75 .8 .85 .9 .95 Firm Percentile in Number of Products Shipped

OECD

.25 .5 .75 .8 Firm Percentile in Number of Products Shipped



.85 .9 .95 1

Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Mercosur includes Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.

Figure 45: Exporter Scope Distribution



Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Mercosur includes Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Large circles depict the mean number of products by percentile, small dots above and below indicate a one-standard-error deviation. Fitted line from an ordinary least squares regression of the mean number of products on the percentile, up to the 98th percentile, with a 95-percent confidence band around.

Figure 46: Exporter Scope and Total Exports Distribution



Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Selection of the six countries at the fiftieth through hundredth percentiles among Chile's top 100 export destinations (El Salvador, Iceland, Denmark, Saudi Arabia, Belgium-Luxembourg, USA). Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Large circles depict the mean number of products by percentile, small dots above and below indicate a one-standard-error deviation. Fitted line from an ordinary least squares regression of the mean number of products on the percentile, up to the 98th percentile, with a 95-percent confidence band around.

Figure 47: Exporter Scope and Total Exports Distribution by Country

Local and	Corr.	Spearman's rank corr.	Local regress	on world sion coeff.	Local, firm FE corr. coeff.
World pctl.	coeff.	coeff.	OLS	Dest. FE	Dest. & firm $FE$
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Coefficient $p$ value <sup><i>a</i></sup>	$\begin{array}{c} .602 \\ 0 \end{array}$	.596	$\begin{array}{c} .648 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .752 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .715\\ 0\end{array}$
Obs. # Dest. Panels	12,781	12,781	12,781	$12,781 \\ 140$	12,781 139 4,099

Table 74: Correlations between Local and Worldwide Total Exports Percentiles

<sup>a</sup>Null hypothesis: Coefficient is zero.

Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Percentiles in discrete numbers. Unconditional and Spearman's rank correlation coefficients in columns 1 and 2. Regression coefficients of local total-exports percentiles on a firm's worldwide total-exports percentile in columns 3 (OLS with constant) and 4 (destination FE regression). In column 5, correlation coefficient between local total-exports percentiles and the firm-fixed effect from a local total-exports percentile regression on firm and destination fixed effects.

				Dest. &
Log # Products	OLS	Firm FE	Dest. FE	$\mathbf{Firm} \ \mathbf{FE}$
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Log Local total-exp. percentile	$.247$ $(.011)^{***}$	-1.67e-26 (3.20e-15)	$.244$ $(.011)^{***}$	-2.32e-26 (3.36e-15)
Constant	$1.763 \\ (.014)^{***}$	1.523 (3.65e-15)***	$1.430 \\ (.034)^{***}$	1.523 (8.82e-15)***
Observations	$12,\!423$	12,423	$12,\!423$	$12,\!423$
Panels		4,091		4,091
$R^2 (R^2 \text{ within})^a$	.040	0	.085	0

Table 75:	Exporter	Scope	and Local	Total-Exports	Percentile	Correlations
-----------	----------	-------	-----------	---------------	------------	--------------

 ${}^{a}R^{2}$  is within fit for firm FE regressions in columns 2 and 4.

Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

		OLS	
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Mean Log Market size			011 (.026)
Log Population		$051$ $(.021)^{**}$	045 (.039)
Log GDP per cap.		053 (.025)**	091 (.043)**
Log GDP	052 (.017)***		
Log Distance	184 (.110)*	184 (.110)*	.023 (.123)
Common borders	386 (.303)	387 (.305)	211 (.289)
Common language	036 (.152)	036 (.153)	.164 $(.168)$
Obs. $R^2$	$\begin{array}{c} 133\\.116\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 133\\.116\end{array}$	93 .175

#### Table 76: Correlates of Destination Effects on Exporter Scope

Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions of destination fixed effects on destination-level predictors, where destination fixed effects on exporter scope are from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for the firm's local total-exports percentile (column 3 in Table 75). Mean log market size is average sectoral absorption over *ISIC rev. 2* industries at destination level. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	World	Mercosur	OECD	non-OECD	USA	Argentina
Percentile	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
00	1	1	1	1	1	1
05	1	1	1	1	1	1
10	1	1	1	1	1	1
25	1	1	1	1	1	1
50	2	2	1	2	1	2
75	5	4	3	5	3	4
80	7	5	4	7	3	5
85	9	6	5	9	4	6
90	12	9	6	12	6	8
95	21	13	11	21	10	12
99	51	32	33	51	30	30
100	265	99	154	265	154	99

Table 77: Exporter Scope Distribution by Destination

Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Mercosur includes Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.



Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Mercosur includes Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left-most observations are all exporters; at the next percentile are exporter observations with shipments in the top 99 percentiles; up to the right-most observations with exporters whose shipments are in the top percentile.

Figure 48: Average Scope, Total Exports and the Total Exports Distribution



Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Mercosur includes Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left-most observations are all exporters; at the next percentile are exporter observations with shipments in the top 99 percentiles; up to the right-most observations with exporters whose shipments are in the top percentile.

Figure 49: Average Scope, Average Scale and the Total Exports Distribution



Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Mercosur includes Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

#### Figure 50: Average Scope, Total Exports and the Exporter Scope Distribution



Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Mercosur includes Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

#### Figure 51: Average Scope, Total Exports and the Exporter Scope Distribution



Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Selection of the eleven countries at the first and every tenth percentile among Chile's top 100 export destinations (Armenia, Suriname, Zimbabwe, Bangladesh, Nicaragua, El Salvador, Iceland, Denmark, Saudi Arabia, Belgium-Luxembourg, USA). Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

## Figure 52: Average Scope, Scale and Exporter Distributions Across Countries



Sources: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Firms by tercile of worldwide number of destinations. Left panel: average scope; right panel: average scale. Left-most observations are all exporters, shipping at least one product to the destination; at the next percentile those exporters that ship at least two products to the destination, and so fourth; up to the right-most exporter who ships the largest number of products to the destination.

# Figure 53: Average Scope, Average Scale and the Exporter Distribution by Firm Type





*Note:* Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. World average from pooling destinations to which firms in a given exporter-scope group ship.

#### Figure 54: Within-firm Sales Distribution

					Produ	ıct rank				
Exporter scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	8th	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th
Single product	.283 (.064)									
2 products	$1.521 \\ (.545)$	.064 $(.016)$								
3 products	1.775 (.814)	.091 (.015)	.015 $(.003)$							
4 products	$2.799 \\ (.809)$	.499 (.184)	.042 $(.011)$	.007 (.001)						
5 products	$1.999 \\ (.602)$	.660 $(.279)$	.090 (.036)	.032 (.020)	.004 (.001)					
6 products	$\begin{array}{c} 3.313 \\ (1.616) \end{array}$	.697 $(.339)$	.275 $(.121)$	.063 $(.022)$	.021 (.006)	.009 (.003)				
7 products	$1.240 \\ (.355)$	.184 (.044)	.088 $(.028)$	.028 $(.007)$	.017 (.005)	.007 $(.002)$	.003 $(.0009)$			
8 products	4.956 (3.917)	$1.881 \\ (1.598)$	.222 $(.141)$	.139 (.102)	.039 (.025)	$.010 \\ (.005)$	.007 $(.004)$	.001 (.0005)		
9 products	$2.493 \\ (1.076)$	.417 $(.212)$	.121 (.042)	$.066 \\ (.018)$	.028 $(.009)$	.017 $(.006)$	.008 $(.003)$	.004 $(.001)$	.001 (.0004)	
10 products	4.972 (3.165)	$2.205 \\ (1.421)$	.954 $(.541)$	.257 $(.110)$	.159 (.074)	.083 $(.047)$	.034 (.016)	.013 $(.006)$	.003 $(.001)$	.0008 (.0004)
Avg. varieties <sup><math>a</math></sup>	360	216	164	134	112	98	83	68	64	61

Table 78: Worldwide Exports by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

 $^a\mathrm{Average}$  number of exporter products across rows.

