

**VALLEY REGRESSION: BIASED ESTIMATION
FOR ORTHOGONAL PROBLEMS**

by

Edward E. Leamer

Discussion Paper Number ~~101~~ 102

10/77

**Preliminary Report on Research in Progress
Not to be quoted without permission of the author.**

Valley Regression: Biased Estimation for Orthogonal Problems

by Edward E. Leamer*

The publication of Hoerl and Kennard's [1] paper on ridge regression has sparked a boomlet of activity among statisticians and their clients [1], [2], [5], [6], [7], [8]. For the standard linear regression model, $\underline{Y} = \underline{X}\underline{\beta} + \underline{\varepsilon}$ where $\underline{X}(n \times p)$, $\underline{Y}(n \times 1)$ are observed and $\underline{\varepsilon}$ is normal with $E\underline{\varepsilon} = 0$ and $E\underline{\varepsilon}\underline{\varepsilon}' = \sigma^2 \underline{I}$, the ridge estimator of $\underline{\beta}$ is $\hat{\underline{\beta}}^r = (\underline{X}'\underline{X} + k\underline{I})^{-1}\underline{X}'\underline{Y}$. This procedure is most often sold as a cure for the "multi-collinearity problem" which plagues users of the traditional least-squares estimator. It is not recommended when $\underline{X}'\underline{X}$ is a diagonal matrix.

But whether the $\underline{X}'\underline{X}$ matrix is diagonal or not depends on the choice of parameterization. Whereas ridge regression might be recommended for estimating $\underline{\beta}$, it would not be recommended for estimating $\underline{\theta} = \underline{F}\underline{\beta}$ if $\underline{F}'^{-1}\underline{X}'\underline{X}\underline{F}^{-1}$ is diagonal. Thus the excitement of ridge regression is limited to those who are lucky enough to choose the right parameterization.

There is something that you can do if you are unlucky enough to be plagued by the lack of collinearity. The off-diagonal elements of the $\underline{X}'\underline{X}$ matrix may be augmented by a common factor. For reasons that may be made clear below, the off-diagonal elements of the $\underline{X}'\underline{X}$ matrix will be augmented positively only when the estimate vector $\underline{b} = (\underline{X}'\underline{X})^{-1}\underline{X}'\underline{Y}$ is a positive vector. Otherwise the estimate is first rotated into the first orthant, then translated by the valley regression formula and last rotated back into its original orthant. In terms of a formula, this can be expressed as follows.

*Department of Economics, UCLA. Conversations with R.W. Clower and financial support from NSF grant SOC 76-08863 are gratefully acknowledged.

Let

$$s_i = 1 \times \text{sign}(b_i); \quad b_i = \text{least squares estimate of } \beta_i$$

$$\underline{S} = p \times p \text{ diagonal matrix, } \text{diag}\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p\}$$

Then the valley estimator for a given k is

$$\hat{\beta}^V(k) = \underline{S}[\underline{S}'\underline{X}'\underline{X}\underline{S} + k(\underline{1}\underline{1}' - \underline{I})]^{-1} \underline{S}'\underline{X}'\underline{Y}, \quad k \geq 0,$$

where $\underline{1}$ is a vector of ones.

Given a data set $(\underline{Y}, \underline{X})$, as k varies, this formula will generate a curve of estimates known as the stream bed.

The valley estimator is recommended for precisely the same reason as the ridge estimator. Namely, it is possible to prove the powerful theorem that there exists a k such that the mean squared error of the valley estimator is less than least squares. This result is proved in section 1 of this paper. Concluding comments may be found in section 2.

1. The Existence Result

Given that \underline{Y} is normal with mean $\underline{X}\underline{\beta}$ and variance $\sigma^2\underline{I}$, and given orthonormal data $\underline{X}'\underline{X} = \underline{I}$, there exists a k such that the mean squared error of the valley estimator is less than the mean squared error of least squares.

The valley estimator is

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\underline{\beta}}^V(k) &= \underline{S}(\underline{S}'\underline{S} + k(\underline{1}\underline{1}' - \underline{I}))^{-1}\underline{S}'\underline{X}'\underline{Y} \\ &= \underline{S}(\underline{I} + k(\underline{1}\underline{1}' - \underline{I}))^{-1}\underline{S}'\underline{b}\end{aligned}$$

where $\underline{b} = (\underline{X}'\underline{X})^{-1}\underline{X}'\underline{Y} = \underline{X}'\underline{Y}$. The theorem states that there exists a k such that

$$E[(\hat{\underline{\beta}}^V(k) - \underline{\beta})'(\hat{\underline{\beta}}^V(k) - \underline{\beta})] < E[(\hat{\underline{\beta}}^V(0) - \underline{\beta})'(\hat{\underline{\beta}}^V(0) - \underline{\beta})],$$

Proof:

The valley estimator may be written as

$$\hat{\underline{\beta}}^V = \underline{S}\underline{C}[\underline{C}'\underline{C} + k\underline{C}'(\underline{1}\underline{1}' - \underline{I})\underline{C}]^{-1}\underline{C}'\underline{S}\underline{b}$$

for any invertible matrix \underline{C} . This takes a convenient form when

$$\underline{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -3 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -(p-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$\underline{C}'\underline{C} = \text{diag} \{p, 2, 6, 12, \dots, p(p-1)\}$$

$$\underline{C}'(\underline{1}\underline{1}' - \underline{I})\underline{C} = \text{diag} \{p^2 - p, -2, -6, -12, \dots, -p(p-1)\}$$

$$\underline{C}'\underline{S}\underline{b} = \{ \sum_i |b_i|, |b_1| - |b_2|, |b_1| + |b_2| - 2|b_3|, \dots, \sum_{i < p} |b_i| - (p-1)|b_p| \}$$

It is easiest to compute the estimator of the last coefficient but by symmetry the same formula applies for all coefficients.

