Competitive Strategy: Week 7

Entry

Simon Board

Eco380, Competitive Strategy

Entry Barriers

- Joe Bain's definition of entry barrier
 - Anything that allows incumbant firms to earn supranormal profits without threat of entry.
- Bain suggested some barriers:
 - Economies of scale (e.g. fixed costs).
 - Absolute cost advantages.
 - Product differentiation.
 - Capital requirements.

Entry and Cournot Competition

- Costs c(q) = F. Demand Q(P) = 1 P.
- \bullet In Cournot equilibrium with n firms, profit is

$$\Pi(n) = \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^2 - F$$

- Assuming n-1 firms in market, new firm enters if $\Pi(n) \geq 0$.
 - Entry is harder when F is high.
- Equilibrium number of firms

$$n^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F}} - 1$$

Eco380, Competitive Strategy

3

Entry and Bertrand Competition

- Costs c(q) = F. Demand Q(P) = 1 P.
- If n = 1, firm makes monopoly profit:

$$\Pi(1) = \frac{1}{4} - F$$

• If $n \ge 2$, Betrand competition implies

$$\Pi(n) = -F$$

- Incumbent will never face entry: Entrant has no added value.
- Heisenberg principle: You change game by joining.
- History matters: first mover advantage.
- Logic: backwards induction.
 - Desirability of entry depends on what happens after entry.

NutraSweet

- NutraSweet made over \$500m in 1985.
 - Patent ended in 1987 in Europe and 1992 in USA.
- In 1986, Holland Sweetener Co. built plant in Holland.
 - In 1987, prices fell from \$70/lb to \$25/lb.
 - Holland made large losses.
- In the US, Pepsi and Coke signed new deals in 1991.
 - But at much lower prices. Saved \$200m a year.
- Pepsi and Coke gained most from Holland's entry; not Holland.

Eco380, Competitive Strategy

Gainesville Regional Utility

- City–owned utility depended on CSX railroad for coal.
 - Price \$20.13/ton
- Norfolk Southern offered Gainesville \$13.68/ton.
 - But NS railroad 20 miles too short. Cost \$28m to extend.
- CSX eventually offered \$15.38/ton
 - Also threatened to abandon railroad, so town would be hostage to NS.
- Gainseville signed new contract with CSX. Saved \$34m.

How Incumbents Respond to Threat

- Blockaded Entry
 - Incumbents compete as if no entry threat.
- Deterred Entry
 - Incumbents modify behaviour to deter entry.
- Accommodated Entry
 - Incumbents find it (individually) more profitable to allow entry than deter.

Eco380, Competitive Strategy

How can an Incumbent Deter Entry?

- Limit entry pricing: incumbent could lower its price
 - But is this credible?
 - Why does price before entry have anything to do with price after entry?
 - Game theory killed limit entry pricing in 1970s.
- For this reason we analyse case where incumbent can deter entry through quantity or capacity choice.
- Limit entry pricing resuscitate in 1980s
 - Signaling: low pre-entry price signals low cost.
 - Switching costs.
- There are other ways to deter entry. For example, signing long term contracts with customers.

Blockading, Accommodating and Deterring

- Incumbent firm 1 chooses quantity.
 - Firm 2 chooses to enter or not.
 - In firm 2 enters they then chooses quantity.
- Costs c(q) = F. Demand Q(P) = 1 P.
- Firm 2 chooses quantity $q_2 = (1 q_1)/2$. Profit becomes,

$$\Pi_2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - q_1)^2 - F$$

- Blockade firm 2.
 - Firm 1 ignores firm 2 and maximises $\Pi_1 = q_1(1 q_1)$, yielding $q_1^* = 1/2$.
 - If firm 2 enters they make $q_2^* = 1/4$ and $\Pi_2 = 1/16 F$.
 - Blockade if $F \geq 1/16$.

Eco380, Competitive Strategy

9

Blockading, Accommodating and Deterring cont.

- Accommodate firm 2. (Classic Stackelberg)
 - Firm 1 assumes firm 2 will enter. Firm 1 maximises

$$\Pi_1 = q_1(1 - q_1 - \frac{1 - q_1}{2})$$

- Hence $q_1^* = 1/2$, $q_2^* = 1/4$, $\Pi_1 = 1/8$ and $\Pi_2 = 1/16 F$.
- Deter firm 2.
 - Firm 1 chooses $q_1 = 1 2\sqrt{F}$, so $\Pi_2 = 0$.
 - Profit: $\Pi_1 = 2\sqrt{F} 4F$.
 - At $F^* \approx 1/200$, $2\sqrt{F^*} 4F^* = 1/8 F^*$
- Summary
 - If $F \ge 1/16$ then blockade.
 - If $1/16 > F \ge F^*$ then deter.
 - If $F^* > F$ then accommodate.