*Source*: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing products and firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products. Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

					Prod	luct rank				
Exporter scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	$8 \mathrm{th}$	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th
Single product	.148 (.041)									
2 products	.160 (.030)	.015 (.003)								
3 products	.485 (.195)	$.102 \\ (.049)$	.037 (.029)							
4 products	.624 (.316)	.119 (.060)	.019 (.008)	.006 (.003)						
5 products	.408 $(.103)$	.088 $(.022)$	.027 (.007)	.007 (.001)	.003 $(.0009)$					
6 products	.286 $(.076)$	.066 $(.019)$	.024 (.007)	.013 $(.004)$	.004 (.001)	.002 (.0007)				
7 products	.443 $(.135)$	.092 (.030)	$.055 \\ (.021)$	.017 $(.006)$	.011 (.005)	$.003 \\ (.001)$	.002 (.0009)			
8 products	.364 $(.135)$	.061 $(.022)$	.032 (.012)	.011 (.004)	.006 (.002)	.003 $(.0008)$	.001 (.0004)	$.0005 \\ (.0001)$		
9 products	$.350 \\ (.136)$	.116 $(.044)$	.041 (.013)	.021 (.006)	.011 (.004)	.004 (.001)	.002 (.0006)	.001 (.0003)	$.0005 \\ (.0001)$	
10 products	.625 (.243)	.187 $(.086)$	.040 (.012)	.024 $(.008)$	.018 (.007)	$.009 \\ (.003)$	.006 (.002)	.003 (.0008)	.002 (.0006)	.001 (.0004)
Avg. varieties <sup><math>a</math></sup>	152	86	65	51	43	37	29	27	24	24

Table 79: Exports to Mercosur by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

<sup>*a*</sup>Average number of exporter products across rows.

Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing products and firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products.

*Note*: Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Mercosur includes Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

					Product	t rank				
Exporter scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	$8 \mathrm{th}$	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th
Single product	.684 (.243)									
2 products	$1.391 \\ (.512)$	.086 (.016)								
3 products	4.580 (1.595)	.493 (.226)	.022 (.006)							
4 products	$3.880 \\ (1.042)$	.872 (.495)	.097 (.035)	.028 (.010)						
5 products	4.922 (1.768)	1.422 (.556)	.321 (.127)	.096 (.059)	.010 (.005)					
6 products	$1.685 \\ (.508)$	.636 $(.244)$	.156 (.065)	.069 (.028)	.028 (.012)	.015 (.007)				
7 products	$9.809 \\ (5.814)$	4.584 (2.837)	.516 (.242)	.224 (.118)	.151 (.093)	.092 (.068)	.015 (.007)			
8 products	$1.455 \\ (.757)$	.678 (.392)	.416 (.300)	.137 (.106)	.082 (.060)	.049 (.044)	.021 (.018)	.017 (.016)		
9 products	26.967 (26.595)	4.052 (3.781)	1.044 (.961)	$.099 \\ (.063)$	.019 (.016)	.012 (.010)	.010 (.010)	.007 (.006)	.0003 $(.0002)$	
10 products	$\begin{array}{c} 9.105 \\ (6.870) \end{array}$	$2.489 \\ (1.431)$	$1.488 \\ (.782)$	.330 $(.180)$	.256 $(.149)$	$.126 \\ (.078)$	.063 $(.040)$	.027 (.017)	.008 (.004)	.004 (.002)
Avg. varieties <sup><math>a</math></sup>	177	90	59	43	33	27	21	16	14	19

Table 80: Exports to OECD by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

<sup>*a*</sup>Average number of exporter products across rows.

*Source*: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing products and firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products.

*Note*: Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. OECD includes all OECD members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

					Produ	ct rank				
Exp. scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	$7 \mathrm{th}$	8th	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th
Single prod.	.280 (.060)									
2 products	$1.288 \\ (.362)$	.143 $(.054)$								
3 products	$1.875 \\ (.455)$	.318 (.100)	$\begin{array}{c} .038 \\ (.016) \end{array}$							
4 products	$\begin{array}{c} 1.963 \\ (.833) \end{array}$	.791 (.427)	$.135 \\ (.061)$	.034 (.014)						
5 products	4.681 (1.760)	.748 (.295)	.240 (.090)	.075 (.033)	.023 (.011)					
6 products	2.174 (1.382)	1.384 (1.063)	.226 (.137)	.151 (.128)	.104 (.094)	.010 (.006)				
7 products	3.897 (2.134)	$1.705 \\ (.843)$	.568 $(.285)$	.164 (.080)	.091 (.047)	.048 (.033)	.024 (.018)			
8 products	.078 $(.034)$	.028 (.013)	.011 (.005)	.005 $(.0009)$	.002 (.0004)	.002 (.0003)	.001 (.0003)	.0006 $(.0002)$		
9 products	31.007 (30.067)	$7.165 \\ (6.773)$	.082 (.075)	.028 (.026)	.019 (.018)	.017 (.016)	.011 (.011)	$.002 \\ (.001)$	.0003 $(.0002)$	
10 products	.467 $(.281)$	.200 (.163)	.058 $(.030)$	.037 (.020)	.027 (.017)	.024 (.017)	.017 (.015)	.006 $(.005)$	.004 (.003)	.0003 $(.0002)$
Varieties <sup>a</sup>	109	53	34	24	17	13	9	6	5	7

Table 81: Exports to U.S. by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

 $^a\!\mathrm{Average}$  number of exporter products across rows.

Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing products and firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products.

*Note*: Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

					Prod	luct rank				
Exp. scope	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	8th	$9 \mathrm{th}$	10th
Single prod.	.154 (.041)									
2 products	.203 (.038)	.018 (.003)								
3 products	.715 (.294)	$.142 \\ (.061)$	.042 (.032)							
4 products	.384 $(.117)$	.086 $(.045)$	.022 (.009)	$.005 \\ (.002)$						
5 products	$\begin{array}{c} .303 \\ (.078) \end{array}$	.092 (.025)	.026 $(.009)$	.007 $(.002)$	.002 (.0005)					
6 products	$.342 \\ (.098)$	.080 (.026)	.028 $(.009)$	.013 $(.004)$	.004 (.001)	.002 (.001)				
7 products	.396 $(.113)$	$.119 \\ (.036)$	$.069 \\ (.024)$	.021 $(.006)$	.013 $(.005)$	.004 (.002)	$.002 \\ (.001)$			
8 products	.251 (.149)	.038 $(.020)$	.012 (.004)	$.008 \\ (.003)$	.006 (.002)	.002 (.0005)	.001 (.0003)	$.0005 \\ (.0002)$		
9 products	.595 (.226)	.202 (.086)	.049 (.016)	$.026 \\ (.008)$	.011 (.004)	.007 (.002)	.004 (.002)	.002 (.0008)	.0007 $(.0003)$	
10 products	.654 $(.277)$	.205 $(.103)$	.057 $(.024)$	$\begin{array}{c} .030 \\ (.013) \end{array}$	.023 (.012)	.011 (.005)	.003 (.001)	.002 (.0009)	.0009 $(.0003)$	.0003 $(.0001)$
$Varieties^a$	127	69	51	39	32	27	23	20	18	18

Table 82: Exports to Argentina by Exporter Scope and Product Rank

 $^{a}$ Average number of exporter products across rows.

*Source*: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing products and firms, except exporters with scope exceeding ten products.

*Note*: Exporter-product mean values in US\$ million fob. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level, ranked by decreasing export value from first to last column. Standard errors in brackets.

