

# Competitive Strategy: Week 10

## Vertical Relations

Simon Board

### Introduction

- Selling to other firms is different from mass consumer markets
  1. Large customers have bargaining power.
  2. Customers compete with each other.
- We suppose the value chain consists of three levels:
  - Upstream firms
  - Downstream firms
  - Final customers

## Double Marginalisation

- Model
  - Upstream firm,  $U$ . Cost 0, charges  $p^U$  per unit.
  - Downstream firm,  $D$ . Cost  $p^U$ , charges  $p^D$ .
  - Customers demand  $q(p) = a - p^D$ .

- Profit of downstream firm is

$$\pi^D = (p^D - p^U)(a - p^D)$$

- Differentiating, optimal price is  $p^D = (a + p^U)/2$ .
- Optimal quantity is  $q^D = (a - p^U)/2$ .
- Hence  $U$  faces demand curve  $q = (a - p^U)/2$ .  $U$ 's profit,

$$\pi^U = p^U(a - p^U)/2$$

- Differentiating, at optimum,  $p^U = a/2$  and  $q^U = a/4$ .

## Double Marginalisation cont.

- Summary
  - Prices:  $p^U = a/2$  and  $p^D = 3a/4$ .
  - Quantity sold:  $q^U = q^D = a/4$ .
- What if  $U$  and  $D$  vertically integrated?

- Charge price  $p^I$ . Joint profit,

$$\pi = p^I(a - p^I)$$

- Differentiating, at optimum,  $p^I = a/2$  and  $q^I = a/2$ .
- Double marginalisation problem:
  - When one firm raises price, they exert negative externality on other firm.
  - Profit less under vertical separation than vertical integration.

## Case Study: Porsche

- In 1984 Porsches sold through VW-Audi dealership
  - Dealers pay low price for car: less than “invoice”
  - 90% sales sold close to suggested retail price.
  - Dealers hold inventory and contribute to national advertising.
  - Setup due to Alfred Sloan: dealerships build loyalty.
- Porsche’s suggested scheme:
  - Dealers book orders. Get 8% commission.
  - PorscheUSA sets prices and holds inventory.
- Huge resistance from dealers (who made 18% margins before).
  - Dealers and VW filed legal suits using franchise laws.
  - Porsche backed down although defended legal position.

## Double Marginalisation: Two-Part Tariff

- Suppose  $U$  uses two-part tariff

$$p^U = F + x^U q$$

- Firms can produce same quantity as when integrated.
  - Set  $x^U$  equal to  $U$ ’s MC (zero in this case).  $D$ ’s profits:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^D &= (p^D - x^U)(a - p^D) - F \\ &= p^D(a - p^D) - F\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $D$  chooses  $p^D = p^I$  and  $q^D = q^I$ .

- How choose  $F$ ?
  - $F = 0$  then  $D$  gets all profit.  $F = \pi^I$  then  $U$  gets all profit.
  - Depends on bargaining power.
- Analogy: First degree price discrimination.

## Double Marginalisation: RPM

- Maximum resale price
  - $U$  names maximum price,  $p^M$ , that  $D$  can charge
- Firms can produce same quantity as when integrated.
  - $U$  sets  $p^M = a/2$ , so  $D$  sells  $a/2$ .
  - $U$  sets  $p^U$  equal to  $p^M$  minus  $D$ 's MC (zero in this case).
- Idea:  $U$  chooses upstream and downstream price.
  - Internalise externality.
  - Just make sure  $D$  gets positive profits.
- So there are contractual solutions to double marginalisation
  - But many supply chains still suffer.
  - For example, we assumed  $U$  knows  $D$ 's costs.

## Two-part Tariffs with Downstream Competition

- Model
  - One upstream firm  $U$  with cost 0.
  - Two downstream firms  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  have cost 0.
  - Two-part tariff:  $U$  sells  $q_i$  to  $D_i$  for fee  $t_i$ .
  - $D_1$  and  $D_2$  Cournot competitors. Demand  $p(q) = 1 - q$ .
- Contracts publicly observable.
  - $U$  chooses  $(q_1, t_1, q_2, t_2)$  to maximise
$$\pi_U = t_1 + t_2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad (1 - q_1 - q_2)q_i - t_i \geq 0 \quad i \in \{1, 2\}$$
  - Thus  $U$  chooses  $(q_1, q_2)$  to maximise
$$(1 - q_1 - q_2)q_1 + (1 - q_1 - q_2)q_2$$
  - Solution:  $q_1^* + q_2^* = 1/2$  That is,  $U$  provides monopoly qty.

## Two-part Tariffs with Downstream Competition

- Contracts privately observable.
  - Problem:  $U$  has incentive to supply too much to downstream firms. Problem of secret price cuts.
  - $D_1$  anticipates  $U$  has contract  $(\hat{q}_2, \hat{t}_2)$  with  $D_2$ .
- $U$  chooses  $(q_1, t_1, q_2, t_2)$  to maximise
$$\pi_U = t_1 + t_2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad (1 - q_1 - \hat{q}_2)q_1 - t_1 \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - \hat{q}_1 - q_2)q_2 - t_2 \geq 0$$
- Substituting,  $U$  chooses  $(q_1, q_2)$  to maximise
$$(1 - q_1 - \hat{q}_2)q_1 + (1 - \hat{q}_1 - q_2)q_2$$
- Solution:  $q_1^* = (1 - \hat{q}_2)/2$  and  $q_2^* = (1 - \hat{q}_1)/2$ .
  - In equilibrium expectations correct:  $\hat{q}_1 = q_1^*$  and  $\hat{q}_2 = q_2^*$ .
  - Hence  $q_1^* = q_2^* = 1/3$ . That is,  $U$  provides Cournot qty.

## Investment Externalities

- Suppose two downstream firms  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ .
- $D_1$  can invest in product to increase consumers' values.
  - Advertising
  - Free samples
  - Expertise
- Problem:  $D_2$  free-rides on investments and undercuts  $D_1$ .
- Solutions
  - Resale price maintenance (minimum resale price), e.g. Books in UK. But RPM is illegal in the US.
  - Exclusive territories, e.g. Cars.
  - $U$  pays  $D$  for investment, e.g. supermarket shelves.

## Assignment

- Read “Face Value: The Man with Two Daggers”, The Economist, August 27th, 2005.
- What is the upstream business of BenQ?
- What is BenQ’s big strategy?
- How did Motorola react to this move?
- How is the strategy working out so far?
- What do you think will happen over the next ten years?