

Competitive Strategy: Week 2

Sources of Competitive Advantage

Simon Board

Added Value of a Monopolist

- Recall from last week
 - Cooperation: Maximise size of pie.
 - Competition: Maximise your share of the pie.
- Without monopolist there is no pie.
 - But monopolist is not guaranteed everything.
 - Pie is shared with complimentors, buyers and suppliers.

Exercising Market Power

- Econ 101: Monopoly holds back supply to increase profits.
- The Card Game.
 - I have 26 black cards.
 - You have 26 red cards.
 - \$100 for pair
- How is \$2600 split?
- What if I destroy 3 cards. How is \$2300 split?
- Lesson: Be on the short side of the market.

Case Study: De Beers

- Why are diamonds so expensive?
- Hold back supply.
- Only 150 merchants invited to buy diamonds at each “sight”.
- Advertise heavily. Invented engagement ring.
- “Diamond is Forever” discourages resale.

Case Study: Nintendo

- Nintendo invented NES in 1983.
- Cheap hardware
 - 8-bit processor dated to the 1970s.
- Limited power of software firms
 - Limited to 5 titles a year. Exclusivity condition.
 - Nintendo charge markup.
 - Virtuous circle: Popular \rightarrow software \uparrow \rightarrow popularity \uparrow \rightarrow
- Limited power of buyers
 - In 1988 retailers requested 110m units. Supplied 33m units.
- Nintendo gets very large slice of pie.
- Danger: limiting supply reduces the pie, invites entry and creates ill will.

Monopoly and Quality Choice

- Choose quality to maximise value of *marginal* consumer.
 - Customer type is t . Let $t \sim F(\cdot)$.
 - Customer t has valuation qt for quality q .
 - Firm chooses (p, q) to maximise profits. Letting t^* be marginal type, firm equivalently chooses (t^*, q) to maximise

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(t^*, q) &= (p - c(q))(1 - F(t^*)) \\ &= (qt^* - c(q))(1 - F(t^*))\end{aligned}$$

- First order conditions for (t^*, q) :

$$t^* - \frac{1 - F(t^*)}{f(t^*)} = \frac{c(q)}{q} \quad \text{and} \quad t^* = c'(q)$$

- Assumes firm only sells one type of good.

Classification of Differentiation

- Consider two products: A and B
- Vertical differentiation
 - If $p_A = p_B$ then everyone prefers A to B.
 - Both can coexist if $p_A > p_B$.
 - Audi A6 vs. VW Jetta.
- Horizontal differentiation
 - If $p_A = p_B$ then some prefer A and some B.
 - Subaru Forrester vs. VW Jetta.

Porter on Vertical Differentiation

- Generic Strategies
- Cost strategy (Aiwa)
 - Locate at mass market position.
 - Pro: Economies of scale. Ability to survive price war.
 - Con: Obsolescence, low margins.
- Value Strategy (Bang & Olufsen)
 - Produce high quality and please upper end of customers
- Avoid being “Stuck in the Middle”
 - HP and Compaq in PCs.
 - Intuition: Value Added lowest when in the middle.

Classification of Opponents' Reactions

- With competition firm positioning has direct and indirect effects.
- Direct effect: How does change affect firm's profits, *ceteris paribus*.
- Indirect effect: How does your change affect your opponent's strategy?
 - Say a strategy is “aggressive” if it lowers opponents profits.
 - Strategic compliments: By becoming more aggressive, opponent becomes more aggressive. e.g. Bertrand competition.
 - Strategic substitutes: By becoming more aggressive, opponent becomes less aggressive. e.g. Cournot competition.

Case Study: TWA

- In Jan 1993 was in chapter 11
 - TWA was bottom of consumer ratings.
 - Passengers abandoning airline (and lots of empty seats).
 - Excess capacity in industry.
- TWA removed 10–40 seats from each plane to increase legroom.
 - Case of vertical differentiation.
 - Customer rating increased to the top.
 - By the end of 1993, average revenue per seat up 30%
- How did competitors react?
 - TWA increased its price as demand rose.
 - TWA also lowered its capacity.
 - This prompted other airline to raise their prices.