	$\log \#$		Log Exports/product						
OLS	Products	$\# \geq 1$	$\# \geq 2$	$\# \ge 3$	$\# \ge 10$	$\# \ge 25$			
			Wo	rld					
Log Total exports	.180 (.006)***	.820 (.006)***	.896 (.007)***	$.921$ $(.007)^{***}$	$.959$ $(.010)^{***}$	.966 (.017)***			
Const.	$1.520 \\ (.022)^{***}$	$-1.520$ $(.022)^{***}$	$-1.859$ $(.022)^{***}$	$-2.109$ $(.022)^{***}$	$-2.990$ $(.027)^{***}$	$-3.770$ $(.039)^{***}$			
Obs. $R^2$	4,099 .205	4,099 .842	2,438 .881	1,807 .901	$557 \\ .940$	$149 \\ .955$			
			Merc	osur					
Log Total exports	.195 (.010)***	$.805$ $(.010)^{***}$	.888 (.012)***	$.926$ $(.013)^{***}$	$.967$ $(.019)^{***}$	$1.007$ $(.034)^{***}$			
Const.	$1.476 \\ (.039)^{***}$	$-1.476$ $(.039)^{***}$	$-1.779$ $(.040)^{***}$	$-1.979$ $(.039)^{***}$	$-2.852$ $(.050)^{***}$	-3.622 (.076)***			
Obs. $R^2$	$1,642 \\ .199$	$1,642 \\ .810$	$903 \\ .858$	644 .894	$149 \\ .945$	$28 \\ .970$			
			OE	CD					
Log Total exports	$.105$ $(.006)^{***}$	$.895$ $(.006)^{***}$	.965 (.009)***	$1.003 \\ (.010)^{***}$	1.004 (.020)***	$.924$ $(.044)^{***}$			
Const.	$.967$ $(.026)^{***}$	967 (.026)***	$-1.403$ $(.029)^{***}$	$-1.721$ $(.032)^{***}$	$-2.829$ $(.066)^{***}$	-3.982 (.120)***			
Obs. $R^2$	$1862 \\ .129$	$1862 \\ .915$	$908 \\ .934$	$567 \\ .944$	$114 \\ .956$	$24 \\ .951$			
			non-O	ECD					
Log Total exports	$.199 \\ (.007)^{***}$	.801 (.007)***	.870 (.008)***	.890 (.008)***	$.939$ $(.012)^{***}$	$.958$ $(.020)^{***}$			
Const.	$1.602 \\ (.027)^{***}$	$-1.602$ $(.027)^{***}$	$-1.951$ $(.027)^{***}$	-2.201 (.026)***	$-3.021$ $(.031)^{***}$	$-3.757$ $(.044)^{***}$			
Obs. $R^2$	3,226 .215	3,226 .815	1,909 .860	1,420 .887	437 .931	$118 \\ .951$			

Table 83: Total Exports Decompositions at the Firm Level

Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Firm  $\omega$ 's total exports  $t_d(\omega)$  to destination market d can be decomposed into:  $G_d(\omega) z_d(\omega)$ , where  $G_d(\omega)$  is the exporters' average number of products shipped to destination d (the average scope of the exporter at the destination), and  $z_d(\omega)$  are the exporter's average sales per product in destination country d (the scale of the exporter's average product). Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	Firm data <sup><math>a</math></sup>	Firm-	destination	$data^b$	Firm-des	Firm-destination-product data $^c$			
Log Exp./prod.	OLS	OLS	Dest. FE	Firm & dest. FE	Firm & dest. FE	Prod. & dest. FE	Firm, prod. & dest. FE		
-	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		
			Wo	rld					
Log # Products	.135 $(.035)^{***}$	303 (.024)***	$111$ $(.025)^{***}$	.226 (.027)***	.840 (.028)***	$.338$ $(.030)^{***}$	.792 (.028)***		
Obs.	4,099	12,777	12,777	12,777	$21,\!142$	$21,\!142$	$21,\!142$		
$R^2$	.004	.012	.102	.124	.082	.294	.176		
Corr. Firm FE, $X'$	3			203	113		094		
			Merc	cosur					
Log # Products	$.024$ $(.051)^{***}$	072 (.046)***	082 (.045)***	.168 (.082)***	$1.104 \\ (.068)^{***}$	$.273$ $(.065)^{***}$	1.075 (.070)***		
Obs.	1,642	2,193	2,193	2,193	4,158	4,158	4,158		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	.0001	.001	.016	.241	.108	.146	.215		
Corr. Firm FE, $X'$	3			198	255		255		
			OE	CD					
Log # Products	$.226 \\ (.074)^{***}$	238 (.058)***	174 (.058)***	.440 (.071)***	.892 $(.068)^{***}$	$.213$ $(.063)^{***}$	$.752$ $(.063)^{***}$		
Obs.	1,862	4,046	4,046	4,046	$5,\!679$	$5,\!679$	$5,\!679$		
$R^2$	.005	.004	.044	.135	.070	.432	.312		
Corr. Firm FE, $X'$	3			280	217		210		
			non-C	ECD					
Log # Products	$.078 \\ (.036)^{***}$	260 (.026)***	091 (.026)***	.172 $(.031)^{***}$	.886 (.032)***	$.371$ $(.033)^{***}$	.835 (.032)***		
Obs.	3,226	8,725	8,725	8,725	$15,\!457$	$15,\!457$	$15,\!457$		
$R^2$	.001	.011	.111	.140	.094	.244	.171		
Corr. Firm FE, $X'_{\downarrow}$	3			185	118		091		

Table 84: 1	Product Sc	ale and	l Exporter	Scope	Correlations
-------------	------------	---------	------------	-------	--------------

<sup>a</sup>Aggregation: worldwide exports by firm.

<sup>b</sup>Aggregation: exports by firm and destination.

<sup>c</sup>Aggregation: exports by firm, destination, product group (Harmonized System 2-digit level).

*Source*: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

Note: Mercosur includes Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990; non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level; product-group fixed effects at the Harmonized-System 2-digit level. Constant not reported.  $R^2$  is within fit for firm FE regressions. Correlation coefficient between firm fixed effects and all other predictors (including destination and product fixed effects). Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	Firm	ı Eff. on Pr	oduct Scale	Firm E	Firm Eff. on Exporter Scope			
	from Log Exports/prod. regressions			from Log	from Log $\#$ Products regressions			
	Firm FEFirm & dest.only& scopeFE, & scope		Firm FE only	Firm FE & scale	Firm & dest. FE, & scale			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
Log ww. $\#$ Products	$.114$ $(.008)^{***}$	138 (.009)***	057 (.010)***	$.763$ $(.005)^{***}$	.757 (.006)***	$.736$ $(.006)^{***}$		
Log ww. Exp./prod.	$.917$ $(.005)^{***}$	$.914$ $(.006)^{***}$	.896 (.007)***	$.009 \\ (.003)^{**}$	038 (.004)***	017 (.004)***		
Log ww. $\#$ Dest.	912 (.013)***	$773$ $(.014)^{***}$	610 (.017)***	420 (.009)***	374 (.009)***	326 (.010)***		
No OECD exp.	066 (.027)**	$077$ $(.029)^{***}$	$.288$ $(.034)^{***}$	$.035 \\ (.017)^{**}$	$.038$ $(.018)^{**}$	118 (.020)***		
$\log OECD Exp.^{a}$	$.010 \\ (.005)^{**}$	$.005 \\ (.006)$	.005 (.007)	$.018$ $(.003)^{***}$	$.017$ $(.004)^{***}$	$.019$ $(.004)^{***}$		
<i>No</i> Mercosur exp.	$175$ $(.029)^{***}$	213 (.032)***	048 (.037)	$.116 \\ (.019)^{***}$	$.125$ $(.020)^{***}$	$.233$ $(.022)^{***}$		
$\log$ Mercosur Exp. <sup><i>a</i></sup>	$.019$ $(.006)^{***}$	.021 $(.007)^{***}$	$.030$ $(.008)^{***}$	004 (.004)	005 (.004)	008 (.005)*		
Obs. $R^2$	4,099 .947	4,099 .940	4,099 .919	4,099 .861	4,099 .860	4,099 .812		

Table 85: Correlates of Firm Effects on Product Scale and Exporter Scope

<sup>*a*</sup>Log of nonzero exports  $\times$  indicator.

