# The Economics of E-commerce and Technology 

Competitive Advantage

- Industry analysis looks at what determined average profitability
- What makes individual firms within an industry different?
- To create an advantage, a firm must do something unique and valuable
- Goal:Understand why some firms earn superior profits, and use this knowledge to evaluate strategic options.
- Porter:"Competitive Strategy is about being different"

Figure 1: Profitability Differences Across Selected Industries


Figure 2a: Profitability Differences Within the Pharmaceutical Industry


Figure 2b: Profitability Differences Within the Airline Industry


## Two Questions

- How does a firm create a competitive advantage?
- How can a firm position itself differently from its competitors?
- What activities can a firm adopt that will differentiate itself?
- About short-run profitability.
- What makes a competitive advantage sustainable?
- What assets, resources or capabilities prevent imitation?
b How can firm leverage existing assets, resources and capabilities?
- About long-run profitability.


## Added Value

## The Value Pie



- Total value of industry
- Utility of consumer minus opportunity costs of inputs
- Added value of firm
- Reduction in total value of industry if your firm is annihilated.


## Value Creation: Example

- In 2009, Ruud Lighting signed deal with city of Los Angeles for 140,000 LED streetlights.
- Total value
- LA saves $\$ 100 \mathrm{~m}$ by switching to LED
- Price is $\$ 57 \mathrm{~m}$
- Ruud's costs are $\$ 30 \mathrm{~m}$.
- Total value $=\$ 70 \mathrm{~m}$, LA gets $\$ 43 \mathrm{~m}$, Ruud gets $\$ 27 \mathrm{~m}$.
- Ruud's added value
- What if Ruud is only LED company?
- What if ACME can produce $\$ 90 \mathrm{~m}$ savings for cost $\$ 25 \mathrm{~m}$ ?


## Added Value

- Claim:A firm's profits cannot exceed added value.
- Idea: If firm's profits exceed added value, the other parties can jointly become better off by working around this firm.
- Right questions are not
- Is this an attractive industry?
- Is demand for product growing?
- Right questions are:
- Can I make product at lower cost than competitor?
- Can I create more value than my competitor?


## Added Value

- Ruud and ACME example
- Ruud's profits are at most $\$ 5 \mathrm{~m}$, so price is at most $\$ 35 \mathrm{~m}$.
- Level of profits
* Whether Ruud's profits are $\$ 0$ or $\$ 5 \mathrm{~m}$ depends on economics of industry.
- What is Ruud's bargaining power? Who chooses prices?
- If hold auction, profits $=\$ 5 \mathrm{~m}$
- If LA city names price then profits $=\$ 0$
- What is total/added value in perfectly competitive industry?


## Added value and industry economics



## Differentiation

## Product differentiation

- In the LED example, only one firm (the one with the greatest added value) can earn positive profits
- Often, consumers are heterogeneous (not just firms)
- Some people prefer Android features, others iPhone features
- Some people place low value on data plan and have old Nokia
- Many firms can have positive added value and earn profits
- Understanding consumer heterogeneity is key to successful strategy


## Product Differentiation: Classification

- Consider two products: A and B
- Vertical differentiation
- If $P_{A}=P_{B}$ then everyone prefers $A$ to $B$
- If people value quality differently, some firms offer high-quality high-price goods; others offer low-quality low-price goods.
- Horizontal differentiation
- If $P_{A}=P_{B}$ then some prefer $A$ and some prefer $B$.
- Firms can carve out niches, targeting specific customers
- Measuring degree of heterogeneity
- When we increase $P_{A}$ how many people switch to $B$ ?


## Strategy: Horizontal Differentiation I

- Hotelling's Model
- Customers located uniformly distributed on line [0, I].
b Customers have transport costs cd, where $d$ is distance.
- Firms have zero costs.
- Minimal differentiation: Both firms located at $1 / 2$
b Bertrand competition: $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}=0$ and both get zero profit.
- Maximal differentiation: Firms located at 0 and I
- Given prices $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ demand is given by

$$
q_{A}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p_{B}-p_{A}}{2 c} \text { and } q_{B}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p_{A}-p_{B}}{2 c}
$$

- Tradeoff: If lower price steal marginal customer, but make less money on inframarginal customers.
- Profit maximizing prices: $P_{A}=P_{B}=c$ and profits $\pi_{A}=\pi_{B}=c / 2$.