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta}_p^v &= s_p \left\{ \sum_i |b_i| (p + k[p^2 - p])^{-1} - (p-1) \left(\sum_{i < p} |b_i| - (p-1)|b_p| \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. (1-k)^{-1} p^{-1} (p-1)^{-1} \right\} \\
&= s_p \left\{ (1-k) \sum_i |b_i| - [1 + k(p-1)] [\sum_i |b_i| - p|b_p|] / (1-k)p (1 + k(p-1)) \right\} \\
&= s_p \left\{ (1-k-1-kp+k) \sum_i |b_i| + p[1+kp-k]|b_p| \right\} / (1-k)p (1 + k(p-1)) \\
&= s_p \left\{ -k \sum_i |b_i| + [1+kp-k]|b_p| \right\} / (1-k)(1 + k(p-1)) \\
&= s_p \left\{ -k \sum_{i \neq p} |b_i| + (1+kp-2k)|b_p| \right\} / (1-k)(1 + k(p-1)).
\end{aligned}$$

The computation of the mean squared error of $\hat{\beta}_p(k)$ makes use of a result on the moment of a truncated normal distribution. The least squares estimator b_i is normal with mean β_i and variance σ^2 , and is independent of b_j , $j \neq i$. The density function of this normal distribution will be indicated by $n_i(\beta_i)$ and the cumulative function by $N_i(\beta_i)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
E(|b_i|) &= E(b_i | b_i \geq 0) P(b_i \geq 0) - E(b_i | b_i \leq 0) P(b_i \leq 0) \\
&= [\beta_i + (n_i(0) - n_i(\infty))(1 - N_i(0))^{-1} \sigma^2] [1 - N_i(0)] \\
&\quad - [\beta_i + (n_i(-\infty) - n_i(0))(N_i(0) - N_i(-\infty))^{-1} \sigma^2] [N_i(0)] \\
&= \beta_i (1 - 2N_i(0)) + 2\sigma^2 n_i(0)
\end{aligned}$$

The mean squared error of $\hat{\beta}_p^v$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\hat{\beta}_p^v - \beta_p)^2 &= (1-k)^{-2} (1 + k(p-1))^{-2} E \left\{ (b_p (1 + kp - 2k) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - ks_p \sum_{i \neq p} |b_i| - \beta_p (1-k)(1 + k(p-1)))^2 \right\} \\
&= (1-k)^{-2} (1 + k(p-1))^{-2} E \left\{ (b_p - \beta_p) (1 + kp - 2k) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - ks_p \sum_{i \neq p} |b_i| - \beta_p k^2 (1-p) \right\}^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (1 - k)^{-2}(1 + k(p - 1))^{-2}\{\sigma^2(1 + kp - 2k)^2 \\
&\quad - 2k(1 + kp - 2k)E[s_p(b_p - \beta_p) \sum_{i \neq p} |b_i|]\} \\
&\quad + k^2 E[s_p \sum_{i \neq p} |b_i| - \beta_p k(1 - p)]^2
\end{aligned}$$

In order to show that there is a $k > 0$ such that $MSE[\hat{\beta}_p^v(k)] < MSE[\hat{\beta}_p^v(\theta)]$ it is necessary only to show that the derivative of this function evaluated at $k = 0$ is negative. Write the function as u/v with derivative $(vdu - udv)/v^2$ and note that

$$v(0) = 1, \quad u(0) = \sigma^2$$

$$dv(0) = 2(p - 2)$$

$$du(0) = 2(p - 2)\sigma^2 - 2E[s_p(b_p - \beta_p) \sum_{i \neq p} |b_i|]$$

$$\text{Thus } vdu - udv = -2E[s_p(b_p - \beta_p) \sum_{i \neq p} |b_i|].$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{But } E[s_p(b_p - \beta_p)] &= E(|b_p|) - \beta_p E(s_p) \\
&= \beta_p(1 - 2N_p(0)) + 2\sigma^2 n_p(0) - \beta_p[1 - N_p(0) - N_p(0)] \\
&= 2\sigma^2 n_p(0) > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and the derivative at zero is therefore negative.

2. Concluding Comments

(a) There is a Bayesian justification for this!

(b) Epicurus to Menoeceus: "Pleasure [not truth] is the end and aim of life."

References

- [1] Dempster, A.P., Schatzoff, M. and Wermuth, N., "A Simulation Study of Alternatives to Ordinary Least Squares," Journal of the American Statistical Association, 72 (March 1977), 77-97.
- [2] Goldstein, M. and Smith, A.F.M., "Ridge-Type Estimations for Regression Analysis," Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Sec B, 36, 2 (1974), 284-91.
- [3] Hoerl, A.E. and Kennard, R.W., "Ridge Regression: Biased Estimation for Nonorthogonal Problems," Technometrics, 12 (February 1970), 55-67.
- [4] Leamer, E.E., "Regression Selection Strategies and Revealed Priors," mimeo, 1975.
- [5] McDonald, G.C. and Galarneau, D.I., "A Monte Carlo Evaluation of Some Ridge-Type Estimators," Journal of the American Statistical Association, 70 (June 1975), 407-16.
- [6] Rolph, J.E., "Choosing Shrinkage Estimators for Regression Problems," Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, A5(9) (1976), 789-801.
- [7] Swindel, B.F., "Instability of Regression Coefficients Illustrated," The American Statistician, 28 (May 1974), 63-5.
- [8] Vinod, H.D., "Application of New Ridge Regression Methods to a Study of Bell System Scale Economies," Journal of the American Statistical Association, 71 (December 1976), 835-841.