Sources: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

Note: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions of firm fixed effects on firmlevel predictors, where firm fixed effects on product scale in column 1 are from a firm fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 2 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scope (log # products) and in column 3 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scope and destination fixed effects (see column 3 in Table 84). Firm fixed effects on exporter scope in column 4 are from a firm fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 5 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scale (log exports/product) and in column 6 from a firm fixed effects regression controlling for scale and destination fixed effects. Worldwide number of products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Domestic Brazilian locations counted at the municipality level. Workforce characteristics in shares of total employment. White-collar, blue-collar employment (insignificant at ten-percent level) and constant not reported. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	Destinati	on Eff. on I	Product Scale	Dest	Destination Eff. on Exp. Scop			
	from Log Exports/prod. regressions				from Log $\#$ Products regression			
	Dest. FE only	Dest. FE Dest. FE Firm & dest. only & scope FE, & scope			FE y	Dest. FE & scale	Firm & dest. FE, & scale	
·	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		(5)	(6)	
Mean Log Market size	032 (.075)	031 (.074)	.043 (.058)	.01 (.01	1 4)	.012 (.014)	003 (.013)	
Log Population	.190 (.108)*	.193 (.107)*	$.287$ $(.085)^{***}$	.01 (.02	71)	.018 (.020)	$.033 \\ (.018)^*$	
Log GDP per cap.	$.265 \ (.110)^{**}$	$.263 \\ (.109)^{**}$	$.291$ $(.086)^{***}$	03 (.02	83 2)	030 (.021)	.005 $(.019)$	
Log Distance	$.350 \\ (.389)$	.327 (.384)	462 (.304)	19 (.065)	)9 )***	$196$ $(.063)^{***}$	$155$ $(.057)^{***}$	
Common borders	283 (.806)	270 (.797)	282 (.630)	.13 (.15	9 7)	$.133 \\ (.152)$	$.255 \\ (.138)^*$	
Common language	246 (.486)	235 (.480)	.007 (.380)	.10 (.09	0 7)	.095 (.094)	$.099 \\ (.085)$	
Const.	-11.207 (3.812)***	-11.008 $(3.768)^{***}$	-8.124 (2.980)***	1.86 (.638)	38 )***	1.727 (.617)***	$1.278 \\ (.562)^{**}$	
Obs. $R^2$	94 .197	94 .194	94 .401	94 .38	4	$94 \\ .388$	94 .396	

Table 86: Correlates of Destination Effects on Product Scale and Exporter Scope

Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions of destination fixed effects on destination-level predictors, where destination fixed effects on product scale in column 1 are from a destination fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 2 from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scope (log # products, see column 2 in Table 84) and in column 3 from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scope and firm fixed effects (see column 3 in Table 84). Destination fixed effects on exporter scope in column 4 are from a destination fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 5 from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scale (log exports/product) and in column 6 from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scale and firm fixed effects. Mean log market size is average sectoral absorption over *ISIC rev. 2* industries at destination level. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

	Product Eff. on Product Scale from Log Exports/prod. regressions				oduc m Lo	t Eff. on E og # Produ	xporter Scope cts regressions
	Prod. FE only	Prod. FE & scope	Firm, dst. & prd. FE, & scope	Prod on	. FE ly	Prod. FE & scale	Firm, dst. & prd. FE, & scale
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4	)	(5)	(6)
Comparative adv.	.110 (.040)***	.111 (.040)***	.012 (.037)	0 (.00	04 06)	006 (.006)	.004 (.006)
Reference priced	.243 (.884)	.246 (.882)	-1.594 (.833)*	0 (.1:	06 33)	012 (.133)	.072 (.142)
Differentiated	-1.285 (.807)	-1.265 (.805)	$-1.858$ $(.761)^{**}$	0 (.12	$50 \\ 21)$	021 (.121)	007 (.130)
Log ww. # Dest.	-1.257 (.954)	-1.301 (.952)	883 (.899)	.11(.14	l 4 13)	$.143 \\ (.143)$	.212 (.153)
No OECD imp.	-18.145 (12.019)	-17.362 (11.993)	-6.657 (11.326)	-2.0 (1.8	)22 03)	-1.611 (1.806)	991 (1.929)
Log OECD Imp. <sup><math>a</math></sup>	.172 $(.242)$	.139 (.242)	.234 (.228)	.08 (.030	85 5)**	$.081$ $(.036)^{**}$	.108 (.039)***
No Mercosur imp.	$.933 \\ (2.339)$	.889 (2.334)	$1.180 \\ (2.204)$	.11(.33	$13 \\ 51)$	.092 (.351)	.132 (.376)
Log Mercos. Imp. <sup><math>a</math></sup>	$.172 \\ (.229)$	$.176 \\ (.229)$	042 (.216)	0 (.03	$   \begin{array}{c}     09 \\     34)   \end{array} $	013 $(.034)$	009 (.037)
Const.	2.037 (5.119)	2.153 (5.108)	-1.826 (4.824)	2 (.70	97 58)	344 (.769)	822 (.822)
Obs. $R^2$	94 .370	94 .375	94 .167	9 .2:	4 36	94 .254	94 .37

 Table 87: Correlates of Product Effects on Product Scale and Exporter Scope

7 CHILEAN MANUFACTURING FIRMS AND THEIR MANUFACTURING PRODUCTS version 14

<sup>*a*</sup>Log of nonzero imports  $\times$  indicator.

Source: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note:* Aggregation to export by firm, destination, product group (Harmonized System 2-digit level). Regressions of product fixed effects at the Harmonized-System 2-digit level on product-level predictors, where product fixed effects on product scale in column 1 are from a product fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 2 from a product fixed effects regression controlling for scope  $(\log \# \text{ products})$  and in column 3 from a product fixed effects regression controlling for scope as well as destination and firm fixed effects (see column 6 in Table 84). Product fixed effects on exporter scope in column 4 are from a product fixed effects regression with no additional controls, in column 5 from a product effects regression controlling for scale (log exports/product) and in column 6 from a product fixed effects regression controlling for scale as well as destination and firm fixed effects. Balassa (1965) comparative-advantage for Brazil from UN Comtrade trade data for 2000 at the ISIC Rev. 2 level: product h's comparative advantage is  $BADV_h \equiv [T_h^{\text{Brazil}} / \sum_k T_k^{\text{Brazil}}] / [T_h^{\text{World}} / \sum_k T_k^{\text{World}}]$ , where  $T_h$ are worldwide exports. Goods classification by degree of differentiation from Rauch (1999), conservative definition, revision 2 (2007): share of Harmonized-System 6-digit goods at the Harmonized-System 2-digit level; omitted benchmark category is homogeneous goods (traded on an organized exchange). Worldwide product-group imports exclude Brazil as importer and exporter. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

Log Exp./prod.	(1)	World (2)	(3)	Mercosur (4)	OECD $(5)$	$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{non-Oecd} \\ (6) \end{array}$
Log # Products	$.666$ $(.295)^{**}$	$.483$ $(.166)^{***}$	.113 (.184)	-1.181 (.603)*	.500 (.472)	283 (.206)
Squared Log $\#$ Products	429 (.579)	029 (.227)	051 (.226)	$1.322 \\ (.764)^*$	.019 $(.622)$	.343 $(.244)$
Cubic Log # Products	$.193 \\ (.384)$	086 $(.096)$	070 (.096)	648 (.329)**	181 (.287)	185 (.101)*
Quartic Log $\#$ Products	058 $(.102)$	.018 $(.012)$	.016 $(.012)$	$.091$ $(.043)^{**}$	.034 $(.039)$	.027 $(.013)^{**}$
Pentic Log $\#$ Products	.007 $(.009)$					
$Log # Prd. \times Log ww. # I$	Dest.		$.162$ $(.035)^{***}$	.272 (.117)**	.117 (.093)	$.133$ $(.041)^{***}$
Obs. $R^2$	12,777 .126	12,777 .126	12,777 .129	$2,193 \\ .255$	$4,046 \\ .143$	8,725 .143
Corr. Firm FE, $X'\beta$	191 2.054***	190 2.055***	085 2 522***	052 2 130***	178 2 756***	082 3 277***
	0.304	0.300	0.002	2.130	5.750	0.211

Table 88:	Conditional	Product	Scale a	and Exp	orter Scor	e Correlations
<b>100010 000</b>	C Oll GLI OL OLLOUI	1 10010100	NO COLLO	control manap	OF COT NOOD	0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0

Sources: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions controlling for firm and destination fixed effects (expanding regression (4) in Table 84). Worldwide number of products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

Log Sales	OLS	Dest FF	Dest. & Firm FE
Log Sales	$\frac{OLS}{(1)}$	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	$\frac{11111112}{(3)}$
Log # Products	.826 (.017)***	$.929$ $(.017)^{***}$	$1.177 \\ (.017)^{***}$
Log Product Rank	-2.239 (.017)***	-2.258 (.017)***	$-2.349$ $(.017)^{***}$
Obs. Panels	37,172	37,172	$\begin{array}{c} 37.172\\ 4,099 \end{array}$
$R^2 (R^2 \text{ within})^a$	.418	.450	.543

#### Table 89: Individual Product Sales Regressions

 ${}^{a}R^{2}$  is within fit for firm FE regressions in column 3.