## Strategy: Horizontal Differentiation II

- Minimal or maximal differentiation?
- As A moves away from 0
- Direct effect: It steals some of B's customers
- Indirect effect: Price competition becomes more intense.
- Suggests firm might move in a little, but not all the way.
- Other reasons to cluster
- Be where demand is (e.g. Amazon market place).
- Attract customers (e.g. malls).
- If no price competition (e.g. radio stations, where use adverts).


## Strategy: Vertical Differentiation I

- Model
- Customers have valuations $v \sim \mathrm{U}[0, \mathrm{I}]$.
- Two firms with qualities $x_{A}$ and $x_{B}$, where $x_{A} \geq x_{B}$.
- Agents receives utility $v x-p$, where $p=p r i c e$.
- Minimal differentiation: Both firms located at ' $x$ '.
- Bertrand pricing $P_{A}=P_{B}=0$ and both get zero profit.
- Differentiation: Firms located at $x_{A}>x_{B}$.
- Given prices $\mathrm{P}_{A}>\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}$ demand is

$$
q_{A}=1-\frac{p_{A}-p_{B}}{x_{A}-x_{B}} \quad \text { and } \quad q_{B}=\frac{p_{A}-p_{B}}{x_{A}-x_{B}}-\frac{p_{B}}{x_{B}}
$$

, Tradeoff: marginal vs. inframarginal agents.
b Firm with higher quality has higher profits.

## Strategy: Vertical Differentiation II

- How much differentiation?
- Producing higher quality is costly
- Higher quality raises WTP of agents and thus prices.
- What if there is only one firm?
- Insight: care aboutWTP of the marginal agent.
- Example: Three agents have values $v_{1}=10, v_{2}=7$ and $v_{3}=2$.
- Suppose innovation costs $\$ 1$ and increases $v_{1}, v_{2}$ by $\$ 1$.
- Suppose innovation costs $\$ 1 / 2$ and increases $v_{1}$ by $\$ 1$.
- Returning to two firms.
- Competition becomes softer when high firm raises quality and low firm lowers quality.
- Obtain some differentiation in equilibrium.


## From added value to strategy

- To increase profits firm must increase added value
- Drive a bigger wedge between benefits and costs
- How do we identify what strategic moves will do this?
- Break down and analyze the specific activities that make a firm different from its competitors
- How do these affect benefits/costs?
- How can we change the activity mix to create more benefits or reduce costs?
- Given a specific customer niche, what activities should we engage in so as best to serve them?


## Generic Strategies

## Generic strategies

- The analysis of differentiation leads to a taxonomy of generic competitive strategies along two dimensions
- Cost vs. Benefit leadership
b i.e. how to compete on the vertical dimensions
- Broad vs. Focus strategies
b broad = try to serve a wide range of customer segments and/or offer a full line of related products
- focus = target a narrow customer segment and/or offer a narrow set of product varieties
b i.e. where to compete on the horizontal dimensions


## Cost vs. Benefit leadership

- Cost position more attractive if:
- Unexploited economies of scale in industry
, Quality improvements impossible (e.g. commodities), not valued (e.g. phone size), or easily imitated (e.g. search good).
- Benefit position more attractive if:
- Economies of scale exploited.
- Quality valued by customers (e.g. phone features) and not easily imitated (e.g. experience good)
- Can you do both? Porter:Stuck in the middle.
- Delivering superior customer benefits is usually costly
- Consistent image helpful for reputation
- Different positions require different organizational choices
- But higher B may imply larger scale and hence lower C


## Broad vs. Focus

- Broad strategy (e.g.Apple)
- Attractive if there are economies of scope across products
, Can use common components in different products (e.g. batteries).
, Can share branding/reputation advantages
- Complementarities in consumption (e.g. Mac and iPhone)
- Focus strategy (e.g. Motorola)
- Attractive if consumer heterogeneity is important, economies of scale in narrow product segments or expertise in product not transferable.