Capacity Investment to Deter Entry

- If firm can commit to high quantity it can delay entry.
 - Is this credible?
 - After entry won't want to produce $q_1 = 1 2\sqrt{F}$.
- Reinterpret the Stackelberg model
 - Firm 1 chooses capacity
 - Firm 2 choose to enter and her capacity
 - Firms choose output
- Firm 1 can invest in a lot of capacity to make high output strategy credible.

Eco380, Competitive Strategy

11

A Taxonomy of Business Strategies

- Firm 1 is incumbent. Firm 2 is entrant.
 - 1. Firm 1 chooses investment K_1 .
 - 2. Firms 1 and 2 simultaneously choose x_1 and x_2 (e.g. outputs).
- Profit of firm i is $\Pi^i(K_1, x_1, x_2)$.

Eco380, Competitive Strategy

Blockading Entry

- Firm 2 does not enter.
- Firm 1 chooses monopoly level of output, $x_1^m(K_1)$, where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \Pi^1(K_1, x_1^m, 0) = 0$$

 \bullet Firm 1 chooses monopoly investment, K_1^m , where

$$\frac{d}{dK_1}\Pi^1(K_1, x_1^m(K_1), 0) = 0$$

Eco380, Competitive Strategy

Deterring Entry

- If entry occurs then choose Nash output $(x_1^*(K_1), x_2^*(K_1))$
- To deter entry choose K_1 such that

$$\Pi^2(K_1, x_1^*(K_1), x_2^*(K_1)) = 0$$

• How does K_1 effect Π_2 ? Differentiating,

$$\frac{d\Pi^2}{dK_1} = \frac{\partial \Pi^2}{\partial K_1} + \frac{\partial \Pi^2}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial x_1^*}{\partial K_1}$$

where $\partial \Pi^2/\partial x_2 = 0$.

- 1st term: Direct effect. 2nd term: Strategic effect.
- Firm 1 wants to look tough to deter.
 - Investment makes you look tough if $d\Pi^2/dK_1 < 0$
 - Investment makes you look soft if $d\Pi^2/dK_1 > 0$

Ways to Look Tough

- Investment in production capacity
- Product positioning
 - Moving towards center of Hotelling line.
- Product proliferation
 - Having many products on the market.
- Tying
 - Firm 1 is in markets A and B. Firm 2 enters market A.
 - If products are tied then entry will be more costly for firm 1.
 - Hence commit to react aggressively to entry.

Eco380, Competitive Strategy

15

Entrant's Strategy: Get paid to Play

- Recall NutraSweet and Gainseville examples.
 - Entry benefits customers more than entrant.
 - Entrant should ask customer to pay for entry.
- How to get paid
 - Sign contract before entering.
 - Contributions towards fixed costs.
 - Last-look provision.
- Example: Cell-Phones.
 - In 1989, McCaw bid for LIN Broadcasting.
 - LIN paid \$94m to get BellSouth to bid.
 - McCaw increased bid and paid BellSouth \$23m to exit.
 - McCaw eventually won, but paid \$1,000m more.

Entrant's Strategy: Judo Entry

- Example: Sega vs. Nintendo.
 - Nintendo dominated 8-bit market.
 - Sega entered with 16-bit machine.
 - Nintendo delayed 16-bit, for fear of cannibalizing 8-bit sales.
- Example: Softsoap
 - When Softsoap launched, not clear whether it would be success.
 - Hence majors didn't launch with brand names.
- Example: Entering small.
 - Not worth crushing: lowering price too costly.
- Judo entry: Use incumbent's weakness as your strength.

Eco380, Competitive Strategy

17

Encouraging Entry of Compliments

- We have more rivals that we would like.
- We have fewer complimentors than we would like
 - Fiat failed in US due to mechanic shortage.
- Subsidise compliments
 - MS subsidised 3rd party software for DOS
- Form jointly funded compliment provider
 - Industry association
- Do it yourself!
 - Sony bought Columbia pictures/music after Betamax

Assignment

- Read "EasyOz" (27/10/05), "Battle of the Atlantic" (13/10/05) and "Crowded Skies" (22/04/04) in The Economist. For background: "What is Strategy?", Michael Porter, Harvard Business Review 1996.
- Which types of firm are entering the long haul airline industry?
- What is the strategy of the entrants? What is their competitive advantage?
- What are the entry costs?
- How might the incumbents respond to the threat of entry?

Eco380, Competitive Strategy