Sources: Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Individual export sales by product, firm and destination. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Industry fixed effects at the CNAE two-digit level. Constant and destination fixed effects not reported. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.
8 Arkolakis and Muendler (2007): Manufacturers and Intermediaries with Manufacturing Products

1		•	/			
From source $s$		Bra Manufa	Chile Manf.	Brazil Intm.		
to destination $d$	USA	Argentina	Oecd	World	World	World
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
# of Firms $(M)$	3,083	4,590	5,041	10,215	4,099	2,627
# of Destinations $(N)$	1	1	23	170	140	132
# of HS-6 goods $(G)$	$2,\!144$	2,814	2,772	3,535	$3,\!199$	2,777
# of Observations	10,775	$21,\!623$	36,359	162,570	$37,\!183$	35,960
Destination share in Total exp.	.257	.144	.559	1	1	1
Firm shares in Total exports						
Single-prod. firms	.123	.086	.142	.090	.041	.086
Multi-prod. firms' top product	.662	.555	.625	.597	.715	.595
Multi-prod. firms' other prod.	.215	.359	.233	.313	.243	.319
Median Total exports $(T_d(m))$	.120	.068	.137	.089	.038	.041
Median Exporter scope $(G_d(m))$	1	2	2	2	2	2
Median Avg. prod. scale $(z_d(m))$	.068	.031	.070	.037	.014	.012
Mean Total exports $(\bar{t}_d)$	3.170	1.192	4.217	3.720	2.779	1.101
Mean Exporter scope $(\bar{G}_d)$	3.495	4.711	3.933	5.278	5.454	9.426
Mean Avg. prod. scale $(\bar{z}_d)$	.907	.253	1.072	.705	.510	.117

	Table 90	): Sam	ple Chara	acteristics	bv	Source	and	Destina	tion
--	----------	--------	-----------	-------------	----	--------	-----	---------	------

*Sources: SECEX* 2000 for Brazil, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products as well as commercial intermediaries and their manufactured products; Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007) for manufacturing firms.

Note: Aggregate regions (world, OECD) treated as single destinations, collapsing product shipments to different countries into single product shipment. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Exports in US\$ million fob. OECD includes all OECD members in 1990. The U.S. is Brazil's top export destination in 2000, Argentina second to top. Firms' mean product scale ( $z_d$  in US\$ million fob) is the scope-weighted arithmetic mean of exporters' average product scales.

Log # Products	Brazil				Chile		
estimator controls	OLS	OLS Dest eff.	Firm FE Dest. eff.	OLS	OLS Dest eff.	Firm FE Dest. eff.	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Log Local total-exp. percentile	.393 $(.006)$	.394 $(.006)$	5.11e-25 (9.00e-15)	.247 $(.011)$	.244 $(.011)$	-2.32e-26 (3.36e-15)	
Observations Firm panels	68,055	68,055	$68,\!055 \\ 10,\!209$	12,423	12,423	$12,423 \\ 4,091$	
$R^2$ (within for FE)	.054	.118	0	.040	.085	0	

Table 91: Log Exporter Scope and Local Total-Exports Percentile Correlations

Sources: Brazilian SECEX 2000 and Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.  $R^2$  is within fit for FE regressions in columns 3 and 6. Standard errors in parentheses.



*Source: SECEX* 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. *Note:* Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level.

Figure 55: Total Sales and Exporter Scope Distributions



*Source: SECEX* 2000 for Brazil, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products; Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007) for manufacturing firms.

Note: Left-most observations are all exporters; at the next percentile are exporter observations with shipments in the top 99 percentiles; up to the right-most observations with exporters whose shipments are in the top percentile. Aggregate regions include only destinations with more than 100 firms; destinations ranked by total exports and lumped into groups of ten destinations for which unweighted means over distributions are shown (20 OECD countries for Brazil, 49 non-OECD for Brazil, 70 worldwide for Brazil, 28 for Chile). Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Non-OECD includes all non-members in 1990; OECD includes all OECD members in 1990. Firms' mean product scale ( $\bar{z}_d$  in US\$ thousand fob) is the scope-weighted arithmetic mean of exporters' average product scales.

Figure 56: Scope, Average Scale and the Total Exports Distribution



*Source: SECEX* 2000, manufacturing firms and their manufactured products. *Note:* Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. World average from pooling destinations to which firms in a given exporter-scope group ship.

### Figure 57: Within-firm Sales Distribution

Table 92: Log-Linear l	Fits of Cumulative Scope	e and Average Scale Distribution	ns

From source $s$	Brazil				Chile		
to destination $d$	USA	Argentina	World	USA	Argentina	World	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Log # Products							
Log Percentile $(1 - Pr)$	479 (.002)	540 (.003)	417 (.006)	175 (.008)	273 (.008)	145 (.010)	
$R^2$	.998	.996	.402	.828	.917	.076	
Log exports/product							
Log Percentile $(1 - Pr)$	422 (.006)	357 (.002)	469 (.013)	733 (.009)	594 (.004)	752 (.027)	
$R^2$	.979	.996	.165	.985	.996	.223	
Implied scope elasticity							
of total entry cost $(\delta + \bar{\alpha})$	1.882	1.661	2.123	5.179	3.180	6.187	

Sources: Brazilian SECEX 2000 and Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products.

Note: Ordinary-least-squares regressions of firms' mean scope at given percentile or above and firms' mean product scale (the scope-weighted arithmetic mean of exporters' average product scales  $\bar{z}_d$  in US\$ thousand fob) at given percentile or above on log percentile  $\ln(1-Pr)$  and a constant, using one hundred percentile observations per destination (Figure ??). World includes only destinations with more than 100 source-country firms (70 countries for Brazil, 28 for Chile); destination observations weighted by total exports. Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Standard errors in parentheses.

$\log Exp./prd.$ Firms <sup>a</sup> Firm-destination data <sup>b</sup>			$ta^b$	Firm-destination-product data				
estimator controls	OLS	OLS	OLS Dest.	Ind. FE Dest.	Firm FE Dest.	Firm FE Dest.	OLS Dest., prd.	Firm FE Dest., prd.
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	1	Braziliar	n Produ	cers expo	orting Ma	nufactures		
Log # Prod.	.341	160	068	$.07\bar{2}$	.260	1.180	.336	.977
	(.022)	(.011)	(.011)	(.011)	(.013)	(.014)	(.014)	(.014)
Obs.	10,215	46,208	46,208	46,208	46,208	76,964	76,964	76,964
Panels				259	10,215	10,,215		10,215
$R^2$ (within)	.023	.004	.091	.074	.131	.133	.237	.229
Corr. coeff. Firr	n FE, Log :	# Prod.			234	309		279
Scope elastici	ty of tot	al entry	$\mathbf{cost}$ ( $\delta$ -	$+ \bar{\alpha})$	1.260			
		Chilean	Produc	ers expo	rting Mar	nufactures		
Log # Prod.	.135	303	111	1	.226	.840	.338	.792
	(.035)	(.024)	(.025)		(.027)	(.028)	(.030)	(.028)
Obs.	4,099	12,777	12,777		12,777	21,142	21,142	21,142
Panels					4,099	4,099		4,099
$R^2$ (within)	.004	.012	.102		.124	.082	.294	.176
Corr. coeff. Firr	n FE, Log :	# Prod.			235	218		182
Scope elastici	ty of tot	al entry	$\mathbf{cost}$ ( $\delta$ -	$+ \bar{\alpha})$	1.226			
E	Brazilian	Comme	ercial In	termedia	ries expo	rting Manu	factures	
Log # Prod.	109	419	301	184	.055	.845	.344	.757
	(.032)	(.024)	(.025)	(.025)	(.034)	(.024)	(.027)	(.025)
Obs.	$2,\!627$	6,265	6,265	6,265	6,265	14,781	14,781	14,781
Panels				70	$2,\!627$	2,627		2,627
$R^2$ (within)	.004	.045	.146	.097	.100	.121	.267	.196
Corr. coeff. Firm	n FE, Log :	# Prod.			250	256		244
Scope elasticity of total entry cost $(\delta + \bar{\alpha})$ 1.05					1.055			

### Table 93: Decomposition of Product Scale and Exporter Scope Correlations

<sup>*a*</sup>Aggreg.: Firm  $(\ln z_{\cdot\phi} = \ln(\sigma \,\bar{\gamma}\gamma_{\cdot} w_{\cdot}/\bar{\sigma}\bar{\alpha}) + (\delta + \bar{\alpha} - 1)\ln G_{\cdot\phi} + \epsilon_{\cdot\phi}).$ 

<sup>b</sup>Aggreg.: Firm and destination  $(\ln z_{d\phi} = \ln(\sigma \gamma_i \gamma_d w_d / \bar{\sigma} \bar{\alpha}) - \ln \mu_{\phi} + (\delta + \bar{\alpha} - 1) \ln G_{d\phi} + \epsilon_{d\phi}).$ <sup>c</sup>Aggreg.: Firm, dest. and prod. group  $(\ln z_{dh\phi} = \ln(\sigma \gamma_h \gamma_d w_d / \bar{\sigma} \bar{\alpha}) - \ln \mu_{\phi} + (\delta + \bar{\alpha} - 1) \ln G_{dh\phi} + \epsilon_{dh\phi}).$ 

Sources: Brazilian SECEX 2000 and Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms as well as commercial intermediaries shipping manufactured products.