## Sustainability

## Sustainability

- Competitive advantages depend on a firm's
- Resources (things you have): a firm's physical, human, and other intangible assets (knowledge, reputation)
- Capabilities (things you can do): organizational routines that transform a firm's resources into goods and services
- To be sustainable, a resource must by
- Hard to imitate
- Immobile
- We'll discuss three special cases:
- Early mover advantages
- Networks of activities and sustainability
, Core competencies


## Sustainability: Google

- Google has high value added today.
- Will it have high value added in 5 years? 20 years?
- List of competitive advantages
- Expertise in search
- Network of advertisers
- Quality of people
- Culture of innovation
- Are these sustainable?


## Barriers to Imitation

- A resource is a source of sustainable advantage if it is difficult / costly for competitors to duplicate.
I. Competitors cannot access resources
b Literal scarcity (diamond mines),
Legal restrictions (patents; trademarks; licenses)
Privileged access to buyers or suppliers (long-term contracts)

2. Competitors cannot imitate

- Causal ambiguity (firm does many things; which are critical?)
- Competitor cannot observe parts of strategy (e.g. Google algorithm)
- Path dependence (firm succeeded because of historical circumstances that no longer exist)
b But hire away key employees?


## Barriers to Imitation

3. Not profitable for competitors to imitate

- Large returns to scale mean imitator cannot cover fixed costs
- High switching costs create entry cost for imitator
- Imitator needs to build up network
- Imitator expects harsh price competition

4. By time competitor imitates, firm in better position

- Learning by doing
- Continual technological advancement


## Immobility

- If perfectly mobile, resources extract all the rents
- Lionel Messi should collect value of Champions League win.
- Example: FIFA has exclusive agreement with EA for soccer video games.
- Is this a source of sustainable competitive advantage for EA?
- Immobility depends on
, Contractibility (can you sell a reputation?)
- Definability (knowledge may be dispersed throughout firm)
, Complementarily with other assets (can't just move one asset)


## Three Examples of Sustainable Advantage

## (1) First Mover Advantage

- Many of the barriers to imitation we have discussed suggest an advantage for first movers
- Getting a prime location
- Securing an exclusive contract
- Being the first to pay sunk costs in a natural monopoly
, Moving down a learning curve
- Capturing consumers in a market with switching costs
- Building an installed base for your standard


## First Mover Advantage via Competition

- Suppose firm A is in industry.
- Has marginal cost 5.
- 100 customers with value 10 .
- $A$ is currently charging $p=10$ and making $\pi=100(10-5)=500$.
- Firm $B$ is considering entering
- Has marginal cost 4 and fixed cost 150 .
, Good is homogenous.
- Should firm B enter?
- If it enters, Bertrand competition implies price falls to $\mathrm{p}=5$.
- B's profits are $\pi=100(5-4)-I 50=-50$.
- B should not enter, anticipating the cut-throat competition.


## But... Late Mover Advantage

- First-movers are guinea pigs (e.g. SaeHan's MP3 player)
- First mover pays costs that benefit the late comers
, Consumer awareness of a new technology (e.g. LCDTVs)
, Supply chains and distribution channels (e.g. MP3 and flash)
, Complementors (e.g. iPhone and apps)
- Investments by consumers (e.g. Blu-Ray and player)


## (2) Coherent Strategies

- Porter (1996).
- Sustainable strategies rest on doing many interlocking activities
- Create fit among activities, doing all well
- Make trade-offs. Choose what not to do.
- Complementarities increase value added.
- Systems of activities hard to imitate
- Causal ambiguity
- Have to imitate the entire system
- Danger: when growing firm forgets what makes them unique.


## Toyota's "Modern Manufacturing" System

- Toyota was small firm; couldn't copy US mass production.
- Just-in-time manufacturing
- Inventories subject to large economies of scale.
, Toyota reduced inventories via close coordination.
- Reliability of process
, Without buffer of inventories, engineers worked on reliability.
- Fewer flaws in product
- Problems noticed immediately, rather than sitting in inventories.
- Suppliers used because not scale to produce in-house
- No inventories so develop close relationship
- Flexible machines due to lack of scale.
, Frequent redesigns possible.


## (3) Core competencies

- A small number of key assets or capabilities define a firm's competitive advantage
, Google: culture, experience of search
, Canon: precision mechanics, fine optics, micro-electronics
- Seems very different from the Porter formulation
- Focus on a few key things instead of a network of activities
- However, it carries a similar message
- Focus on deepening advantages
- Look for niches in which current assets give you an advantage

