# Competitive Strategy: Week 2 Sources of Competitive Advantage 

Simon Board

## Added Value of a Monopolist

- Recall from last week
- Cooperation: Maximise size of pie.
- Competition: Maximise your share of the pie.
- Without monopolist there is no pie.
- But monopolist is not guaranteed everything.
- Pie is shared with complimentors, buyers and suppliers.


## Exercising Market Power

- Econ 101: Monopoly holds back supply to increase profits.
- The Card Game.
- I have 26 black cards.
- You have 26 red cards.
- \$100 for pair
- How is $\$ 2600$ split?
- What if I destroy 3 cards. How is $\$ 2300$ split?
- Lesson: Be on the short side of the market.


## Case Study: De Beers

- Why are diamond so expensive?
- Hold back supply.
- Only 150 merchants invited to buy diamonds at each "sight".
- Advertise heavily. Invented engagement ring.
- "Diamond is Forever" discourages resale.


## Case Study: Nintendo

- Nintendo invented NES in 1983.
- Cheap hardware
- 8-bit processor dated to the 1970s.
- Limited power of software firms
- Limited to 5 titles a year. Exclusivity condition.
- Nintendo charge markup.
- Virtuous circle: Popular $\rightarrow$ software $\uparrow \rightarrow$ popularity $\uparrow \rightarrow$
- Limited power of buyers
- In 1988 retailers requested 110m units. Supplied 33m units.
- Nintendo gets very large slice of pie.
- Danger: limiting supply reduces the pie, invites entry and creates ill will.


## Monopoly and Quality Choice

- Choose quality to maximise value of marginal consumer.
- Customer type is $t$. Let $t \sim F(\cdot)$.
- Customer $t$ has valuation $q t$ for quality $q$.
- Firm chooses $(p, q)$ to maximise profits. Letting $t^{*}$ be marginal type, firm equivalently chooses $\left(t^{*}, q\right)$ to maximise

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi\left(t^{*}, q\right) & =(p-c(q))\left(1-F\left(t^{*}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(q t^{*}-c(q)\right)\left(1-F\left(t^{*}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- First order conditions for $\left(t^{*}, q\right)$ :

$$
t^{*}-\frac{1-F\left(t^{*}\right)}{f\left(t^{*}\right)}=\frac{c(q)}{q} \quad \text { and } \quad t^{*}=c^{\prime}(q)
$$

- Assumes firm only sells one type of good.


## Classification of Differentiation

- Consider two products: A and B
- Vertical differentiation
- If $p_{A}=p_{B}$ then everyone prefers A to B .
- Both can coexist if $p_{A}>p_{B}$.
- Audi A6 vs. VW Jetta.
- Horizontal differentiation
- If $p_{A}=p_{B}$ then some prefer A and some B.
- Subaru Forrester vs. VW Jetta.


## Porter on Vertical Differentiation

- Generic Strategies
- Cost strategy (Aiwa)
- Locate at mass market position.
- Pro: Economies of scale. Ability to survive price war.
- Con: Obsolescence, low margins.
- Value Strategy (Bang \& Olufsen)
- Produce high quality and please upper end of customers
- Avoid being "Stuck in the Middle"
- HP and Compaq in PCs.
- Intuition: Value Added lowest when in the middle.


## Classification of Opponents' Reactions

- With competition firm positioning has direct and indirect effects.
- Direct effect: How does change affect firm's profits, ceteris paribus.
- Indirect effect: How does your change affect your opponent's strategy?
- Say a strategy is "aggressive" if it lower's opponents profits.
- Strategic compliments: By becoming more aggressive, opponent becomes more aggressive. e.g. Bertrand competition.
- Strategic substitutes: By becoming more aggressive, opponent becomes less aggressive. e.g. Cournot competition.
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## Case Study: TWA

- In Jan 1993 was in chapter 11
- TWA was bottom of consumer ratings.
- Passengers abandoning airline (and lots of empty seats).
- Excess capacity in industry.
- TWA removed 10-40 seats from each plane to increase legroom.
- Case of vertical differentiation.
- Customer rating increased to the top.
- By the end of 1993, average revenue per seat up $30 \%$
- How did competitors react?
- TWA increased its price as demand rose.
- TWA also lowered its capacity.
- This prompted other airlines to raise their prices.


## Competition and Vertical Differentiation

- Suppose firm increases it quality
- Goes from middle-market strategy to value strategy
- Direct Effect
- Lose many customers in middle of the market.
- Gain high value customers at the top.
- Increase aggressiveness towards other value firms
- Opponents may be aggressive lower price (e.g. Epson).
- Opponents may back off and increase quality (e.g. Ford).
- Decrease aggressiveness towards lower end of the market.
- Opponents likely to increase prices in the middle of the market.
- May encourage new entry in the middle.


## Horizontal Differentiation

- Hotelling's Model
- Consumers located uniformly on line $[0,1]$.
- Consumers have transport cost $c d$, where $d$ is distance.
- Firms have zero costs.
- Minimal differentiation: Both firms located at $1 / 2$.
- Bertrand competition: both set $p=0$. Zero profits.
- Maximal differentiation: Firms located at 0 and 1.
- Given prices $\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right)$ demand is given by

$$
q_{0}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p_{1}-p_{0}}{2 c} \quad \text { and } \quad q_{1}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p_{0}-p_{1}}{2 c}
$$

- Profit maximisation implies $p_{0}=p_{1}=c$ and $\Pi_{0}=\Pi_{1}=c / 2$.
- Intuition: Profit is determined by added value.


## Minimal or Maximal Differentiation?

- Both firms make larger profits under maximal diff.
- But there is individual incentive to move into the middle.
- Expect firm might move inwards little, but not to middle.
- Other reasons to cluster
- Be where the demand is.
- Keep costs down.
- Attract customers (e.g. clothing stores in Yorkville).
- Help detect price cuts by competitors.
- No price competition (e.g. political parties, radio shows).


## Entry in Hotelling

- Suppose 2 firms are located at $(a, 1-a)$.
- Let $d=1-2 a$ be the distance between the firms.
- Equilibrium prices will now by $p=c d$.
- Now new entrant enters at $1 / 2$.
- Prices are now $p=\frac{1}{2} c d$.
- Profit of entrant is $\frac{1}{4} c d^{2}$.
- Let $F$ be fixed costs.
- Entry profitable if $d \geq 2 \sqrt{F / c}$
- Suppose first two shops were owned by one firm. Then block entry by reducing $d$.
- Example: Cereal market.


## Switching Costs and Loyalty

- What is cost of switching from between you and competitor?
- High switching costs soften price competition.
- However lead to intense competition over unaligned customers.
- Example: Cheap bank accounts for students.
- Example: Frequent flyer schemes.
- Creating loyalty:
- Give the best deals to your loyal customers.
- Say thank you.
- Allow your competitor to have loyal customers.


## Networks

- A Network Good has a higher value the more people that use it.
- Exclusive network is analogous to large differentiation.
- Should you open the network?
- Pro: Increases the pie. Virtuous circle as more compliments for bigger network.
- Con: Makes entry easier and lowers prices.
- Pro: Low prices make initial investment more likely.
- Example: Intel formed AMD as competitor by licensing 8086.
- Example: MS reduces performance of competing software.


## Assignment

- Read "Economics Focus: Profiting from Obscurity", The Economist, 7th May 2005.
- According to the long tail theory, how does Netflix differ from Blockbuster?
- What type of differentiation is this?
- What kind of customers will this affect?
- In the longer term, how will this alter consumers' purchasing behaviour and tastes?