Note: Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level; product-group fixed effects at the Harmonized-System 2-digit level. Industry fixed effects at the CNAE two-digit level for Brazil. Constant, destination fixed and product fixed effects not reported.  $R^2$  is within fit for FE regressions (columns 4, 5, 6 and 8). Correlation coefficient between firm fixed effects and log number of products. Standard errors in parentheses.

Scope elast. of product-entry cost  $(\bar{\alpha})$ 

Log Exp.	$\mathbf{F}$	irm-destination	-destination-product data <sup><math>a</math></sup>		
estimator controls	OLS	OLS Dest.	Ind. FE Dest.	Firm FE Dest.	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Brazilian Producer	s exporting	Manufacture	es		
Log # Products	1.168 (.007)	1.204 (.007)	1.319 (.007)	1.557 (.008)	
Log Product Rank	-2.508 (.007)	-2.525 (.007)	-2.574 (.007)	-2.624 (.008)	
Obs. Panels	162,570	162,570	$162,\!570$ 259	$162,570 \\ 10,215$	
$R^2$ (within)	.493	.538	.510	.582	
Scope elast. of total entry cost $(\delta + \bar{\alpha})$ Scope elast. of market-entry cost $(\delta)$ Scope elast. of product-entry cost $(\bar{\alpha})$				$1.557 \\067 \\ 1.624$	
Chilean Producers	exporting N	Manufactures	5		
Log # Products	.826 (.017)	.929 (.017)		1.177 (.017)	
Log Product Rank	-2.239 (.017)	-2.258 (.017)		-2.349 (.017)	
Obs. Panels	37,172	37,172		$37,172 \\ 4,099$	
$R^2$ (within)	.418	.450		.543	
Scope elast. of total entry cost $(\delta + \bar{\alpha})$ Scope elast. of market-entry cost $(\delta)$ Scope elast. of product-entry cost $(\bar{\alpha})$				$1.177 \\172 \\ 1.349$	
Brazilian Commercial Inter	mediaries ex	porting Mai	nufactures		
Log # Products	1.048 (.013)	1.047 (.013)	1.160 (.013)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.311 \\ (.016) \end{array}$	
Log Product Rank	-1.974 (.013)	-1.999 (.013)	-2.012 (.013)	-2.090 (.016)	
Obs. Panels	35,960	35,960	$35,\!960$ 70	$35,960 \\ 2,627$	
$R^2$ (within) Scope elast. of total entry cost $(\delta + \bar{\alpha})$ Scope elast. of market-entry cost $(\delta)$	.456	.513	.506	$.652 \\ 1.311 \\ .221$	

## Table 94: Individual Product Sales Regressions

<sup>*a*</sup>Agg.: Firm, dest. and prod.  $(\ln p_{d\phi g} x_{d\phi g} = \ln \sigma \gamma_i \gamma_d w_d / \overline{\sigma} - \ln \mu_{\phi} + (\delta + \overline{\alpha}) \ln G_{d\phi} - (1 + \overline{\alpha}) \ln g + \epsilon_{d\phi g}).$ 

Sources: Brazilian SECEX 2000 and Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms as well as commercial intermediaries shipping manufactured products.

*Note*: Products at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level. Industry fixed effects at the *CNAE* two-digit level for Brazil. Constant and destination fixed effects not reported.  $R^2$  is within fit for FE regressions (columns 3 and 4). Standard errors in parentheses.

1.090

	Destination Eff.	on Prod. Scale	Destination Eff	. on Exp. Scope
	from Log Exp./j	prod. regressions	from Log $\#$ Pro	ducts regressions
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Brazil	Chile	Brazil	Chile
	Firm & dest.	Firm & dest.	Firm & dest.	Firm & dest.
	FE, & scope	FE, & scope	FE, & scale	FE, & scale
Mean Log Market size	042	002	.043	003
	(.031)	(.010)	(.058)	(.013)
Log Population	$.348 \\ (.048)$	.032 (.014)	.287 (.085)	.033 $(.018)$
Log GDP per cap.	.287 $(.044)$	.028 $(.014)$	.291 (.086)	.005 $(.019)$
Log Distance	331	236	462	155
	(.138)	(.044)	(.304)	(.057)
Common borders	171	.227	282	.255
	(.276)	(.081)	(.630)	(.138)
Common language	078	.048	.007	.099
	(.300)	(.092)	(.380)	(.085)
Const.	-8.354 (1.302)	$1.907 \\ (.434)$	-8.124 (2.980)	1.278 (.562)
Obs. $R^2$	$\begin{array}{c} 106 \\ .56 \end{array}$	$102 \\ .574$	94 .401	94 .396

## Table 95: Correlates of Destination Effects on Product Scale and Exporter Scope

Sources: Brazilian SECEX 2000 and Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products, linked to *Cepii* distance data (Mayer and Zignago 2006) and *Unido* Industrial Statistics (UNIDO 2005).

*Note*: Aggregation to exports by firm and destination. Regressions of destination fixed effects on destination-level predictors, where destination fixed effects on product scale are from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scope and firm fixed effects (see column 3 in Table 93). Destination fixed effects on exporter scope are from a destination fixed effects regression controlling for scale and firm fixed effects regression controlling for scale are from a destination fixed effects. Mean log market size is average sectoral absorption over *ISIC rev. 2* industries at destination level. Standard errors in parentheses.

	Destination Eff.	on Prod. Scale	Destination Eff. on Exp. Scope		
	from Log Exp./I	prod. regressions	from Log $\#$ Pro	ducts regressions	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
	Brazil	Chile	Brazil	Chile	
	Firm, dest. & prd. FE, & scope	Firm, dest. & prd. FE, & scope	Firm, dest. & prd. FE, & scale	Firm, dest. & prd. FE, & scale	
Comparative adv.	.186 (.119)	.010 (.020)	.012 (.037)	.004 (.006)	
Reference priced	-2.964 (.881)	.062 (.151)	-1.594 (.833)	.072 (.142)	
Differentiated	-2.031 (.813)	.125 (.139)	-1.858 (.761)	007 (.130)	
Log ww. # Dest.	-1.765 (.856)	.253 $(.147)$	883 (.899)	.212 (.153)	
No OECD imp.	$21.525 \\ (47.204)$	3.034 (8.093)	-6.657 (11.326)	991 (1.929)	
Log OECD Imp. <sup><math>a</math></sup>	.544 (.254)	.012 $(.044)$	.234 (.228)	.108 (.039)	
No Mercosur imp.	-1.661 (2.090)	109 (.358)	$1.180 \\ (2.204)$	.132 (.376)	
Log Mercos. Imp. <sup><math>a</math></sup>	.083 (.213)	.013 (.037)	042 (.216)	009 (.037)	
Const.	$5.304 \\ (4.546)$	644 (.779)	-1.826 (4.824)	822 (.822)	
Obs.	91	91	94	94	
$R^2$	.202	.25	.167	.37	

Table 96: Correlates of Product Effects on Product Scale and Exporter Scope

<sup>*a*</sup>Log of nonzero imports  $\times$  indicator.

