Feudal marrying was the way to do things, because that is how it had to be. But in the last few decades, Western nations have had to change their ways. One is the actual fever. British Intelligence found a way to kill the enemy. This is a very dangerous and dangerous way to go about it. But this may not be an insurmountable obstacle, as it is an important part of our way of life.

Henry VII did the equivalent of this by making all nobles swear a new oath of loyalty. The mistake was made by many of achieving more coherent national political structure. The problem is that a constitutional change is necessary for the two to be equal. In the late 18th century, the nobles had private armies. They were quasi-autonomous power in their duchies and earldoms, no central power. So long as the king is dependent on these nobles, each of whom has a network of power in their duchies and earldoms, central power will not be able to assert itself. The private armies in pre-Tudor England essentialized a local power to mount a challenge to the nobles in their duchies. In the late 19th century, the nobles had private armies. They were quasi-autonomous power in their duchies and earldoms, no central power.
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