# K.M. MCGARRY
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report

16S: ECON 19 SEM 5: FIAT LUX FRSHMN SEM
No. of responses = 9
Enrollment = 17
Response Rate = 52.94%

Survey Results

1. Student Demographic Information:

1.1) Year in School:

		n=9
Freshman		6
Sophomore		0
Junior		1
Senior		2
Other		0

1.2) Gender:

		n=9
Female		4
Male		5

2. General Questions:

2.1) Meeting one hour each week (or two hours biweekly) gave us adequate time to discuss the class material.

Disagree — Agree
n=9
av.=3.22
md=3
dev.=0.83

2.2) The course prompted me to think analytically.

Disagree — Agree
n=9
av.=3.44
md=4
dev.=0.73

2.3) The course topic held my interest over time.

Disagree — Agree
n=9
av.=3.44
md=4
dev.=0.73

2.4) The seminar format allowed me to get to know my instructor.

Disagree — Agree
n=9
av.=3
md=3
dev.=0.87

2.5) I felt comfortable participating in class discussions during the seminar.

Disagree — Agree
n=9
av.=3.44
md=4
dev.=0.73

2.6) The seminar helped me feel more comfortable participating in discussions in other classes.

Disagree — Agree
n=9
av.=3.22
md=3
dev.=0.83

2.7) I was exposed to new ideas from other students.

Disagree — Agree

n=9
av.=3.44
md=4
dev.=0.73

2.8) The seminar format helped me get to know other students.

Disagree — Agree

n=9
av.=3.44
md=4
dev.=0.88

2.9) I would recommend this seminar to other students.

Disagree — Agree

n=9
av.=3.56
md=4
dev.=0.73

3. Seminar Format:

3.1) Which category best describes your seminar format?

All lecture	0
Primarily lecture	6
Even balance between lecture and discussion	3
Primarily discussion	0
All discussion	0
Other	0

n=9

4. Overall Rating:

4.1) Your overall rating of the instructor(s).

Very Low — Very High

n=9
av.=4.33
md=5
dev.=1

4.2) Your overall rating of the seminar.

Very Low — Very High

n=9
av.=4.33
md=5
dev.=1

Profile

Subunit: **ECON**

Name of the instructor: **K.M. MCGARRY**

Name of the course: **16S: ECON 19 SEM 5: FIAT LUX FRSHMN SEM**
(Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

---

2. General Questions:

2.1) Meeting one hour each week (or two hours biweekly) gave us adequate time to discuss the class material.
Disagree — Agree   n=9   av.=3.22

2.2) The course prompted me to think analytically.
Disagree — Agree   n=9   av.=3.44

2.3) The course topic held my interest over time.
Disagree — Agree   n=9   av.=3.44

2.4) The seminar format allowed me to get to know my instructor.
Disagree — Agree   n=9   av.=3.00

2.5) I felt comfortable participating in class discussions during the seminar.
Disagree — Agree   n=9   av.=3.44

2.6) The seminar helped me feel more comfortable participating in discussions in other classes.
Disagree — Agree   n=9   av.=3.22

2.7) I was exposed to new ideas from other students.
Disagree — Agree   n=9   av.=3.44

2.8) The seminar format helped me get to know other students.
Disagree — Agree   n=9   av.=3.44

2.9) I would recommend this seminar to other students.
Disagree — Agree   n=9   av.=3.56

4. Overall Rating:

4.1) Your overall rating of the instructor(s).
Very Low — Very High   n=9   av.=4.33

4.2) Your overall rating of the seminar.
Very Low — Very High   n=9   av.=4.33