Sources: Brazilian SECEX 2000 and Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms and their manufactured products, linked to WTF (Feenstra et al. 2005) and Unido Industrial Statistics (UNIDO 2005).

Note: Aggregation to exports by firm, destination, product group (Harmonized System 2-digit level). Regressions of product fixed effects at the Harmonized-System 2-digit level on product-level predictors, where product fixed effects on product scale are from a product fixed effects regression controlling for scope as well as destination and firm fixed effects (see column 6 in Table 93). Product fixed effects on exporter scope are from a product fixed effects regression controlling for scale as well as destination and firm fixed effects. Balassa (1965) comparative-advantage for Brazil from UN Comtrade trade data for 2000 at the *ISIC Rev.* 2 level: product h's comparative advantage is  $BADV_h \equiv [T_h^{\text{Brazil}}] / [T_h^{\text{World}}] / \sum_k T_k^{\text{World}}]$ , where  $T_h$  are worldwide exports. Goods classification by degree of differentiation from Rauch (1999), conservative definition, revision 2 (2007): share of Harmonized-System 6-digit goods at the Harmonized-System 2-digit level; omitted benchmark category is homogeneous goods (traded on an organized exchange). Worldwide product-group imports exclude Brazil as importer and exporter. Standard errors in parentheses.

	Log	$\log \#$	Log #	Log Sales/
	Total Exports	Firms	Total Products	prod. & firm
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	U.S. Exp	orts 2000 (HS	10-digit level)	
Log GDP	$.98$ $(.04)^{***}$	$.71$ $(.04)^{***}$	.52 (.03)***	$25$ $(.04)^{***}$
Log Distance	$-1.36$ $(.17)^{***}$	$-1.14$ $(.16)^{***}$	$^{-1.06}_{(.15)^{***}}$	$.84$ $(.19)^{***}$
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Obs.} \\ R^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 175 \\ .82 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 175\\.74 \end{array}$	$175 \\ .64$	$175 \\ .25$
	Brazilian E	Exports 2000 (I	HS 6-digit level)	
Log GDP	$.98$ $(.05)^{***}$	$.57$ $(.04)^{***}$	$.60$ $(.04)^{***}$	$19$ $(.04)^{***}$
Log Distance	-2.01(.26)***	$-1.93$ $(.18)^{***}$	$-2.36$ $(.20)^{***}$	$2.28 \\ (.21)^{***}$
Obs.	175	175	175	175
$R^2$	.67	.63	.64	.42
	Chilean Ex	xports 2000 (H	S 6-digit level)	
Log GDP	$.86$ $(.08)^{***}$	.52 (.05)***	.55 (.05)***	21 (.05)***
Log Distance	$-1.02$ $(.41)^{**}$	$-1.21$ $(.22)^{***}$	$-1.57$ $(.26)^{***}$	$1.76 \\ (.26)^{***}$
Obs.	161	161	161	161
$R^2$	.40	.47	.45	.27

Table 97: Gravity and Exports Decomposition for U.S., Brazil and Chile 2000

*Sources*: Bernard et al. (2007) for U.S. 2000 manufacturing firms; Brazilian *SECEX* 2000 and Chilean customs data 2000 (Álvarez et al. 2007), manufacturing firms.

Note: Products at the Harmonized-System 10-digit level for the U.S.; at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level for Brazil; at the Harmonized-System 6-digit level for Chile. Total exports  $T_{sd}$  are decomposed into  $T_{sd} = M_{sd} G_{sd} \bar{z}_{sd}$ , where  $M_{sd}$  is the number of exporters in s with shipments to destination d,  $G_{sd} \equiv \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{sd}} G_d(\omega)$  is the total number of products exported from s to d, and  $\bar{z}_{sd} \equiv \bar{t}_{sd}/G_{sd}$  is the average value of exports per product per firm (Bernard et al. 2007). Results from country-level ordinary least squares regressions of the dependent variable noted at the top of each column on the covariates listed in the first column. Estimates for the constant suppressed. Standard errors in parentheses: \* significance at ten, \*\* five, \*\*\* one percent.

# **Appendix: Data Sources**

# A Exports and firm data for Brazil

**Exports data.** Our data are based on the universe of Brazilian customs declarations for merchandize exports. The Brazilian customs office *SECEX* (*Secretaria de Comércio Exterior*) collects and compiles the reports by plant, month and *NCM* product code (*Nomenclatura Comum do Mercosul*). We restrict our data to the year 2000, for which *SECEX* provides export values in current US\$, export quantities, and mass of shipment. These customs declarations are legally mandatory for merchandize exports. Exports of services are not reported to *SECEX*, but manufactures exports by non-manufacturing firms are reported.

*NCM* product codes are eight-digit numbers, of which the first six digits coincide with the first six digits in the Harmonized System. We aggregate the pristine eightdigit subproduct information from the monthly plant level to annual information by exporting firm at the six-digit Harmonized System level. This facilitates comparability to other Brazilian and international data sources (e.g. to export-country studies at the six-digit Harmonized System level such as Feenstra (1994) or Hummels and Klenow (2005), and to firm-level studies such as Eaton et al. (2004)). Gomes and Ellery Jr. (2007) document main statistics for a subsample of the *SECEX* data by exporter and destination;<sup>1</sup> they show that the data exhibit market access patterns broadly similar to the French exporter-destination data (Eaton et al. 2004).

The full data for the year 2000 include 15,907 firms with shipments of 4,357 goods at the six-digit Harmonized System level to 173 destinations. We remove all export records with zero value, which include shipments of commercial samples but also potential reporting errors. We retain 224,952 firm-destination-product observations. Our results on exporter scope are robust to removing zero-scale products from the product count.

**Firm data.** We obtain a record of all Brazilian firms, including only domestically operating firms, as well as the sector affiliation of Brazilian exporters, from *RAIS* (*Relação Anual de Informações Sociais* of the Brazilian labor ministry MTE). By Brazilian law, every private or public-sector employer must report workforce information to *RAIS* every year.<sup>2</sup> *RAIS* does not provide information on sales or factor uses other than

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Gomes and Ellery Jr. (2007) link the *SECEX* data to survey data for a sample of medium to large manufacturing firms in order to obtain domestic sales and productivity information. We use the universe of exporters instead and choose to control for firm-specific characteristics, such as productivity or the domestic Brazilian market share, with firm-fixed effects.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>*RAIS* primarily provides information to a federal wage supplement program (*Abono Salarial*), by which every worker with formal employment during the calendar year receives the equivalent of a monthly minimum wage. *RAIS* records are then shared across government agencies. An employer's failure to report complete workforce information can, in principle, result in fines proportional to the

labor so that we cannot obtain domestic sales or productivity information.

# **B** Exports data for Chile

The Chilean comparison data are courtesy of Álvarez et al. (2007) and ultimately derive from the universe of Chilean customs declarations for merchandize exports, similar to the Brazilian *SECEX* data. The Chilean customs authorities collect the reports by firm and Harmonized System eight-digit code. Services exports are not reported. We restrict our data to the year 2000, as for Brazil.

We aggregate the pristine eight-digit Harmonized System information to annual information by exporting firm at the six-digit Harmonized System level. This ensures comparability to our Brazilian data (and international sources, as mentioned above). The full data for the year 2000 include 5,558 firms (about a third of the Brazilian number) with shipments of 3,324 goods at the six-digit Harmonized System level (about three quarters of the Brazilian number) to 146 destinations (27 less than from Brazil). We remove all export records with zero value, which include shipments of commercial samples but also potential reporting errors. We retain 48,431 firm-destination-product observations (about a fifth of the Brazilian number).

The sector affiliation of Chilean exporters is reported at *ISIC revision 2* three-digit level. We use the *ISIC revision 2* for the export firm from the original data. Robustness checks using product-level information for sector affiliates from the Harmonized System six-digit level and using the product code of the top selling product for the firm do not yield substantively different results. For Chile, we only retain observations of manufacturing firms and their manufacturing products (comparable to Section 4 for Brazil).

