

Competitive Strategy: Week 9

Vertical Relations

Simon Board

Introduction

- Weeks 5–6 analysed selling to mass customer markets
- Selling to other firms is different
 1. Large customers have bargaining power.
 2. Customers compete with each other.
- We suppose the value chain consists of three levels:
 - Upstream firms
 - Downstream firms
 - Final customers

Double Marginalisation

- Model
 - Upstream firm, U . Cost 0, charges p^U per unit.
 - Downstream firm, D . Cost p^U , charges p^D .
 - Customers demand $q(p) = a - p^D$.

- Profit of downstream firm is

$$\pi^D = (p^D - p^U)(a - p^D)$$

- Differentiating, optimal price is $p^D = (a + p^U)/2$.
- Optimal quantity is $q^D = (a - p^U)/2$.
- Hence U faces demand curve $q = (a - p^U)/2$. U 's profit,

$$\pi^U = p^U(a - p^U)/2$$

- Differentiating, at optimum, $p^U = a/2$ and $q^U = a/4$.

Double Marginalisation cont.

- Summary
 - Prices: $p^U = a/2$ and $p^D = 3a/4$.
 - Quantity sold: $q^U = q^D = a/4$.
- What if U and D vertically integrated?

- Charge price p^I . Joint profit,

$$\pi = p^I(a - p^I)$$

- Differentiating, at optimum, $p^I = a/2$ and $q^I = a/2$.
- Double marginalisation problem:
 - When one firm raises price, they exert negative externality on other firm.
 - Profit less under vertical separation than vertical integration.

Double Marginalisation cont.

- Suppose U uses two-part tariff

$$p^U = F + x^U q$$

- Firms can produce same quantity as when integrated.
 - Set x^U equal to U 's MC (zero in this case). D 's profits:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^D &= (p^D - x^U)(a - p^D) - F \\ &= p^D(a - p^D) - F\end{aligned}$$

Hence D chooses $p^D = p^I$ and $q^D = q^I$.

- How choose F ?
 - $F = 0$ then D gets all profit. $F = \pi^I$ then U gets all profit.
 - Depends on bargaining power.
- Analogy: First degree price discrimination.

Double Marginalisation cont.

- Maximum resale price
 - U names maximum price, p^M , that D can charge
- Firms can produce same quantity as when integrated.
 - U sets $p^M = a/2$, so D sells $a/2$.
 - U sets p^U equal to p^M minus D 's MC (zero in this case).
- Idea: U chooses upstream and downstream price.
 - Internalise externality.
 - Just make sure D gets positive profits.
- So there are contractual solutions to double marginalisation
 - But many supply chains still suffer.
 - For example, we assumed U knows D 's costs.

Downstream Competition

- Model
 - Upstream firm, U . Cost 0, charges p^U per unit.
 - Two downstream firm, D_1 and D_2 . Cost p^U , charge p^D .
 - Customers demand $q(p) = a - p^D$.
- Suppose D_1 and D_2 Bertrand competitors.
 - Equilibrium prices: $p^D = p^U$.
 - Hence U should set $p^U = a/2$.
- Double marginalisation is less of a problem when there is more downstream competition.

Investment Externalities

- Suppose two downstream firms D_1 and D_2 .
- D_1 can invest in product to increase consumers' values.
 - Advertising
 - Free samples
 - Expertise
- Problem: D_2 free-rides on investments and undercuts D_1 .
- Solutions
 - Resale price maintenance (minimum resale price), e.g. Books in UK. But RPM is illegal in the US.
 - Exclusive territories, e.g. Cars.
 - U pays D for investment, e.g. slotting allowances.