Competition and Vertical Differentiation

- Suppose firm increases its quality
 - Goes from middle-market strategy to value strategy
- Direct Effect
 - Lose many customers in middle of the market.
 - Gain high value customers at the top.
- Increase aggressiveness towards other value firms
 - Opponents may be aggressive lower price (e.g. Epson).
 - Opponents may back off and increase quality (e.g. Ford).
- Decrease aggressiveness towards lower end of the market.
 - Opponents likely to increase prices in the middle of the market.
 - May encourage new entry in the middle.

Patenting Strategy

- Vertical differentiation often determined by patents.
- Patents vs. Trade Secrets (Merck vs. Coca Cola)
 - Patents provide legal protection
 - But information becomes public
- Protective patents
 - Patent all substitutes (inc. inferior technology)
- Defensive patents
 - Patent holes in competitors process.
- Licensing patents
 - Increase the pie but lose market share.
 - Extract through licence payment? Bargaining.

Horizontal Differentiation

- Hotelling's Model
 - Consumers located uniformly on line $[0, 1]$.
 - Consumers have transport cost cd , where d is distance.
 - Firms have zero costs.
- Minimal differentiation: Both firms located at $1/2$.
 - Bertrand competition: both set $p = 0$. Zero profits.
- Maximal differentiation: Firms located at 0 and 1.
 - Given prices (p_0, p_1) demand is given by
$$q_0 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_1 - p_0}{2c} \quad \text{and} \quad q_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_0 - p_1}{2c}$$
 - Profit maximisation implies $p_0 = p_1 = c$ and $\Pi_0 = \Pi_1 = c/2$.
- Intuition: Profit is determined by added value.

Minimal of Maximal Differentiation?

- Both firms make larger profits under maximal diff.
 - But there is individual incentive to move into the middle.
 - Expect firm might move inwards little, but not to middle.
- Other reasons to clusters
 - Be where the demand is.
 - Keep costs down.
 - Attract customers (e.g. clothing stores in Yorkville).
 - Help detect price cuts by competitors.
 - No price competition (e.g. political parties, radio shows).

Entry in Hotelling

- Suppose 2 firms are located at $(a, 1 - a)$.
 - Let $d = 1 - 2a$ be the distance between the firms.
 - Equilibrium prices will now be $p = cd$.
- Now new entrant enters at $1/2$.
 - Prices are now $p = \frac{1}{2}cd$.
 - Profit of entrant is $\frac{1}{4}cd^2$.
 - Let F be fixed costs.
 - Entry profitable if $d \geq 2\sqrt{F/c}$
- Suppose first two shops were owned by one firm. Then block entry by reducing d .
- Example: Cereal market.

Switching Costs and Loyalty

- What is cost of switching from between you and competitor?
 - High switching costs soften price competition.
 - However lead to intense competition over unaligned customers.
 - Example: Cheap bank accounts for students.
 - Example: Frequent flyer schemes.
- Creating loyalty:
 - Give the best deals to your loyal customers.
 - Say thank you.
 - Allow your competitor to have loyal customers.

Networks

- A Network Good has a higher value the more people that use it.
- Exclusive network is analogous to large differentiation.
- Should you open the network?
 - Pro: Increases the pie. Virtuous circle as more compliments for bigger network.
 - Con: Makes entry easier and lowers prices.
 - Pro: Low prices make initial investment more likely.
- Example: Intel formed AMD as competitor by licensing 8086.
- Example: MS reduces performance of competing software.

Many Characteristics: Hedonic Pricing

- Product's value is sum of attributes
- Example: House prices.
 - Location, Number of bedrooms, Swimming pool.
- Example: Dell Computers
 - Processor, Memory, Monitor.
- What do prices reflect?
 - In perfectly competitive industry, prices reflect costs.
 - In focus group, prices reflect valuations.
 - In oligopolistic industry, prices also reflect market power.
 - Lesson: Be careful how you interpret regression.

Hedonic Price Regression

- Regression on laptop prices:

$$P = 1375 + 212 \times \text{Sony} + 127 \times \text{1GB} + 378 \times \text{2GB}$$

- Statistical issues
 - Omitted variables. Is Sony valuable because measure of quality is missing?
 - Interaction effects. Are characteristics compliments? Is Sony better at making high powered machines?
 - Functional form. Run regression in levels or percentages?

Assignment

- Read “Economics Focus: Profiting from Obscurity”, The Economist, 7th May 2005.
- According to the long tail theory, how does Netflix differ from Blockbuster?
- What type of differentiation is this?
- What kind of customers will this affect?
- In the longer term, how will this alter consumers’ purchasing behaviour and tastes?