# C Auxiliary data for Brazil and Chile

**Concordances.** We map destination information from Brazilian and Chilean country codes into the international ISO system. There are six-digit product codes in the 999000s in Brazil, for which there exist no corresponding Harmonized System entries. These codes are not closely related to traded merchandize and include entries such as on-board aircraft consumption of combustibles or merchandize for non-financial rental. We remove the codes from the data in Section 4. To compare our Brazilian

workforce size, but fines are rarely issued. In practice, workers and employers have strong incentives to ascertain complete RAIS records because payment of the annual public wage supplement is exclusively based on RAIS. The ministry of labor estimates that well above 90 percent of all formally employed workers in Brazil are covered in RAIS throughout the 1990s. Data collection is typically concluded by March following the year of observation. For a data description, see Menezes-Filho, Muendler and Ramey (2008).

data to sector-level product-market information by destination country, we map the Harmonized System six-digit codes to *ISIC revision* 2 at the two-digit level.<sup>3</sup> In the Chilean data, product codes are reported at the Harmonized System information.

**Trade flow data by industry and destination.** We link the firm-level product and destination information for Brazil and Chile to WTF (World Trade Flow) data for the year 2000 (Feenstra et al. 2005). We extract sector-level trade flow statistics in current US\$ for Brazil's and Chile's export destination markets. For Brazil, we map the SITC Rev. 2 four-digit sector information to the SITC Rev. 2 two-digit level, and then to the two-digit ISIC revision 2 level for combination with SECEX. For Chile, we map the ISIC revision 2 information at the three-digit level to the two-digit ISIC revision 2 level for combination.

For Brazil, he link between SECEX and WTF also provides us with an estimate of the coverage of Brazil's self-reported exports declarations. For manufactured merchandize sold directly by Brazilian manufacturers (Sections 2 and 4), SECEX covers 81.7 of WTF manufactures trade. Firm-based data selection of manufacturing activity in Section 4 is most closely comparable to Eaton et al. (2004), but we lose many observations because of missing sector information for the firms. With our focus on product-level explanations for international trade patterns, a product-related selection criterion for manufactures is a more natural one. Moreover, a product-level selection criterion for manufactured merchandize in Section 5 offers the most comprehensive coverage of manufacturing export activity: SECEX data for manufactured merchandize sold by firms from any sector, including commercial intermediaries (Section 5), covers 95.9 percent of WTF. The complete SECEX data across all sectors (Section 6) cover 88.7 percent of the reported WTF exports from Brazil: we find in SECEX US\$ 54.1 billion of the US\$ 61.0 billion exports in WTF. Conversely, only 1.3 percent of the observed SECEX product-destination observations have no corresponding WTF sector-destination entry. For firms from any sector with exports of any merchandize product, we have no SECEX information for only .45 percent of the WTF sector-destination observations.

**Output data by industry and destination.** We obtain manufacturing output by destination country and manufacturing industry for 2000 from the *Unido* Industrial Statistics Database at the two-digit *ISIC revision 2* level in current US\$ (UNIDO 2005). We map the Harmonized System six-digit codes to *ISIC revision 2* at the two-digit level for this purpose.

**Country and geographic data by destination.** National accounts information for host-country regressors comes from the World Bank's World Development Indicators and the IMF's International Financial Statistics (population, GDP, consumption

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Our novel concordance will become available at www.econ.ucsd.edu/muendler/brazil.

expenditure and household consumption expenditure in current US\$). We use CEPII bilateral geographic data;<sup>4</sup> the data include the mean distance between Brasília or Santiago de Chile on the one hand and foreign capital cities (km) on the other hand, common borders with Brazil or Chile, and a common language with Brazil (Portuguese-speaking Angola, China Macão SAR, Guinea Bissau, Mozambique and Portugal) or Chile (Spanish speaking countries).

**Goods data.** We calculate Balassa (1965) comparative-advantage measures for Brazilian and Chilean goods from UN Comtrade trade data for the year 2000 at the *ISIC rev.* 2 four-digit level. Good h's Balassa advantage is

$$BADV_h \equiv \frac{X_h^{\text{Brazil}} / \sum_k X_{k,t}^{\text{Brazil}}}{X_h^{\text{World}} / \sum_k X_{k,t}^{\text{World}}},$$

where  $X_h$  are exports. Note that this index measures revealed comparative advantage from international comparisons of exports data, and is blind to possible sources of advantage. Any explanation of comparative advantage is consistent with this measure. We first map the *ISIC rev.* 2 information to the Harmonized System six-digit level and then aggregate to the Harmonized System two-digit level by taking the unweighed average across six-digit goods in the Brazilian data.

We use the Rauch (1999) classification of goods by degree of differentiation under Rauch's conservative definition.<sup>5</sup> We first map Rauch's *SITC Rev.* 2 four-digit sector information to the Harmonized System six-digit level and then aggregate to the Harmonized System two-digit level by taking the unweighed average across six-digit goods in the Brazilian data.

We reuse the WTF data for the year 2000 (Feenstra et al. 2005) to obtain goodslevel measures of typical import destinations. For this purpose, we drop Brazilian or Chilean exports and imports from the WTF data and calculate for the rest of the world the number of destinations to which goods at the SITC Rev. 2 four-digit level (Brazil) or the ISIC rev. 2 three-digit level (Chile) ship, and what import values they exhibit worldwide, in the OECD and Mercosur (Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay). For Brazil, we map the SITC Rev. 2 four-digit sector information to the Harmonized System six-digit level and then aggregate to the Harmonized System two-digit level by taking the unweighed average across six-digit goods. For Chile, we just aggregate from the Harmonized System six-digit level to the Harmonized System two-digit level by taking the unweighed average across six-digit goods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>From www.cepii.fr/anglaisgraph/bdd/distances.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>We use Rauch's revision 2 from 2007 (available at www.econ.ucsd.edu/~jrauch/intltrad)

## References

- Álvarez, Roberto, Hasan Faruq, and Ricardo A. López, "New Products in Export Markets: Learning from Experience and Learning from Others," August 2007. Indiana University, Bloomington, unpublished manuscript.
- Arkolakis, Costas and Marc-Andreas Muendler, "The Extensive Margin of Exporting Goods: A Firm-level Analysis," December 2007. University of California, San Diego, unpublished manuscript (URL econ.ucsd.edu/muendler).
- Balassa, Bela, "Trade Liberalization and Revealed Comparative Advantage," Manchester School of Economic and Social Studies, May 1965, 33, 99–123.
- Bernard, Andrew B., J. Bradford Jensen, Stephen J. Redding, and Peter K. Schott, "Firms in International Trade," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Summer 2007, 21 (3), 105–30.
- Broda, Christian and David E. Weinstein, "Globalization and the Gains from Variety," Quarterly Journal of Economics, May 2006, 121 (2), 541–85.
- Eaton, Jonathan, Samuel Kortum, and Francis Kramarz, "Dissecting Trade: Firms, Industries, and Export Destinations," American Economic Review: Papers and Proceedings, May 2004, 94 (2), 150–54.
- Feenstra, Robert C., "New Product Varieties and the Measurement of International Prices," American Economic Review, March 1994, 84 (1), 157–77.
- \_, Robert E. Lipsey, Haiyan Deng, Alyson C. Ma, and Hengyong Mo, "World Trade Flows: 1962-2000," NBER Working Paper, January 2005, 11040.
- Gomes, Victor and Roberto Ellery Jr., "Perfil das Exportações, Produtividade e Tamanho das Firmas no Brasil," *Revista Brasileira de Economia*, Jan-Mar 2007, 61 (1), 33–48.
- Hummels, David and Peter J. Klenow, "The Variety and Quality of a Nation's Exports," American Economic Review, June 2005, 95 (3), 704–23.
- Krugman, Paul R., "Scale Economies, Product Differentiation, and the Pattern of Trade," American Economic Review, 1980, 70 (4), 950–59.
- Mayer, Thierry and Soledad Zignago, "Notes on CEPII's distances measures," May 2006. CEPII, unpublished manuscript.
- Menezes-Filho, Naércio Aquino, Marc-Andreas Muendler, and Garey Ramey, "The Structure of Worker Compensation in Brazil, With a Comparison to France and the United States," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, May 2008, 90 (2), 324346.

Rauch, James E., "Networks versus Markets in International Trade," Journal of International Economics, June 1999, 48 (1), 7–35.

UNIDO, "INDSTAT3 2005 ISIC Rev.2 User's Guide," unido.org/doc/3531 Vienna 2005.